lists the following information: (1) The title of the information collection proposal; (2) the office of the agency to collect the information; (3) the OMB approval number, if applicable; (4) the description of the need for the information and its proposed use; (5) the agency form number, if applicable; (6) what members of the public will be affected by the proposal; (7) how frequently information submissions will be required; (8) an estimate of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information submission including

number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response; (9) whether the proposal is new, an extension, reinstatement, or revision of an information collection requirement; and (10) the name and telephone number of an agency official familiar with the proposal and of the OMB Desk Officer for the Department.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Revision to Cost Limits for Native American Housing

OMB Approval Number: 2577-XXXX.

Form Numbers: None.

Description of the need for the information and its Proposed Use: HUD provides grants to Native American tribes and Alaskan villages for development, construction and operation of low income housing in Indian Areas. Information collected and maintained will be used to ensure that housing is of moderate design.

Respondents: State, local or tribal government.

Frequency of Submission: Reporting.

	Number of respondents	Х	Frequency of response	Х	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
Reporting burden	365		1		2.2		820

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 820. Status: New Collection. Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: October 26, 2001.

Wavne Eddins,

Departmental Reports Management Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. 01–27491 Filed 11–1–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210-72-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4579-FA-11]

Announcement of Funding Awards— Fiscal Year 2001, Office of Troubled **Agency Recovery Cooperative** Agreements

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Announcement of funding awards.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989, this announcement notifies the public of funding decisions made by the Department from funds distributed to the Office of Troubled Agency Recovery during Fiscal Year 2001. This announcement contains the name and address of all awardees and the amount of each award.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen A. Newton, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-1141. Hearing- or speech-impaired individuals may call HUD's TDD number (202) 708-4594. (These numbers are not toll-free.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Cooperative Agreement with each of the following recipients was issued

pursuant to section 6(j) of the United States Housing Act of 1937. The awards will be used to provide technical assistance to support troubled agency recovery efforts and funding assistance as necessary to remedy the substantial deterioration of living conditions in public housing or other related emergencies that endanger the health, safety, and welfare of the residents.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for this program is 14.859.

In accordance with section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 (103 Stat. 1987, 42 U.S.C. 3545), the Department is publishing the name, address, and amount of each award as follows:

(COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT AWARDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2001

Awardee	
San Francisco Housing Authority, 440 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 Whitney Housing Authority, PO Box 594, Whitney, TX 76692 Housing Authority of New London, 78 Walden Avenue, New London, CT 06320 Bernalillo Housing Authority, PO Box 70 Bernalillo, NM 87004 Housing Authority of New Orleans, 4100 Touro Street, New Orleans, LA 70122 Muskegon Housing Commission, 1823 Commerce Street, Muskegon, MI 49440 Housing Authority of Kansas City, 299 Paseo, Kansas City, MO 64106 Tulane-Xavier University, Center for the Urban Community, Campus Affiliates Program, 102 Central Building, Tulane Univer-	\$147,679 5,625 155,000 28,985 150,000 125,000 200,000
sity, New Orleans, LA 70118	2,000,000 540,000 183,650 15,000 82,102 1,150,000 88,827 125,000

Dated: October 29, 2001.

Michael Liu.

Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

[FR Doc. 01–27490 Filed 11–1–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4644-N-44]

Federal Property Suitable as Facilities To Assist the Homeless

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice identifies unutilized, underutilized, excess, and surplus Federal property reviewed by HUD for suitability for possible use to assist the homeless.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 2, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clifford Taffet, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Room 7262, 451 Seventh Street SW, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708–1234; TTY number for the hearing—and speechimpaired (202) 708–2565, (these telephone numbers are not toll-free), or call the toll-free Title V information line at 1–800–927–7588.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In

accordance with the December 12, 1988 court order in *National Coalition for the Homeless* v. *Veterans Administration*, No. 88–2503–OG (D.D.C.), HUD publishes a notice, on a weekly basis, identifying unutilized, underutilized, excess and surplus Federal buildings and real property that HUD has reviewed for suitability for use to assist the homeless. Today's notice is for the purpose of announcing that no additional properties have been determined suitable or unsuitable this week.

Dated: October 24, 2001.

Mark R. Johnston,

Deputy Director, Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs.

[FR Doc. 01-27314 Filed 11-1-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-29-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Availability of Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Assessment for Antioch Dunes National Wildlife Refuge, Contra Costa County, California

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service,

Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service announces that a Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Assessment (CCP/EA) for Antioch Dunes National Wildlife Refuge (Refuge) is available for review and comment. This CCP/EA, prepared pursuant to the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, describes how the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service intends to manage the Refuge for the next 15 years. Also available for review with the CCP/EA are draft compatibility determinations for environmental education, interpretation, wildlife observation, and photography, and research.

DATES: Please submit comments on the Draft CCP/EA on or before December 3, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments of the Draft CCP/EA should be addressed to: Mark Pelz, Planning Team Leader, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California/Nevada Refuge Planning Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–1916, Sacramento, CA 95825. Comments may also be submitted via electronic mail to FW1PlanningComments@fws.gov. Please type "Antioch Dunes NWR" in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Pelz, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California/Nevada Refuge Planning Office, Room W–1916, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California, 95825; 916–414–6500; fax 916–414–

6512.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Availability of Documents

Copies of the Draft CCP/EA may be obtained by writing to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Attn: Mark Pelz, California/Nevada Refuge Planning Office, Room W–1916, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California, 95825. Copies of the plan may be viewed at this address or at the San Francisco Bay NWR Complex Headquarters, #1 Marshlands Road, Fremont, California. The Draft CCP/EA will also be available online for viewing and download at http://pacific.fws.gov/planning.

Background

The Antioch Dunes Refuge was the first National Wildlife Refuge in the country established to protect endangered plants and insects. Created in 1980 by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), this riverside Refuge provides protection and critical habitat for three endangered species: Lange's metalmark butterfly (Apodemia mormo langei) (Lange's), Contra Costa wallflower (*Ervsimum capitatum* ssp. angustatum) (wallflower), and Antioch Dunes evening primrose (Oenothera deltoides ssp. howellii) (primrose). The Refuge, 55-acres of former dunes, in addition to the adjacent 12 acres of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) land, is an isolated patch of what was once a larger dune system that hosted a unique assemblage of plants, insects, and reptiles. A major effort is currently underway to restore and improve dune habitat on the Refuge. The Refuge staff is based in the San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge Complex office in Fremont, California.

This Draft CCP/EA identifies and evaluates four alternatives for managing the Refuge for the next 15 years. Alternative D is the Service's preferred alternative.

Under Alternative A (No Action), current management and public use would continue unchanged. The Refuge would continue its current weed control program. Various control methods would be used, including hand weeding, treating with herbicide, and prescribed fire. As opportunities arise, the Refuge would recontour existing sand dunes at the Refuge by using heavy equipment to reshape existing sand substrate into steep dunes and by importing sand from offsite. The Service would continue to outplant primrose, wallflower, and buckwheat on an asneeded basis. Annual surveys of the three endangered species would continue. The Refuge boundary would remain the same. The Service would continue to work to finalize a Cooperative Agreement with PG&E to manage its adjacent lands. The Refuge would continue to be closed to public use except for occasional staff guided tours for schools and other groups.

Under Alternative B, the Refuge would be restored and managed to preindustrial natural conditions (oak woodland on sandy soils) with limited and controlled public access. Most of the Refuge would be managed as upland habitat and blowout areas along the shore would be allowed and encouraged to erode and to be colonized by endangered species. Nonnative weeds would continue to be controlled using