

PART 403—PROTECTION OF CUSTOMER SECURITIES AND BALANCES

1. The authority citation for part 403 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 101, Pub. L. 99–571, 100 Stat. 3209; sec. 4(b), Pub. L. 101–432, 104 Stat. 963; sec. 102, sec. 106, Pub. L. 103–202, 107 Stat. 2344 (15 U.S.C. 78o–5(a)(5), (b)(1)(A), (b)(4)).

§ 403.7 [Amended]

2. Section 403.7 is amended by deleting paragraphs (d) and (e).

List of Subjects in 17 CFR Part 405

Brokers, Government securities, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, we propose that 17 CFR 405.2 and 405.5 be amended as follows:

PART 405—REPORTS AND AUDIT

1. The authority citation for part 405 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 78o–5 (b)(1)(B), (b)(1)(C), (b)(2), (b)(4).

§ 405.2 [Amended]

2. Section 405.2 is amended by deleting paragraphs (a)(11) through (a)(14) and redesignating paragraphs (a)(15) and (a)(16) as paragraphs (a)(11) and (a)(12), respectively.

§ 405.5 [Amended]

3. Section 405.5 is amended by deleting paragraph (a)(7).

List of Subjects in 17 CFR Part 420

Foreign investments in U.S., Government securities, Investments, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, we propose that 17 CFR part 420 be amended as follows:

PART 420—LARGE POSITION REPORTING

1. The authority citation for part 420 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 78o–5(f).

2. Section 420.4 is amended by deleting paragraphs (a)(2) and (a)(3), and redesignating paragraph (a)(1) as paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 420.4 Recordkeeping.

(a) An aggregating entity that controls a portion of its reporting entity's reportable position in a recently-issued Treasury security, when such reportable position of the reporting entity equals or exceeds the minimum large position threshold, shall be responsible for

making and maintaining the records prescribed in this section.

* * * * *

Mary J. Miller,

Assistant Secretary for Financial Markets.

[FR Doc. 2011–24785 Filed 9–26–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–39–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 110

[Docket No. USCG–2011–0443]

RIN 1625–AA01

Anchorage Regulations; Newport, RI

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to change the shape and expand the dimensions of anchorage “D” at Newport, Rhode Island, to better accommodate increasing cruise ship visits to Newport and to improve navigation safety.

DATES: Comments and related material, including requests for public meetings, must be received by the Coast Guard on or before October 27, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2011–0443 using any one of the following methods:

(1) *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

(2) *Fax:* 202–493–2251.

(3) *Mail:* Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001.

(4) *Hand delivery:* Same as mail address above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

To avoid duplication, please use only one of these methods. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this proposed rule, call Mr. Edward G. LeBlanc, Chief, Waterways Management Division, Coast Guard Sector Southeastern New England, at 401–435–2351, or Edward.G.LeBlanc@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting

material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Participation and Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related materials. All comments received will be posted, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided.

Submitting Comments

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking (USCG–2011–0443), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online (via <http://www.regulations.gov>), or by fax, mail or hand delivery, but please use only one of these means. If you submit a comment online via <http://www.regulations.gov>, it will be considered received by the Coast Guard when you successfully transmit the comment. If you fax, hand deliver, or mail your comment, it will be considered as having been received by the Coast Guard when it is received at the Docket Management Facility. We recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an e-mail address, or a phone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

To submit your comment online, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, click on the “submit a comment” box, which will then become highlighted in blue. In the “Document Type” drop down menu select “Proposed Rule” and insert “USCG–2011–0443” in the “Keyword” box. Click “Search” then click on the balloon shape in the “Actions” column. If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit comments by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period and may change the rule based on your comments.

Viewing Comments and Documents

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, click on the "read comments" box, which will then become highlighted in blue. In the "Keyword" box insert "USCG-2011-0443" and click "Search." Click the "Open Docket Folder" in the "Actions" column. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation to use the Docket Management Facility.

Privacy Act

Anyone can search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008 issue of the **Federal Register** (73 FR 3316).

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But, you may submit a request for one to the Docket Management Facility at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

Basis and Purpose

The legal basis for the proposed rule is: 33 U.S.C. 471, 1221 through 1236, 2030, 2035, 2071; 33 CFR 1.05-1; and Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1, which collectively authorize the Coast Guard to define anchorage grounds.

This proposed rule would change the shape and expand the dimensions of anchorage "D" at Newport, Rhode Island, to better accommodate increasing cruise ship visits to Newport, and to improve navigation safety.

