

II. Method of collection

By mail, facsimile, or hand delivery to the USPTO when the applicant or agent files a statutory invention registration with the USPTO.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0651-0036.

Form Number(s): PTO/SB/94.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; business or other for-profit;

not-for-profit institutions; farms; the Federal Government; and state, local or tribal governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 73 responses per year.

Estimated Time Per Response: The USPTO estimates that it will take approximately 24 minutes each to gather, prepare, and submit the request for statutory invention registration, the petition to review the rejection decision, and the petition to withdraw the publication request, depending upon

the complexity of the situation. This collection contains 1 form and 2 petitions.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours: 29 hours per year.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden: \$7,308. Using the professional hourly rate of \$252 per hour for associate attorneys in private firms, the USPTO estimates \$7,308 per year for salary costs associated with respondents.

Item	Estimated time for response	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours
Statutory Invention Registration	24 minutes	70	28.0
Petition to Review Rejection Decision	24 minutes	1	0.4
Petition to Withdraw Publication Request	24 minutes	2	0.8
Total	73	29.2

Estimated Total Annual Nonhour Respondent Cost Burden: \$107,146. There are no capital start-up costs or maintenance costs associated with this information collection. However this collection does have postage costs and filing fees.

The public may submit the paper forms and petitions in this collection to the USPTO by mail through the United States Postal Service. The USPTO

estimates that the average first-class postage cost for a mailed submission will be 49 cents, and that customers filing the documents associated with this information collection may choose to mail their submissions to the USPTO. Therefore, the USPTO estimates that up to 73 submissions per year may be mailed to the USPTO at an average first-class postage cost of 49 cents, for a total postage cost of \$36.

There is annual nonhour cost burden in the way of filing fees associated with this collection. Since the filing fees have not previously been included in this collection, the total number of filings is being used to calculate these costs.

The estimated filing costs for this collection of \$107,110 are calculated in the accompanying chart.

Item	Response (a)	Filing fee (\$) (b)	Total non-hour cost burden (a) x (b)
Statutory Invention Registration (requested prior to mailing of first office action, 37 CFR 1.17(n))	24	\$920.00	\$22,080.00
Statutory Invention Registration (requested after mailing of first office action, 37 CFR 1.17(o))	46	1,840.00	84,640.00
Petition to Review Rejection Decision	1	130.00	130.00
Petition to Withdraw Publication Request	2	130.00	260.00
Total	73	107,110.00

The USPTO estimates that the total non-hour respondent cost burden for this collection in the form of postage costs and filing fees amounts to \$107,146.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility;

(b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the

collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 9, 2002.

Susan K. Brown,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of Data Architecture and Services, Data Administration Division.

[FR Doc. 02-31574 Filed 12-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS**Determination under the African Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA)**

December 10, 2002.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Determination.

SUMMARY: The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA) has determined that handloomed fabric made in Malawi and handmade articles made from such handloomed fabric that are made in Malawi qualify for preferential treatment under Section 112(a) of the African Growth and

Opportunity Act (AGOA). Therefore, imports of eligible products from Malawi with an appropriate AGOA Visa will qualify for duty-free treatment under the AGOA.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 23, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anna Flaaten, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-3400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The African Growth and Opportunity Act (Title I of the Trade and Development Act of 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-2000)(AGOA) provides preferential tariff treatment for imports of certain textile and apparel products of beneficiary sub-Saharan African countries. In a letter to the Commissioner of Customs dated January 18, 2001, the United States Trade Representative directed Customs to require that importers provide an appropriate export visa from a beneficiary sub-Saharan African country to obtain preferential treatment under section 112(a) of the AGOA (66 FR 7837). The first digit of the visa number corresponds to one of nine groupings of textile and apparel products that are eligible for preferential tariff treatment. Grouping "9" is reserved for handmade, handloomed, or folklore articles.

Under Section 2 of Executive Order 13191 of January 17, 2001, CITA is authorized to "consult with beneficiary sub-Saharan African countries and to determine which, if any, particular textile and apparel goods shall be treated as being handloomed, handmade, or folklore articles" (66 FR 7272). Consultations with Malawi were held on November 13, 2002, and CITA has now determined that handloomed fabrics produced in and exported from Malawi and handmade articles produced in and exported from Malawi made from such handloomed fabrics are eligible for preferential tariff treatment under section 112(a) of the AGOA. In the letter published below, CITA directs the Commissioner of Customs to allow entry of such products of Malawi under Harmonized Tariff Schedule provision 9819.11.27, when accompanied by an appropriate export visa in grouping "9".

D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

December 10, 2002.

Commissioner of Customs,
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229. Dear

Commissioner: The Committee for the Implementation of Textiles Agreements (CITA), pursuant to Sections 112(a) of the African Growth and Opportunity Act (Title I of Pub. L. No. 106-200) (AGOA) and Executive Order 13101 of January 17, 2001, has determined that, effective on December 23, 2002, handloomed fabric produced in Malawi and handmade articles produced in Malawi from such handloomed fabric shall be treated as being handloomed, handmade, or folklore articles under the AGOA, and that an export visa issued by the Government of Malawi for Grouping "9" is a certification by the Government of Malawi that the article is handloomed, handmade, or folklore. CITA directs you to permit duty-free entry of such articles accompanied by the appropriate visa and entered under heading 9819.11.27 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

Sincerely,
D. Michael Hutchinson,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.
[FR Doc. 02-31572 Filed 12-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Availability for the Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report for the Pier J South Maine Terminal Expansion Project, Los Angeles County, CA

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Regulatory Branch, in coordination with the Port of Long Beach, has completed a Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) for the Pier J South Marine Terminal Expansion project. The Port of Long Beach requires authorization pursuant to section 404 of the Clean Water Act and section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act for 115 acres of landfill in three phases, dredging up to 10,000,000 cubic yards of sediment, construction of a new concrete pile-supported wharf, new terminal buildings and a new rail yard.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions or comments concerning the Revised Draft EIS/EIR should be directed to Dr. Aaron O. Allen, Senior Project Manager, Regulatory Branch, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, CA, 90053-2325, phone: (805) 585-2148.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: None.

Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 02-31453 Filed 12-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KF-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before January 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Lauren Wittenberg, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Lauren.Whittenberg@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.