North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams/html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of January 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Christopher Gratton,

Sr. Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02–2498 Filed 1–31–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-327-OLA, 50-328-OLA, & 50-390-OLA; ASLBP No. 02-796-01-OLA]

Tennessee Valley Authority; Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 & 2; Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the **Federal Register**, 37 FR 28,710 (1972), and sections 2.105, 2.700, 2.702, 2.714, 2.714a, 2.717, 2.721, and 2.772(j) of the Commission's Regulations, all as amended, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is being established to preside over the following proceeding:

Tennessee Valley Authority, Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 & 2, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1.

This Board is being established pursuant to two notices of consideration of issuance of operating license amendment, proposed no significant hazards consideration determination, and opportunity for a hearing published in the Federal Register (66 FR 65,000 and 65,005 (Dec. 17, 2001)). The proceeding involves petitions for intervention submitted January 16, 2002, by We the People, Inc., Tennessee, (WPIT) and the Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League (BREDL), respectively, challenging requests by the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) to amend the operating licenses for the Sequovah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, and the Watts Bar

Nuclear Plant, Unit 1.¹ The amendments would change facility technical specifications to allow the plants to provide incore irradiation services for the United States Department of Energy for the production of tritium for national defense purposes.

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:
Thomas S. Moore, Chair, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S.
Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Washington, DC 20555–0001

- Dr. Peter S. Lam, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001
- Dr. Thomas S. Elleman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001 All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed with the administrative judges in accordance with 10 CFR 2.701.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of January 2002.

G. Paul Bollwerk, III,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 02–2500 Filed 1–31–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Decommissioning Criteria for the West Valley Demonstration Project (M–32) at the West Valley Site; Final Policy Statement

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

ACTION: Final policy statement.

SUMMARY: On December 3, 1999 (64 FR 67952), the Commission issued, for public comment, a draft policy statement that would approve the application of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) License Termination Rule (LTR), as the decommissioning criteria for the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) at the West Valley site. It also held a public meeting, on January 5, 2000, to

solicit public comment on the draft. This final policy statement was developed after considering public comments on the draft, and continues to apply the LTR as the criteria for the WVDP at the West Valley site.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 1, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Chad Glenn, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, Mail Stop T– 8F37, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

0001.

- II. Background (Draft Policy Statement)
- III. Overview of Public Comments
- IV. Summary of Public Comments and Responses to Comments
 - A. Comments on the LTR
 - B. Comments on LTR guidance
 - C. Comments on implementing the LTR
 - D. Comments on NRC's process for prescribing the decommissioning criteria
- E. Comments on jurisdictional aspects of prescribing the decommissioning criteria
- F. Comments on the use of incidental waste criteria at the West Valley site
- G. Comments related to how the site should be decommissioned
- H. Comments on the wording of the draft policy statement
- I. Other comments
- V. Final Policy Statement

I. Introduction

This final policy statement is being issued under the authority of the WVDP Act, to prescribe decommissioning criteria for the WVDP.

II. Background (Draft Policy Statement)

From 1966 to 1972, under an Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) license, Nuclear Fuel Services (NFS) reprocessed 640 metric tons of spent fuel at its West Valley, New York, facility—the only commercial spent fuel reprocessing plant in the U.S. The facility shut down, in 1972, for modifications to increase its seismic stability and to expand its capacity. In 1976, without restarting the operation, NFS withdrew from the reprocessing business and returned control of the facilities to the site owner, the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA). The reprocessing activities resulted in about 2.3 million liters (600,000 gallons) of liquid high-level waste (HLW) stored below ground in tanks, other radioactive wastes, and residual radioactive contamination.

The West Valley site was licensed by AEC, and then NRC, until 1981, when the license was suspended to execute

¹ Although the TVA license amendment requests that are the subject of the WPIT and BREDL hearing requests that triggered this Licensing Board constitution notice were submitted separately, involve different facilities, and were the subject of separate hearing opportunity notices, both amendments are challenged by each of the petitioners. Under the circumstances, one Licensing Board is being established to consider both contested TVA applications in a consolidated proceeding. Any objection to this consolidation by any of the participants to the proceeding should be raised with the Licensing Board promptly.