Discussion of Proposed Rule

This proposed rule is intended to change the shape and expand the size of anchorage "D" west of Goat Island, Newport, RI, to safely accommodate up to three cruise ships simultaneously. Currently, it is a trapezoid-shaped anchorage of approximately 0.11 square nautical miles that can safely accommodate only two cruise ships

simultaneously. Over the past several years cruise ship visits to Newport, RI, have been more frequent. On occasion, there is a need to anchor up to three cruise ships simultaneously in anchorage "D". For the convenience and safety of passengers, an increase in the size of the anchorage is necessary. The Coast Guard believes the depth of water, water-sheet area, and density of vessel traffic in the vicinity of Newport west of Goat Island are sufficient to accommodate this change.

Consequently, the Coast Guard proposes to change the shape of anchorage "D" from a trapezoid to a square, and expand its size from approximately 0.11 to 0.24 square nautical miles. The proposed rule also includes specific anchorage points when there are one, two, or three vessels anchored in anchorage "D".

This proposed rule will not change the current provision in 33 CFR 110.145(a)(4)(i) and (ii) that gives preference to the U.S. Navy from May 1 to October 1 each year should it require the anchorage, and allows temporary floats or buoys for marking of anchors or moorings.

Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

Executive Order 12866 and Executive Order 13563

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule would not be significant because it only modifies the shape of a currently-existing anchorage at Newport, RI. Although it also would increase the size of the anchorage, the water-sheet area covered by the proposed anchorage is still less than 0.25 square nautical miles.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit

organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This proposed rule may affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels that have a need to anchor in anchorage "D" at Newport, RI.

This proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. This rule would only modify the shape of a currently-existing anchorage at Newport, RI, and although it also would increase the size of the anchorage, the water-sheet area covered by the proposed anchorage is still less than 0.25 square nautical miles. It would not impose new requirements that would affect vessels' schedules or their ability to transit in the Newport, RI, area or Narragansett Bay, nor would it require the purchase of any new equipment or the hiring of any additional crew.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES** above) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), we want to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Mr. Edward G. LeBlanc, Chief, Waterways Management Division, Coast Guard Sector Southeastern New England, at 401-435-2351, or Edward.G.LeBlanc@uscg.mil. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork

Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a state, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule does not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule will not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This proposed rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of

power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. A preliminary environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. This proposed rule involves the modification of a currently-existing anchorage area at Newport, RI. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a

significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 110 as follows:

PART 110—ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 110 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 471; 1221 through 1236, 2030, 2035, 2071; 33 CFR 1.05–1; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. Revise paragraphs (a)(4) and (d)(2) of § 110.145 to read as follows:

§ 110.145 Narragansett Bay, R.I.

(a) * * *

(4) Anchorage D. West of Goat Island, an area bounded by the following coordinates:

Northeast Corner: 41°29.484' N, 071°19.975' W;

Northwest Corner: 41°29.484' N, 071°20.578' W;

Southwest Corner: 41°29.005' N, 071°20.578' W;

Southeast Corner: 41°29.005' N, 071°19.975' W.

(i) * * *

(iii) Should any part of an anchored vessel extend into the recommended vessel route in the East Passage of Narragansett Bay, a securite call notifying mariners of the vessel's exact position and status shall be made at least hourly on VHF channels 13 and 16.

(iv) As much as practicable, vessels anchoring will do so in the following order:

Primary anchoring point: 41°29.25' N, 071°20.15' W;

Secondary anchoring point: 41°29.38' N, 071°20.45' W;

Tertiary anchoring point: 41°29.15' N, 071°20.50' W.

Note: “Anchoring point” is the intended position of the anchor at rest on the bottom of the anchorage. All coordinates referenced use datum: NAD 83.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(2) Anchors must not be placed outside the anchorage areas, nor shall any vessel be so anchored that any portion of the hull or rigging shall at any time extend outside the boundaries of the anchorage area. However, Anchorage D is exempt from this requirement; see paragraph (a)(4) of this section.

* * * * *

Dated: September 13, 2011.

Daniel A. Neptun,

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander,
First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2011-24729 Filed 9-26-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 50

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2007-1145; FRL-9471-7]

RIN 2060-A072

Extension of Comment Period for Secondary National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Oxides of Nitrogen and Sulfur

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of extension of public
comment period.

SUMMARY: The EPA is announcing the
extension of the public comment period
for the Secondary National Ambient Air
Quality Standards for Oxides of
Nitrogen and Sulfur to October 10, 2011.

DATES: The public comment period will
be extended to October 10, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this
proposed rule may be submitted to the
EPA electronically, by mail, by
facsimile, or through hand delivery/
courier.

