

*Comments:* The FMP/DEIS will be sent directly to those who request it in writing received by regular mail or e-mail. Copies and compact discs of the document will be available at park headquarters, park visitor centers, and at local and regional libraries. The complete document will be posted on the park's Web site at <http://www.nps.gov/goga/admin/planning>. Written comments must be postmarked (or transmitted by e-mail) no later than sixty days from the date of EPA's notice of filing published in the **Federal Register**—as soon as it is confirmed, the close of the commenting period will also be posted on the park's Web site. All comments should be addressed to the Superintendent and mailed to Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Fort Mason, Building 201, San Francisco, CA 94123 (Attn: Fire Management Plan); E-mail should be sent to: [goga\\_fire@nps.gov](mailto:goga_fire@nps.gov) (please mark the e-mail subject line "FMP DEIS Comments"). A public meeting will be held to hear comments on the DEIS. Please visit the GGNRA Web site at <http://www.nps.gov/goga/> for the date, location, and time, or call the GGNRA Fire Management Office at (415) 331-6374.

All comments are maintained in the administrative record and will be available for public review at park headquarters. If individuals submitting comments request that their name and/or address be withheld from public disclosure, it will be honored to the extent allowable by law. Such requests must be stated prominently in the beginning of the comments. As always, NPS will make available to public inspection all submissions from organizations or businesses and from persons identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations and businesses; and anonymous comments may not be considered.

*Decision Process:* It is anticipated that the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Fire Management Plan would be completed in spring, 2005. The availability of the Final EIS will be published in the **Federal Register**, and announced via mailings and Web site postings. Not sooner than thirty days after the distribution of the Final EIS/FMP, a Record of Decision may be approved (as a delegated EIS the approving official is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region of the National Park Service). After approval, the official responsible for implementation of the FMP will be the General Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Dated: February 15, 2005.

**George J. Turnbull,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 05-5448 Filed 3-18-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **Notice of Availability of a Record of Decision on the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Arrowhead-Weston Transmission Line River Crossing/Right-of-Way Request, Saint Croix National Scenic River**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to § 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Public Law 91-190, 83 Stat. 852, 853, as codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the record of decision for the Arrowhead-Weston Transmission Line River Crossing/Right-Of-Way Request. On February 23, the Director, Midwest Region, approved the record of decision for the crossing/right-of-way (ROW) request. Specifically, the NPS has selected the preferred alternative (alternative 1: Long-span option) as described in the final environmental impact statement (EIS). Under the selected action, the NPS will issue a 120-foot wide ROW permit to Minnesota Power, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, and American Transmission Company (the Applicants) to allow for construction and operation of alternative 1: Long-span option, which is a double-circuited, alternating current, 161 and 345-kilovolt transmission line crossing of the Namekagon River, a segment of the Saint Croix National Scenic Riverway (Riverway).

The selected action and four other alternatives were analyzed in the draft and final EIS. The full range of foreseeable environmental consequences was assessed. Among the alternatives the NPS considered, the selected action best provides a combination of limiting impacts in the crossing area and providing enhancements throughout the Riverway. The NPS believes the preferred alternative allows for a transmission line crossing of the Namekagon River while minimizing and compensating for impacts to the Riverway. The river crossing will have no impact on the free-flowing characteristics of the Namekagon River and is consistent with the park's general management plan

which calls for new crossings to be consolidated in existing crossings. The preferred will allow supporting structures to be set back from line-of-sight of the river, and will require less ground, vegetation, and ongoing maintenance disturbances. The compensatory mitigation package will eliminate up to eight distribution line crossings, provide noise abatement measures on a nearby stretch of the Riverway, and provide funds for studies and activities to enhance scenery and recreation along the Riverway.

The record of decision includes a statement of the decision made, synopses of other alternatives considered, the basis for the decision, the rationale for why the selected action is the environmentally preferred alternative, a finding on impairment of park resources and values, and an overview of public involvement in the decisionmaking process.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Jill Medland, Saint Croix National Scenic Riverway, 401 Hamilton Street, P.O. Box 708, Saint Croix Falls, Wisconsin 54024; phone 715 483-3284, extension 609. Copies of the record of decision may be obtained from the contact listed above.

Dated: February 22, 2005.

**Ernest Quintana,**

*Regional Director, Midwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 05-5446 Filed 3-18-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-96-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions**

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before February 26, 2005. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St., NW., 8th floor, Washington DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written

or faxed comments should be submitted by April 5, 2005.

**Carol D. Shull,**

*Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places.*

**CALIFORNIA**

**Contra Costa County**

Merrill, Charles W., House, 407 Camino Sobrante, Orinda, 05000251

**IOWA**

**Delaware County**

Kirkpatrick, Robert Round Barn, (Iowa Round Barns: The Sixty Year Experiment TR), 3342–120th Ave., Coggon, 05000252

**Polk County**

Universalist Church, 420 4th St., Mitchellville, 05000253

**KENTUCKY**

**Jefferson County**

Schaefer—Meyer Brewing Co., Address Restricted, Louisville, 05000255

**Oldham County**

Bradshaw—Duncan House, 8502 Todds Point Rd., Crestwood, 05000254

**MONTANA**

**Gallatin County**

Three Valleys State Bank, 202 Main St., Three Forks, 05000256

**NEW MEXICO**

**Sierra County**

Fort McRae, Address Restricted, Elephant Butte, 05000258

**NEW YORK**

**Albany County**

Van derzee, Cornelius and Agnietje, Van Derzee Rd., Coeymans, 05000259

**Cayuga County**

Ingham, William Smith, House, 3069 W Main St., Meridian, 05000263

**Columbia County**

Pulver, William and Victoria, House, 2329 Cty Rd. 8, Snyderville, 05000260

**Dutchess County**

St. Thomas' Episcopal Church, Leedsville Rd., N side, W of NY 41, Amenia Union, 05000261

**Greene County**

Parsonage, The, 424 Main St., Oak Hill, 05000262

**Nassau County**

Saint Mary's Chapel, Rushmore Ave. bet Roslyn Ave. and Glen Cove Ave., Carle Place, 05000270

**Saratoga County**

St. Stephen's Episcopal Church, 1 Grove St., Schuylerville, 05000264

**Suffolk County**

Port Jefferson Village Historic District, Roughly along E. Main St., E. Broadway,

Grant St., High St. and South St., Port Jefferson, 05000265

**NORTH CAROLINA**

**Cleveland County**

King Street Overhead Bridge, King St. bet. Battleground and Railroad Aves., Kings Mountain, 05000268

**Person County**

Long, James A and Laura Thompson, House, 217 S. Main St., Roxboro, 05000267

**Stanly County**

Second Street Historic District, Portions of 100 and 200 blks N. Second St. and 100 blk West North St., Albemarle, 05000266

**Yadkin County**

Morse and Wade Building, 100 E. Main St., East Bend, 05000269

**PUERTO RICO**

**Cayey Municipality**

Rodriguez Morales, Juana, House, 7 Nunez Romeo St., Cayey, 05000257

**VIRGINIA**

**Louisa County**

Mineral Historic District, Roughly along Mineral, Louisa, Lee, Richmond, Albemarle, Pendleton, Piedmont, Old Tolarsville, S. Cecilia, et al, Mineral, 05000271

**WISCONSIN**

**Wood County**

Marshfield Senior High School, 900 E. Fourth St., Marshfield, 05000272

A request for REMOVAL has been made for the following resources:

**NORTH DAKOTA**

**Grand Forks**

Building at 205 DeMers Ave., (Downtown Grand Forks MRA), 205 DeMers Ave., Grand Forks, 82001316

Building at 317 S. 3rd St., (Downtown Grand Forks MRA), 317 S. 3rd St., Grand Forks, 82001318

House at 1648 Riverside Drive, 1648 Riverside Dr., Grand Forks, 94001074

New Hampshire Apartments, (Downtown Grand Forks MRA), 105 N. 3rd St., Grand Forks, 82001332

Viets Hotel, (Downtown Grand Forks MRA), 309–311 3rd St., S, Grand Forks, 82001341

[FR Doc. 05–5447 Filed 3–18–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–51–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Reclamation**

**Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Water Management Plans**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The following Water Management Plans are available for

review: City of Folsom; Plain View Water District; City of Shasta Lake; Tulare Irrigation District.

To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 (CVPIA) and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, the Bureau of Reclamation has developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Criteria). Note: For the purpose of this announcement, Water Management Plans (Plans) are considered the same as Water Conservation Plans. The above districts have developed Plans, which Reclamation has evaluated and preliminarily determined to meet the requirements of these Criteria. Reclamation is publishing this notice in order to allow the public to review the Plans and comment on the preliminary determinations. Public comment on Reclamation's preliminary (*i.e.*, draft) determination is invited at this time.

**DATES:** All public comments must be received by April 20, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Please mail comments to Leslie Barbre, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825, or contact at 916–978–5232 (TDD 978–5608), or e-mail at [lbarbre@mp.usbr.gov](mailto:lbarbre@mp.usbr.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Ms. Barbre at the e-mail address or telephone number above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We are inviting the public to comment on our preliminary (*i.e.*, draft) determination of Plan adequacy. Section 3405(e) of the CVPIA (Title 34 Public Law 102–575) requires the Secretary of the Interior to establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices (BMPs) that shall “\* \* \* develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by Section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982.” Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these Criteria must be developed “\* \* \* with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices.” These Criteria state that all parties (Contractors) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare Plans that contain the following information:

1. Description of the District.