Arizona National Landscape
Conservation System Strategy, and the
State Director's 2002 Priorities; Update
Proposed Field Office Rangeland
Resource Teams; Reports from BLM
Field Office Managers; Reports by the
Standards and Guidelines, Recreation
and Public Relations, Wild Horse and
Burro Working Groups; Reports from
RAC members; and Discussion of future
meetings. A public comment period will
be provided at 11:30 a.m. on July 24,
2001, for any interested publics who
wish to address the Council.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deborah Stevens, Bureau of Land Management, Arizona State Office, 222 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004–2203, (602) 417–9215.

### Michael Fisher,

Acting Arizona State Director.
[FR Doc. 01–17129 Filed 7–6–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–32–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## Minerals Management Service (MMS)

Notice of Postponement of Public Hearings and Extension of the Public Comment Period for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Delineation Drilling Activities in Federal Waters Offshore Santa Barbara County, California

**AGENCY:** Minerals Management Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Announcing a postponement in scheduled public hearings and an extension of the public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Minerals Management Service is postponing the previously scheduled July 10 and 12 public hearings on the draft Environmental Impact Statement on Delineation Drilling Activities in Federal Waters Offshore Santa Barbara County, California (FR Vol. 66, No. 120/June 21, 2001/Doc. 0115639/Page No. 33268). We will announce the dates, times, and locations of the re-scheduled public hearings in the Federal Register and local media when that information is available. We will also extend the public comment period (as announced in the Notice of Availability published in the June 21, 2001, Federal Register), and that date will be provided when the public hearings are rescheduled.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions concerning the draft EIS, public hearings, or commenting on the EIS should be directed to Mr. Maurice Hill, Minerals Management Service, Office of Environmental Evaluation, Pacific OCS Region, 770 Paseo Camarillo, Camarillo, California 93010–6064. He may be reached by telephone at (805) 389–7815; or you may contact Mr. John Lane at (805) 389–7820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The June 20, 2001, decision by the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California requires MMS and the California Coastal Commission to review lease suspensions for the 36 undeveloped OCS oil and gas leases under provisions of the Coastal Zone Management Act. Although the court decision did not address the EIS, the MMS will postpone public hearings on the EIS until we complete action implementing the court's order.

Dated: July 5, 2001.

# Carolita U. Kallaur,

Associate Director for Offshore Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. 01–17209 Filed 7–5–01; 2:16 pm] **BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P** 

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-440]

In the Matter of Certain 4-Androstenediol; Request for Written Submissions on the Public Interest and Bonding

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

summary: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission is requesting briefing on the public interest and the appropriate bond during the period of Presidential review, if a limited exclusion order is issued in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission previously found the only named respondent in the investigation to be in default.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Jackson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205–3104. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's

electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol.public. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation, which concerns allegations of unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain 4androstenediol (a nutritional supplement used by body-builders) on December 19, 2000. 65 FR 79424. On April 19, 2001, complainant LPJ, Inc. of Seymour, Illinois (LPJ) moved pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1) and 19 CFR 210.16 for an order directing the only respondent, Changzhou Huabang Pharmaceutical Group, Ltd. (Changzhou), to show cause why it should not be found in default for failure to respond to LPJ's complaint. The Commission investigative attorney (IA) supported LPJ's motion. The presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) (Judge Luckern) issued Order No. 8 on April 30, 2001, directing Changzhou to show cause why it should not be found in default. Changzhou did not respond to that order.

On May 24, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID finding Changzhou in default pursuant to 19 CFR 210.16, and ruling that it had waived its rights to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations at issue in the investigation. No petitions for review of the ID were filed. The Commission decided not to review the ID on June 8, 2001, 66 FR 32374 (June 14, 2001), thereby allowing it to become the Commission's final determination under 19 CFR 210.42. On June 25, 2001, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1) and 19 CFR 210.16(c)(1), complainant LPJ filed a declaration seeking limited relief against the defaulting respondent. In its declaration, LPJ requested that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order against Changzhou.

Section 337(g)(1), 19 U.S.C. (g)(1), authorizes the Commission to order limited relief against a respondent found in default unless, after consideration of public interest factors, it finds that such relief should not issue. In this investigation, Changzhou has been found in default and LPJ has requested issuance of a limited exclusion order that would deny entry to certain 4-androstenediol manufactured by Changzhou. If the Commission decides to issue a limited exclusion order against Changzhou, it must consider what the amount of the