3. Issues beyond the scope of this plan amendment.

The BLM will provide an explanation in the Scoping Report or the EA as to why an issue was placed in category two or three. The public is also encouraged to help identify any management questions and concerns that should be addressed in the plan. The BLM will work collaboratively with interested parties to identify the management decisions that are best suited to local, regional, and national needs and concerns.

The BLM will use the NEPA public participation requirements to assist the agency in satisfying the public involvement requirements under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) (16 U.S.C. 470(f)) pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(d)(3). The information about historic and cultural resources within the area potentially affected by the proposed action will assist the BLM in identifying and evaluating impacts to such resources in the context of both NEPA and Section 106 of the NHPA.

The BLM will consult with Indian tribes on a government-to-government basis in accordance with Executive Order 13175 and other policies. Tribal concerns, including impacts on Indian trust assets and potential impacts to cultural resources, will be given due consideration. Federal, State, and local agencies, along with tribes and other stakeholders that may be interested in or affected by the proposed action that the BLM is evaluating, are invited to participate in the scoping process and, if eligible, may request or be requested by the BLM to participate in the development of the environmental analysis as a cooperating agency.

Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 43 CFR 1610.2.

Thomas F. Zale,

Field Manager, El Centro Field Office. [FR Doc. 2014–08213 Filed 4–10–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–40–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NRNHL-15399; PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before March 22, 2014. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation. Comments may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW., MS 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St. NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by April 28, 2014. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment-including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: March 28, 2014.

J. Paul Loether,

Chief, National Register of Historic Places/ National Historic Landmarks Program.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

District of Columbia

Bunker Hill Elementary School (Public School Buildings of Washington, DC MPS) 1401 Michigan Ave. NE., Washington, 14000186

FLORIDA

Duval County

American Red Cross Volunteer Life Saving Corps Station, 2 Ocean Front North, Jacksonville Beach, 14000187

NEVADA

Storey County

Hampton—Sullivan House, 168 N. B St., Virginia City, 14000188

NEW JERSEY

Bergen County

St. Paul's Episcopal Church, 113 Engle St., Englewood, 14000189

Somerset County

Brook Theater, The, 10 Hamilton St., Bound Brook Borough, 14000190

NEW YORK

Fulton County

Northville Historic District, Roughly Main, Division & Bridge Sts., Northville, 14000191

Lewis County

Collinsville Cemetery, 4061 East Rd., West Turin, 14000192

Onondaga County

South Salina Street Downtown Historic District (Boundary Increase), 200, 300, & E. side of 400 blks. of Warren, 205–209 Jefferson, 400 blk. & 500–550 S. Salina Sts., Syracuse, 14000193

PENNSYLVANIA

Allegheny County

Strip Historic District, Roughly bounded by former Pennsylvania RR. yards, Liberty Ave., Railroad, 22nd & 15th Sts., Pittsburgh, 14000194

Lancaster County

Stehli Silk Mill, 701 Martha Ave. (Manheim Township), Lancaster, 14000195

WISCONSIN

Florence County

Fulmer, David M. and Lottie, House, 209 Central Ave., Florence, 14000196 Webb, Robert B. and Estelle J., House, 200 Central Ave., Florence, 14000197

[FR Doc. 2014–08096 Filed 4–10–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-861/867]

Certain Cases for Portable Electronic Devices; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion for Summary Determination of Violation by the Defaulting Respondents; Request for Written Submissions

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 28) granting summary determination that the following defaulting respondents have violated section 337: Anbess Electronics Co. Ltd. of Shenzhen, China ("Anbess"); ROCON Digital Technology Corp. of Shenzhen, China ("Rocon"); Trait Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. (d/b/a Trait-Tech) of Shenzhen, China ("Trait"); Hongkong Wexun Ltd. (Wexun Tech (Hong Kong) Co., Ltd.) of Guangdong, China ("Wexun"); SW-Box.com (aka Cellphonezone Limited) of Sheung Wan, Hong Kong ("SW-Box"); and Global Digital Star Industry, Ltd. of Shenzhen City, China ("Global").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337-TA-861 on November 16, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Speculative Product Design, LLC of Mountain View, California ("Špeck"). 77 FR 68828 (Nov. 16, 2012). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain cases for portable electronic devices by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent No. 8,204,561 ("the '561 patent"). The complaint named several respondents.

The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337-TA-867 on January 31, 2013, based on a complaint filed by Speck. 78 FR 6834 (Jan. 31, 2013). That complaint also alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain cases for portable electronic devices by reason of infringement of various claims of the '561 patent. The complaint named several additional respondents. On January 31, 2013, the Commission consolidated the two investigations. Id.

All of the respondents that participated in the investigation have been terminated from the investigation. Specifically, respondents JWIN Electronics Corp., dba iLuv of Port Washington, New York and Fellowes, Inc. of Itsaca, Illinois were terminated from the investigation based upon settlement agreements. Respondents Project Horizon, Inc., d/b/a/InMotion Entertainment of Jacksonville, Florida and En Jinn Industrial Co., Ltd. of New Taipei City, Taiwan were terminated

from the investigation based upon consent order stipulations. Respondents Superior Communications, Inc. of Irwindale, California and Shengda Huangiu Shijie of Shenzhen, China were terminated from the investigation based upon withdrawal of allegations pertaining to them from the complaint. Respondent Jie Sheng Technology of Tainan City, Taiwan was terminated from the investigation based upon amendment to the complaint and notice of investigation. Respondent Body Glove International, LLC of Redondo Beach, California was terminated from the investigation based upon a finding that it had committed no acts in violation of section 337.

The following respondents were found in default: Anbess, Rocon, Trait, Wexun, SW-Box, and Global. Accordingly, the only parties remaining active in this investigation are Speck and the Commission investigative attorney ("IA").

On August 19, 2013, Speck filed a motion for summary determination that it has satisfied the domestic industry requirement under sections 337(a)(3)(A), (B), and (C) (not including licensing). On August 19, 2013, the IA filed a response in support of Speck's motion that it has satisfied the domestic industry requirement under section 337(a)(3)(C). On September 10, 2013, the ALI issued an ID (Order No 15) granting Speck's motion in part. Specifically, the ALJ found that Speck established a domestic industry for the '561 patent under section 337(a)(3)(C). On October 23, 2013, the Commission determined not to review the ID.

On September 30, 2013, the ALJ granted a motion by Speck to terminate the investigation as to claims 1–3, 6–8, 10, and 12–16 of the '561 patent. On November 11, 2013, the Commission determined not to review. Thus, claims 4, 5, 9, and 11 remain pending in the investigation.

On November 15, 2013, Speck filed a motion for summary determination of violation with respect to the defaulting respondents. On November 26, 2013, the IA filed a response in support of Speck's motion. On February 21, 2014, the presiding ALJ issued the subject ID, Order No. 28, granting the motion. He also recommended issuance of a general exclusion order and the imposition of a bond of 100 percent of entered value during the period of Presidential review.

Having considered the subject ID and the relevant portions of the record, the Commission has determined not to review the ID based on the substantial, reliable, and probative evidence establishing a violation by the defaulting respondents.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue one or more cease and desist orders that could result in the respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005. 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested

to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainant is also requested to state the date on which the '561 patent expires and the HTSUS subheadings under which the accused products are imported.

Written submissions must be filed no later than close of business on April 23, 2014. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on April 30, 2014. Such submissions should address the ALJ's recommended determinations on remedy and bonding which were made in Order No. 28. No further submissions on any of these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit eight true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-861/867") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/ secretary/fed reg notices/rules/ handbook on electronic filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted nonconfidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with the any confidential filing. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210)

By order of the Commission. Issued: April 8, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2014–08164 Filed 4–10–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1140–1142 (Review)]

Uncovered Innerspring Units from China, South Africa, and Vietnam

Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, ² pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units from China, South Africa, and Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on November 1, 2013 (78 FR 65711) and determined on February 4, 2014 that it would conduct expedited reviews (79 FR 11466, February 28, 2014)

The Commission completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on April 7, 2014.³ The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4459 (April 2014), entitled Uncovered Innerspring Units from China, South Africa, and Vietnam: Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1140–1142 (Review).

By order of the Commission. Issued: April 8, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2014–08161 Filed 4–10–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; New Collection; 2014 Census of Adult Probation Supervising Agencies

AGENCY: Bureau of Justice Statistics, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, will be submitting the following information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 79, Number 27, pages 7701–7702, on February 10, 2014, allowing a 60-day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "thirty days" until May 12, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden or associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or send to OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used:
- —Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g.

¹The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Shara L. Aranoff did not participate in these reviews.

³The Commission has the authority to toll statutory deadlines during a period when the government is closed. Because the Commission was closed on December 10, 2013, January 21, 2014, February 13, 2014, March 10, 2014, and March 17, 2014 due to inclement weather in Washington, DC, the statutory deadlines reflect the tolling of deadlines by five days.