

arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NASD. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NASD-2001-90 and should be submitted by January 24, 2002.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁶

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-1622 Filed 1-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-45284; File No. SR-Phlx-2002-01]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. to Extend a PACE Automatic Price Improvement Pilot Program

January 15, 2002.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on January 8, 2002, the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed this proposal under section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,³ and Rule

19b-4(f)(6)⁴ thereunder, which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to extend through April 15, 2002 its PACE (Philadelphia Stock Exchange Automated Communication and Execution System)⁵ price improvement program ("pilot"). The pilot, which is found in Supplementary Material .07(c)(i) to Phlx Rule 229, consists of an automated price improvement feature based on decimal quoting, including a percentage of the spread between the bid and the offer. The pilot has been in effect since January 30, 2001.⁶ The only substantive change proposed in this filing is to extend the date of effectiveness of the pilot through April 15, 2002. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Phlx and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to extend the pilot through April 15, 2002. No other substantive changes are proposed at this time.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with

Section 6 of the Act⁷ in general, and in particular, with Section 6(b)(5),⁸ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any inappropriate burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

(i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;

(ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and

(iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹⁰ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

The Exchange has requested that the Commission waive the 5-day pre-filing notice requirement, and accelerate the operative date. The Commission finds good cause to waive the pre-filing notice requirement, and to designate the proposal to be both effective and operative upon filing because such designation is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Waiver of these requirements will allow the pilot to continue uninterrupted through April 15, 2002. For these reasons, the Commission finds good cause to designate that the

⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). The Phlx requested that the Commission waive the 5-day pre-filing notice requirement, and the 30-day operative delay.

⁵ PACE is the Phlx's automated order routing, delivery, execution and reporting system for equities.

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 43901 (January 30, 2001), 66 FR 8988 (February 5, 2001) (SR-Phlx-2001-12).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

proposal is both effective and operative upon filing with the Commission.¹¹

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All submissions should refer to file number SR-Phlx-2002-01, and should be submitted by February 13, 2002.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-1574 Filed 1-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Rate for Attorney Fee Assessment Beginning in 2002

AGENCY: Social Security Administration (SSA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of notice.

SUMMARY: The Social Security Administration is withdrawing the **Federal Register** notice of January 3, 2002 at 67 FR 381 that announced the attorney-fee assessment rate under section 206(d) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 406(d).

This **Federal Register** notice is being withdrawn because the signature line is incorrect. The Social Security Administration published a revised notice that appears in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

¹¹ For purposes only of accelerating the operative date of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John B. Watson, Social Security Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Phone: (410) 965-3137, email: John.Watson@ssa.gov.

Dated: January 17, 2002.

Dale W. Sopper,

Acting Deputy Commissioner for Finance, Assessment and Management.

[FR Doc. 02-1724 Filed 1-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-02-U

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Rate for Attorney Fee Assessment Beginning in 2002

AGENCY: Social Security Administration (SSA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Social Security Administration is announcing that the attorney-fee assessment rate under section 206(d) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 406(d), is 6.3 percent for 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John B. Watson, Social Security Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Phone: (410) 965-3137, email: John.Watson@ssa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 406 of Public Law 106-170, the Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Improvement Act of 1999, established an assessment for the services required to determine and certify payments to attorneys from the benefits due claimants under Title II of the Act. This provision is codified in section 206 of the Act (42 U.S.C. 406). The legislation set the assessment for the calendar year 2000 at 6.3 percent of the amount that would be required to be certified for direct payment to the attorney under either section 206(a)(4) or 206(b)(1) before the application of the assessment. For subsequent years, the legislation requires the Commissioner of Social Security to determine the percentage rate necessary to achieve full recovery of the costs of determining and certifying fees to attorneys, but not in excess of 6.3 percent. For 2001, the Commissioner of Social Security determined that the assessment rate under section 206(d) of the Act would be 6.3 percent. (See 66 FR 5521, January 19, 2001).

The Commissioner of Social Security has determined, based on the best available data, that the current rate of 6.3 percent will continue for 2002. This assessment rate was based on information compiled by a private contractor, KPMG Consultants, who were tasked by the Social Security Administration to determine the costs

we incur to determine and certify fees to attorneys. We will continue to review our costs on a yearly basis.

Dated: January 17, 2002.

Dale W. Sopper,

Acting Deputy Commissioner for Finance, Assessment and Management.

[FR Doc. 02-1725 Filed 1-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-U

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 3891]

Bureau of Consular Affairs, Passport Services; 30-Day Notice of Information Collection: Form DS-3053, Statement of Consent: Issuance of a Passport to a Minor Under Age 14 (OMB Control #1405-0129)

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of State has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments should be submitted to OMB within 30 days of the publication of this notice.

The following summarizes the information collection proposal submitted to OMB:

Type of Request: Regular—Reinstatement, without change, of previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Originating Office: Bureau of Consular Affairs, CA/PPT/FO/FC.

Title of Information Collection: Statement of Consent: Issuance of a Passport to a Minor Under Age 14.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Form Number: DS-3053.

Respondents: Individuals or Households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1.3 million.

Average Hours Per Response: 1/12 hr. (5 minutes).

Total Estimated Burden: 108,300 hours.

Public comments are being solicited to permit the agency to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.