of business to ensure quality and compliance with composition standards. The plants would be charged for the inspectors' time to conduct the records review, estimated to take four hours annually. At an hourly rate of \$110, a records review would cost the plant approximately \$440. This results in annual net saving to plants ranging from \$4,560 to \$31,560.

Program provisions would be applied uniformly to both large and small businesses and would not be expected to burden small entities unduly or disproportionately.

Executive Order 13175

This proposed rule has been reviewed under E.O. 13175—Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, which requires agencies to consider whether their rulemaking actions would have Tribal implications. AMS has determined that this proposed rule is unlikely to have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian Tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 58

Dairy product, Food grades and standards, Food labeling, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For reasons set forth in the preamble, the Agricultural Marketing Service proposes to amend 7 CFR part 58 as follows:

PART 58—GRADING AND INSPECTION, GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR APPROVED PLANTS AND STANDARDS FOR GRADES OF DAIRY PRODUCTS

■ 1. The authority for part 58 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

■ 2. Amend § 58.148 by adding paragraph (h) to read as follows:

§ 58.148 Plant records.

* * * * *

- (h) Butterfat test records. Retain for 12 months.
- 3. Amend § 58.336 by revising paragraphs (a) and (b) to read as follows:

§ 58.336 Frequency of sampling for quality control of cream, butter and related products.

- (a) *Microbiological*. Samples shall be taken from churnings or batches and should be taken as often as is necessary to ensure microbiological control.
- (b) Sampling and testing. (1) Composition. Sampling and testing for

product composition shall be made on churns or batches as often as is necessary to insure adequate composition control. For in-plant control, the Kohman or modified Kohman test may be used.

(2) Sampling. Butterfat sampling may be performed as part of an in-plant quality program.

Erin Morris,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2023–17052 Filed 8–14–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2020-0555; FRL-11148-01-R5]

Air Plan Approval; Illinois; Base Year Emissions Inventory for the 2015 Ozone Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve, under the Clean Air Act (CAA), revisions to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency on October 22, 2020, and February 14, 2023. The revisions address the emissions inventory requirements for the Chicago and Metro-East nonattainment areas under the 2015 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard. The Chicago nonattainment area includes Cook, DuPage, Grundy (Aux Sable and Goose Lake Townships), Kane, Kendall (Oswego Township), Lake, McHenry, and Will counties. The Metro-East nonattainment area includes Madison, Monroe, and St. Clair counties. The CAA requires emissions inventories for all ozone nonattainment

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 14, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2020–0555 at https://www.regulations.gov or via email to blakley.pamela@epa.gov. For comments submitted at Regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket.

Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e. on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/ commenting-epa-dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Emily Crispell, Environmental Scientist, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–8512, crispell.emily@epa.gov. The EPA Region 5 office is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays and facility closures due to COVID–19.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules section of this Federal **Register**, EPA is approving the state's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives such comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: August 8, 2023.

Debra Shore,

Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 2023–17342 Filed 8–14–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[EPA-R01-RCRA-2023-0264; FRL-11231-01-R1]

New Hampshire: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: New Hampshire has applied to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for final authorization of revisions to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended. The EPA proposes to grant final authorization to New Hampshire for these revisions by a direct final rule, which can be found in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this Federal Register. We have explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble to the direct final rule. Unless EPA receives written comments that oppose this authorization during the comment period, the direct final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and the EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule.

DATES: Send your written comments by September 14, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R01-RCRA-2023-0264, at https:// www.regulations.gov/. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from www.regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full

EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tulasi Landes, RCRA Waste Management and Lead Branch; Land, Chemicals and Redevelopment Division; EPA Region 1, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (Mail code 07–1), Boston, MA 02109–3912; phone: (617) 918–1228; email: landes.tulasi@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is authorizing the revisions by a direct final rule. The EPA did not make a proposal prior to the direct final rule because we believe this action is not controversial and do not expect comments that oppose it. We have explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble to the direct final rule. Unless the EPA receives adverse written comments that oppose this authorization during the comment period, the direct final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and the EPA will not take further action on this proposal. If the EPA receives comments that oppose this action, we will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. The EPA will then respond to public comments in a later final rule based on this proposal. You may not have another opportunity for comment. If you want to comment on this action, you should do so at this time. For additional information, please see the direct final rule published in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this Federal Register.

Authority: This proposed action is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: August 8, 2023.

David W. Cash,

Regional Administrator, U.S. EPA Region 1. [FR Doc. 2023–17388 Filed 8–14–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 271 and 272

[EPA-R08-RCRA-2023-0033; FRL-10606-01-R8]

Montana: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions and Incorporation by Reference

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to grant authorization to the State of Montana for the changes to its hazardous waste program under the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, commonly referred to as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The EPA has determined that these changes satisfy all requirements needed to qualify for final authorization, and is authorizing the State's changes through a direct final action which can be found in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this Federal Register. In addition, the EPA is proposing to codify in the regulations entitled "Approved State Hazardous Waste Management Programs," Montana's authorized hazardous waste program. The EPA will incorporate by reference into the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) those provisions of the State regulations that are authorized and that the EPA will enforce under RCRA.

DATES: Send written comments by September 14, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R08-RCRA-2023-0033 at https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the detailed instructions for submitting comments electronically or by other methods in the ADDRESSES section of the direct final rule located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Moye Lin, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Branch, EPA Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129; telephone number: (303) 312–6667, email address: lin.moye@ epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this Federal Register, the EPA is authorizing changes to the Montana program, in addition to codifying and incorporating by reference the State's hazardous waste program as a direct final rule. The EPA did not make a proposal prior to the direct final rule because we believe