Submit your comments, identified by
Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2007-
1145, by one of the following methods:

- <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow
the on-line instructions for submitting
comments.

- *E-mail:* a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov.

- *Fax:* 202-566-1741.

- *Mail:* Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR-
2007-1145, Environmental Protection
Agency, Mail code 6102T, 1200
Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington,
DC 20460. Please include a total of two
copies.

- *Hand Delivery:* Docket No. EPA-
HQ-OAR-2007-1145, Environmental
Protection Agency, EPA West, Room
3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW.,
Washington, DC. Such deliveries are
only accepted during the Docket's
normal hours of operation, and special
arrangements should be made for
deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to
Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2007-
1145. The EPA's policy is that all
comments received will be included in
the public docket without change and
may be made available online at
<http://www.regulations.gov>, including
any personal information provided,

unless the comment includes
information claimed to be Confidential
Business Information (CBI) or other
information whose disclosure is
restricted by statute. Do not submit
information that you consider to be CBI
or otherwise protected through [http://
www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or e-mail. The
<http://www.regulations.gov> Web site is
an "anonymous access" system, which
means EPA will not know your identity
or contact information unless you
provide it in the body of your comment.
If you send an e-mail comment directly
to EPA without going through [http://
www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), your e-mail
address will be automatically captured
and included as part of the comment
that is placed in the public docket and
made available on the Internet. If you
submit an electronic comment, EPA
recommends that you include your
name and other contact information in
the body of your comment and with any
disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA
cannot read your comment due to
technical difficulties and cannot contact
you for clarification, EPA may not be
able to consider your comment.
Electronic files should avoid the use of
special characters, any form of
encryption, and be free of any defects or
viruses. For additional information
about EPA's public docket, visit the EPA
Docket Center homepage at [http://
www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm](http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm).

Docket

A complete set of documents related
to the proposal is available for public
inspection at the EPA Docket Center,
located at 1301 Constitution Avenue,
NW., Room 3334, Washington, DC
between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m.,
Monday through Friday, excluding legal
holidays. A reasonable fee may be
charged for copying. Documents are also
available through the electronic docket
system at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions concerning the "Secondary
National Ambient Air Quality Standards
for Oxides of Nitrogen and Sulfur"
proposed rule should be addressed to
Rich Scheffe, U.S. EPA, Office of Air
Quality Planning and Standards, Air
Quality Assessment Division, (C304-
02), Research Triangle Park, NC 27711,
telephone: (919) 541-4650, e-mail:
scheffe.rich@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Extension of public comment period.
The EPA has received requests for
additional time from stakeholders and
has decided to extend the comment
period by 10 days to allow interested
parties to have additional time to
prepare their comments. The proposal

was published in the **Federal Register**
on August 1, 2011, (76 FR 46084) and
is available on the following Web site:
[http://www.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/
standards/no2so2sec/cr_fr.html](http://www.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/standards/no2so2sec/cr_fr.html).

How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

The EPA has established the official
public docket for the "Secondary
National Ambient Air Quality Standards
for Oxides of Nitrogen and Sulfur"
under Docket Number EPA-HQ-OAR-
2007-1145. The EPA has also developed
a Web site for the proposal at the
address given above. Please refer to the
proposal, published in the **Federal
Register** on August 1, 2011, (76 FR
46084) for detailed information on
accessing information related to the
proposal.

Dated: September 21, 2011.

Mary Henigin,

*Acting Director, Office of Air Quality Planning
and Standards.*

[FR Doc. 2011-24856 Filed 9-26-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2009-0839; FRL-9469-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Indiana; Redesignation of the Indianapolis Area to Attainment of the 1997 Annual Standard for Fine Particulate Matter

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve
Indiana's request to redesignate the
Indianapolis, Indiana nonattainment
area (Hamilton, Hendricks, Johnson,
Marion, and Morgan Counties) to
attainment for the 1997 annual National
Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS
or standard) for fine particulate matter
(PM_{2.5}), because the request meets the
statutory requirements for redesignation
under the Clean Air Act (CAA). The
Indiana Department of Environmental
Management (IDEM) submitted this
request on October 20, 2009, and
supplemented it on May 31, 2011. EPA's
proposed approval involves several
additional related actions. EPA is
proposing to make a determination that
the Indianapolis area has attained the
1997 annual PM_{2.5} standard. EPA is
proposing to approve, as a revision to
the Indiana State Implementation Plan
(SIP), the State's plan for maintaining
the 1997 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS through