

1895 Phoenix Boulevard, suite 450, Atlanta, Georgia 30349.

(2) Alternative methods of compliance approved in accordance with AD 80-26-05 (superseded by this action) are not considered approved as alternative methods of compliance with this AD.

**Note:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e)(1) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) *Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance?* Contact William O. Herderich, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, One Crown Center, 1895 Phoenix Boulevard, suite 450, Atlanta, Georgia 30349; telephone: (770) 703-6084; facsimile: (770) 703-6097; e-mail: william.o.herderich@faa.gov.

(g) *What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD?* FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.

(h) *How do I get copies of the documents referenced in this AD?* You may obtain copies of the documents referenced in this AD from The New Piper Aircraft, Inc., 2926 Piper Drive, Vero Beach, Florida 32960. You may examine these documents at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

(i) *Does this AD action affect any existing AD actions?* This amendment supersedes AD 80-26-05, Amendment 39-3994.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 17, 2000.

**Marvin R. Nuss,**

*Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 00-18525 Filed 7-20-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 100

[CGD05-00-031]

RIN 2115-AE46

#### Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Sharptown Outboard Regatta, Nanticoke River, Sharptown, Maryland

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to establish temporary special local regulations for the Sharptown Outboard Regatta, to be held on the waters of the Nanticoke River between Maryland S.R. 313 bridge at Sharptown, Maryland and Nanticoke River Light 43 (LLN-24175). These special local regulations are necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. This action is intended to restrict vessel traffic in portions of the Nanticoke River during the event.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before August 21, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** You may mail comments and related material to Commander (Aoax), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704-5004, or deliver them to the same address between 9 a.m. and 2 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments and materials received from the public as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of this docket and are available for inspection or copying at Commander (Aoax), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704-5004, between 9 a.m. and 2 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chief Warrant Officer R. Houck, Marine Events Coordinator, Commander, Coast Guard Activities Baltimore, 2401 Hawkins Point Road, Baltimore Maryland, 21226-1791, telephone number (410) 576-2674.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD05-00-031), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each

comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. The comment period for this regulation is 30 (thirty) days. This time period is adequate since the event is well publicized in the local maritime community. If you would like to know that your comments reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

##### Public Meeting

We do not plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to Commander (Aoax), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704-5004, explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

##### Background and Purpose

The North-South Racing Association will sponsor the Sharptown Outboard Regatta on September 23 and September 24, 2000. The event will consist of 60 hydroplanes and runabouts conducting a high speed competitive race on the waters of the Nanticoke River between Maryland S.R. 313 bridge at Sharptown, Maryland and Nanticoke River Light 43 (LLN-24175). A fleet of spectator vessels is anticipated for the event. Due to the need for vessel control during the races, vessel traffic will be temporarily restricted to provide for the safety of participants, spectators and transiting vessels.

##### Discussion of Proposed Rule

The Coast Guard will establish temporary special local regulations on specified waters of the Nanticoke River. The regulated area will include waters of the Nanticoke River between Maryland S.R. 313 bridge at Sharptown, Maryland and Nanticoke River Light 43 (LLN-24175). The temporary special local regulations will be effective from 10 a. m. to 7 p.m. on September 23 and September 24, 2000, and will restrict general navigation in the regulated area during the event. Except for participants in the Sharptown Outboard Regatta and persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area.

##### Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under

section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979).

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Although this proposed regulation will prevent traffic from transiting a portion of the Nanticoke River during the event, the effect of this regulation will not be significant due to the limited duration that the regulated area will be in effect and the extensive advance notifications that will be made to the maritime community via the Local Notice to Mariners, marine information broadcasts, and area newspapers, so mariners can adjust their plans accordingly.

#### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), we considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Although this proposed regulation will prevent traffic from transiting a portion of the Nanticoke River during the event, the effect of this regulation will not be significant because of the limited duration that the regulated area will be in effect and the extensive advance notifications that will be made to the maritime community via the Local Notice to Mariners, marine information broadcasts, and area newspapers, so mariners can adjust their plans accordingly.

If you think that your business, organization or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this proposed rule would economically affect it.

#### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Commander (Aoax), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704-5004.

#### Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

#### Federalism

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13132 and have determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those costs. This proposed rule will not impose an unfunded mandate.

#### Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to health or risk to

safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### Environment

We prepared an "Environmental Assessment" in accordance with Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, and determined that this rule will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. The "Environmental Assessment" and "Finding of No Significant Impact" is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

#### List of Subjects

Marine Safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

#### PART 100—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233 through 1236; 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 100.35.

2. Add temporary § 100.35-T05-031 to read as follows:

#### § 100.35-T05-031 Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Sharptown Outboard Regatta, Nanticoke River, Sharptown, Maryland

(a) *Definitions*—(1) *Regulated Area*. All waters of the Nanticoke River, near Sharptown, Maryland, between Maryland S.R. 313 bridge and Nanticoke River Light 43 (LLN-24175), bounded by a line drawn between the following points: southeasterly from latitude 38°32'47" N, longitude 075°43'15" W, to latitude 38°32'42" N, longitude 75°43'09" W, thence northeasterly to latitude 38°33'07" N, longitude 075°42'27" W, thence northwesterly to latitude 38°33'10" N, longitude 75°42'46" W, thence southwesterly to latitude 38°32'47" N, longitude 75°43'15" W. All coordinates reference Datum NAD 1983.

