

O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following services are added to the Procurement List:

Services

Service Type/Location:

Administrative Services, USDA, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Raleigh, North Carolina.

NPA: Employment Source, Inc., Fayetteville, North Carolina.

Contract Activity: USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Riverdale, Maryland.

Service Type/Location: Base Supply Center, U.S. Army Garrison, Fort Lee, Virginia.

NPA: Virginia Industries for the Blind, Charlottesville, Virginia.

Contract Activity: U.S. Army Garrison, Fort Lee, Virginia.

Service Type/Location: Embroidery of USAF Service Name Tapes, Emboss of Plastic Name Tags, Lackland AFB, Texas.

NPA: Delaware Division for the Visually Impaired, New Castle, Delaware.

NPA: Lions Industries for the Blind, Inc., Kinston, North Carolina.

Contract Activity: Lackland Air Force Base Contracting (AETC), Lackland AFB, Texas.

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

Sheryl D. Kennerly,

Director, Information Management.

[FR Doc. 02–20861 Filed 8–15–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1238]

Termination of Foreign-Trade Subzones 84D and 84G; Houston, TX

Pursuant to the authority granted in the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the Foreign-Trade Zones Board Regulations (15 CFR Part 400), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board has adopted the following order:

Whereas, on May 6, 1991 and December 20, 1991, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board issued grants of authority to the Port of Houston Authority, authorizing the establishment of Foreign-Trade Subzone 84D at the United General Supply Co., Inc. plant in Houston, Texas (Board Order 519, 56 FR 22150, 5/14/91) and Subzone 84G at the

Goodman Manufacturing Company, LP, plant in Houston, Texas (Board Order 553, 56 FR 67058, 12/27/91), respectively;

Whereas, the Port advised the Board on March 20, 2001 (FTZ Docket 48–2001), that zone procedures were no longer needed at these facilities and requested voluntary termination of Subzones 84D and 84G;

Whereas, the request has been reviewed by the FTZ Staff and the Customs Service, and approval has been recommended;

Now, therefore, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board terminates the subzone status of Subzone Nos. 84D and 84G respectively, effective this date.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of August 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 02–20906 Filed 8–15–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1243]

Approval for Expansion of Subzone 165A Phillips Petroleum Company (Oil Refinery); Borger, TX

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, Phillips Petroleum Company (Phillips), Subzone 165A, has requested authority to add capacity and to expand the scope of authority under zone procedures within the Phillips refinery in Borger, Texas (FTZ Docket 6–2002, filed 1/22/2002);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 4392, 1/30/02);

Whereas, pursuant to Section 400.32(b)(1) of the FTZ Board regulations (15 CFR part 400), the Secretary of Commerce's delegate on the FTZ Board has the authority to act for the Board in making decisions regarding manufacturing activity within existing zones when the proposed activity is the same, in terms of products involved, to activity recently approved by the Board and similar in circumstances (15 CFR 400.32(b)(1)(i)); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations would be satisfied,

and that approval of the application would be in the public interest if approval is subject to the conditions listed below;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to add capacity and to expand the scope of authority under zone procedures within Subzone 165A, is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28, and subject to the following conditions:

1. Foreign status (19 CFR 146.41, 146.42) products consumed as fuel for the petrochemical complex shall be subject to the applicable duty rate.

2. Privileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.41) shall be elected on all foreign merchandise admitted to the subzone, except that non-privileged foreign (NPF) status (19 CFR 146.42) may be elected on refinery inputs covered under HTSUS Subheadings #2709.00.10, #2709.00.20, #2710.11.25, #2710.11.45, #2710.19.05, #2710.19.10, #2710.19.45, #2710.91.00, #2710.99.05, #2710.99.10, #2710.99.21, #2710.99.45, and which are used in the production of:

—Petrochemical feedstocks (examiner's report, Appendix "C");

—Products for export; and

—Products eligible for entry under HTSUS #9808.00.30 and #9808.00.40 (U.S. Government purchases).

Signed at Washington, DC this 8th day of August 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 02–20907 Filed 8–15–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–05–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–122–503]

Iron Construction Castings from Canada: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 9, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation and preliminary results of its changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on iron construction castings from Canada. See *Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative*

Review: Iron Construction Castings from Canada, 67 FR 45461 (July 9, 2002) (*Preliminary Results*). We have now completed that review. For these final results, as in the *Preliminary Results*, we determine that during the period covered by the Department's 99–00 antidumping duty administrative review of the order on iron construction castings from Canada (March 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000), the Laperle, Grand Mere, and Bibby Ste-Croix foundries, which had been owned by various legal entities named as respondents in prior segments of this proceeding, were all unincorporated foundries owned by the same company, Canada Pipe Company Ltd.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 16, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karine Gziryan or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4081 and (202) 482–5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2002).

Background

On April 12, 2001, the Department published in the Federal Register (66 FR 18900) the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review on iron construction castings from Canada covering the period March 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000. Canada Pipe Company Ltd. (or Canada Pipe) was the sole respondent in the 99–00 administrative review. On May 10, 2002, Canada Pipe submitted a written request that the Department clarify for the U.S. Customs Service (possibly in the context of a changed circumstances review) that the weighted-average margin calculated in the 99–00 administrative review applies to Canada Pipe's unincorporated plants (or foundries) that have "Bibby Ste-Croix," "Laperle," "Grand Mere," or simply "Bibby" in their names.

On July 9, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation and preliminary results of its changed

circumstances antidumping administrative review of the antidumping duty order on iron construction castings from Canada. See *Preliminary Results*. Interested parties were invited to comment on the preliminary results. On July 22, 2002, Canada Pipe submitted comments. See the "Comments" section below. No other parties submitted comments.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this review consists of certain iron construction castings from Canada, limited to manhole covers, rings, and frames, catch basin grates and frames, cleanout covers and frames used for drainage or access purposes for public utility, water and sanitary systems, classifiable as heavy castings under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 7325.10.0010, 7325.10.0020, and 7325.10.0025¹. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description remains dispositive.

Final Results of Review

Based on our analysis in the *Preliminary Results*, we find that during the 99–00 review period, the Laperle, Grand Mere, and Bibby Ste-Croix foundries, which had been owned by various legal entities named as respondents in prior segments of this proceeding, were unincorporated foundries in the Bibby Ste-Croix Division of Canada Pipe Company Ltd. Moreover, we note that during the 99–00 antidumping duty administrative review of the order on iron construction castings from Canada, the Department reviewed sales of Canada Pipe Company Ltd., including its Bibby Ste-Croix Division. Thus, the antidumping duty deposit and assessment rates calculated in the 99–00 antidumping duty administrative review of Canada Pipe Company Ltd. should be applied to Canada Pipe Company Ltd., including its unincorporated foundries, Laperle, Grand Mere, and Bibby Ste-Croix.

Comment

Canada Pipe notes that the June 24, 2002 memorandum from Holly A. Kuga to Bernard T. Carreau states that the Laperle foundry, Grand Mere foundry and the Bibby Ste-Croix foundry should receive the same cash deposit and assessment rates as Canada Pipe Company Ltd., however the *Preliminary Results* published in the **Federal Register** only address the cash deposit rate. Canada Pipe assumes that this

omission was unintentional and urges the Department to clearly specify in the final results of this review that its finding applies both to cash deposit and assessment rates.

Department's Position

We agree with Canada Pipe and will instruct the U.S. Customs Service that any assessment rate calculated for Canada Pipe Company Ltd. also applies to Canada Pipe Company Ltd.'s sales of subject merchandise produced by its Laperle, Grand Mere and Bibby Ste-Croix foundries.

Cash Deposit

Because the Department reviewed sales of Canada Pipe, including its Bibby Ste-Croix Division, in the 99–00 administrative review, the cash deposit rate for Canada Pipe from that review will apply to all entries of subject merchandise (including Canada Pipe's sales of subject merchandise produced by its Laperle, Grand Mere, and Bibby Ste-Croix foundries) entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 12, 2001, the date of publication of the final results in the 99–00 administrative review. This deposit rate, 3.89 percent,² shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the 2000–2001 administrative review which is being published concurrently with this notice.

Assessment

As noted above, the assessment rate calculated in the 99–00 antidumping duty administrative review of Canada Pipe Company Ltd. should be applied to Canada Pipe Company Ltd. as well as its unincorporated foundries, Laperle, Grand Mere and Bibby St. Croix.

Notification

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 352.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and section 351.216 of the Department's regulations.

¹ The scope reflects the HTS item numbers currently in effect.

² In the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review, we incorrectly stated that the cash deposit rate is 3.84 percent.

Dated: August 8, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-20903 Filed 8-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-503]

Iron Construction Castings from Canada: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of
antidumping duty administrative
review.

SUMMARY: On April 10, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on iron construction castings (ICC) from Canada (67 FR 17358). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Canada Pipe Company, Ltd. The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2000, through February 28, 2001.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made no changes in the margin calculation. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled, "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 16, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karine Gziryan or Howard Smith, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4081 and (202) 482-5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2002).

Background

On April 10, 2002, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ICC from Canada. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Iron Construction Castings from Canada*, 67 FR 17358 (April 10, 2002).

In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, Canada Pipe Company, Ltd. (Canada Pipe or respondent) filed its case brief on May 10, 2002. No other interested parties filed case or rebuttal briefs.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this review consists of certain iron construction castings from Canada, limited to manhole covers, rings, and frames, catch basin grates and frames, cleanout covers and frames used for drainage or access purposes for public utility, water and sanitary systems, classifiable as heavy castings under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 7325.10.0010, 7325.10.0020, and 7325.10.0025¹. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description remains dispositive.

Period of Review

The POR is March 1, 2000, to February 28, 2001.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs filed by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (*Decision Memorandum*) from Bernard T. Carreau, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 8, 2002, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the *Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed

¹ The scope reflects the HTS item numbers currently in effect.

directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made no changes in the margin calculation.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average percentage margin exists for the period March 1, 2000, through February 28, 2001:

| Manufacturer/Exporter | Percent Margin |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Canada Pipe Company, Ltd. | 1.43 percent |

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of ICC from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Canada Pipe Company, Ltd.² will be the rate shown above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if merchandise is exported by a firm other than the manufacturer and the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, prior reviews, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be 7.5 percent, the "all-others" rate established in the LTFV investigation³. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of administrative review for a subsequent review period.

Assessment

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service (Customs)

² As noted in the accompanying *Decision Memorandum*, the cash deposit rate calculated for Canada Pipe Company, Ltd. in this administrative review applies to Canada Pipe Company, Ltd., including its unincorporated foundries, Laperle, Grand Mere, and Bibby Ste-Croix.

³ The "all others" rate was incorrectly identified as 14.67 percent in both the preliminary results of this review (67 FR 17358) and the preliminary results of the review covering the period March 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000 (65 FR 76609).