(2) *Coast Guard Patrol Commander*. The Coast Guard Patrol Commander is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard who has been designated by the Commander, Coast Guard Activities Baltimore.

(3) *Official Patrol*. The Official Patrol is any vessel assigned or approved by Commander, Coast Guard Activities Baltimore with a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board and displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

(4) *Participating Vessels*. Participating vessels include all vessels participating in the Sharptown Outboard Regatta under the auspices of the Maine Event

Application submitted by the North-South Racing Association Inc., and approved by the Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.

(b) *Special Local Regulations*—(1) Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area.

(2) The operator of any vessel in this area shall:

(i) Stop the vessel immediately when directed to do so by any official patrol.

(ii) Proceed as directed by any official patrol.

(c) *Effective Dates*. The regulated area is effective from 10 a.m. on September 23, 2000, until 7 p.m. September 24, 2000.

Dated: July 14, 2000.

T. C. Paar,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 00-18559 Filed 7-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[CGD11-00-007]

RIN 2115-AE84

#### Regulated Navigation Area; San Pedro Bay, California

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is proposing to revise the regulated navigation area for San Pedro Bay, California. Due to the port expansion projects underway in the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, the Coast Guard conducted a Port Access Route Study (PARS). The PARS notice of study results, published in the **Federal Register** on May 19, 2000, recommended, among other things, changes to the San Pedro Bay regulated navigation area (RNA). In general, the Coast Guard is proposing to expand the RNA to the south approximately 2.2 nm. The proposed changes to the RNA boundaries would coincide with the boundaries of the Precautionary Area, essentially making the two boundaries the same. The Coast Guard is also proposing minor changes to some vessel operational procedures and requirements to reflect the necessary modifications with respect to traffic management due to the port construction and expansion projects.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 5, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be mailed to Commander (Pmc-3), USCG PACAREA/D11, Bldg 50-6, Coast Guard Island, Alameda, CA 94501-5100. The comments and other materials referenced in this proposed rule will be available for inspection and copying at the Marine Safety Office. Normal office hours are between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Comments may also be hand delivered to this address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lieutenant Patricia Springer, Chief Vessel Traffic Management Section, 11th Coast Guard District, telephone (510) 437-2951.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Request for Comments

Interested persons are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting written views, data or concerns to the office listed under **ADDRESSES** in this preamble. Persons submitting comments should include their names and addresses, identify the docket number for the regulations (CGD11-00-007), the specific section of the proposal to which their comments apply, and give reasons for each comment.

The regulations may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments received before the expiration of the comment period will be considered before final action is taken on this proposal. No public hearing is planned, however, one may be held if written requests for a hearing are received and it is determined that the opportunity to make oral presentations will aid in the rule making process.

##### Definitions

The following definitions should help you review this document:

*Precautionary area* means a routing measure comprising an area within defined limits where ships must navigate with particular caution and within which the direction of traffic flow may be recommended.

*Traffic lane* means an area within defined limits in which one-way traffic is established.

*Traffic Separation Scheme or TSS* means a routing measure aimed at the separation of opposing streams of traffic by appropriate means and by the establishment of traffic lanes.

*Vessel routing system* means any system of one or more routes or routing measures aimed at reducing the risk of casualties; it includes traffic separation schemes, two-way routes, recommended tracks, areas to be avoided, inshore

traffic zones, roundabouts, precautionary areas, and deep-water routes.

##### Background and Purpose

In 1999, the Coast Guard conducted a Port Access Route Study (PARS), which we announced in a document published in the **Federal Register** on March 11, 1999 (63 FR 12140). A PARS was needed to evaluate the effects of port improvement projects for the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach on navigational safety and vessel traffic management efficiency, and to recommend any necessary changes to existing routing measures. The Coast Guard completed the study in July 1999 and announced the results of this study in a Notice published in the **Federal Register** on May 19, 2000 (65 FR 31856). Among other things, this study recommended modifications to the precautionary areas, existing TSS's, and aids to navigation.

Major port improvement projects for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach have taken place. These projects include the following:

Lengthening of the Los Angeles Approach Channel to extend approximately 3.5 nautical miles beyond the Los Angeles breakwater;

Deepening of the Los Angeles Approach Channel to a project depth of 81 feet;

Shift of the Long Beach Approach to a 355 degrees True inbound course; and Deepening of the Long Beach Approach Channel to a project depth of 69 feet.

Fill and construction activities within the Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbors and development of a shallow water habitat have constricted the amount of room available for small commercial and recreational traffic to maneuver within the Outer Harbor, Middle, and Long Beach breakwaters. This has the effect of concentrating traffic flows and placing small marine traffic more directly in competition with deep draft traffic for use of the Precautionary Area.

During the PARS, the Coast Guard consulted with Federal and State agencies and solicited the views of representatives of the maritime community, port and harbor authorities or associations, environmental groups and other interested parties. The Coast Guard also considered previous studies and experience in the areas of vessel traffic management, navigation, ship handling, and the effects of weather, and review prior analyses of the traffic density. In particular, the Coast Guard reviewed the results of a 1982 LA/LB Port Access Route Study (47 FR 27430, June 24, 1982) and a 1995 Port Access