

2. Section 3(a)(1)(A) of the Act provides that an issuer is an "investment company" if it is or holds itself out as being engaged primarily, or proposes to engage primarily, in the business of investing, reinvesting, or trading in securities. Applicants believe that the Fund will not be an investment company under section 3(a)(1)(A) because the Fund will be in the business of investing in and being beneficial owner of apartment complexes, not securities.

3. Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Act provides that an issuer is an "investment company" if it is engaged or proposes to engage in the business of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding, or trading in securities, and owns or proposes to acquire "investment securities" having a value exceeding 40% of the value of such issuer's total assets (exclusive of Government securities and cash items). Applicants state that although the Local Limited Partnership interests may be deemed "investment securities," they are not readily marketable, cannot be sold without severe adverse tax consequences, and have no value apart from the value of the apartment complexes owned by the Local Limited Partnerships.

4. Applicants believe that the two-tier structure is consistent with the purposes and criteria set forth in the SEC's release concerning two-tier real estate partnership (the "Release").<sup>1</sup> The Release states that investment companies that are two-tier real estate partnerships that invest in limited partnerships engaged in the development and operation of housing for low and moderate income persons may qualify for an exemption from the Act pursuant to section 6(c). Section 6(c) provides that the SEC may exempt any person from any provision of the Act and any rule thereunder, if, and to the extent that, such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Section 6(c) permits the SEC to require companies exempted from the registration requirements of the Act to comply with certain specified provisions of the Act as though the company were a registered investment company.

5. The Release lists two conditions, designed for the protection of investors, which must be satisfied by two-tier partnerships to qualify for the exemption under section 6(c). First,

interests in the issuer should be sold only to persons for whom investments in limited profit, essentially tax-shelter, investments would not be unsuitable. Second, requirements for fair dealing by the general partner of the issuer with the limited partners of the issuer should be included in the basic organizational documents of the company.

6. Applicants assert, among other things, that the suitability standards set forth in the application, the requirements for fair dealing provided by the Partnership Agreement, and pertinent governmental regulations imposed on each Local Limited Partnership by various Federal, state, and local agencies provide protection to investors in Units. In addition, applicants assert that the requested exemption is both necessary and appropriate in the public interest.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-26897 Filed 10-24-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-M**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT:** [66 FR 53282, October 19, 2001]

**STATUS:** Closed meeting.

**PLACE:** 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC.

**DATE AND TIME OF PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED MEETING:** Tuesday, October 23, 2001 at 9:30 a.m.

**CHANGE IN THE MEETING:** Cancellation of meeting.

The closed meeting scheduled for Tuesday, October 23, 2001, has been cancelled.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact:

The Office of the Secretary at (202) 942-7070.

Dated: October 23, 2001.

**Jonathan G. Katz,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-27016 Filed 10-23-01; 2:11 pm]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-M**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-44952; File No. SR-BSE-2001-01]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto and Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Amendment No. 2 to the Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Trading of Nasdaq Securities on the Floor of the Exchange

October 18, 2001.

#### I. Introduction

On May 15, 2001, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange") submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change regarding the trading of Nasdaq securities on the floor of the Exchange, pursuant to unlisted trading privileges ("UTP"). On June 15, 2001, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The proposed rule change, as amended by Amendment by Amendment No. 1, was published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 2001.<sup>4</sup> The Commission received two comment letters on the proposed rule change.<sup>5</sup> On October 4, 2001, the BSE submitted Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.<sup>6</sup> This order approves the proposed rule change, as amended. In addition, the Commission solicits comment on Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change from interested persons.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See Form 19b-4 dated June 14, 2001 ("Amendment No. 1").

<sup>4</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44476 (June 26, 2001), 66 FR 35293.

<sup>5</sup> See letters to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, SEC, from Kevin J.P. O'Hara, General Counsel, Archipelago, L.L.C., dated July 13, 2001 ("Archipelago Letter"); and Eugene A. Lopez, Senior Vice President, Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc., dated August 15, 2001 ("Nasdaq Letter").

<sup>6</sup> See letter from John Boese, Assistant Vice President, Legal and Regulatory, BSE, to Katherine England, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation, SEC, dated October 3, 2001 ("Amendment No. 2"). In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange clarified language in the rule text and deleted a sentence in proposed Section 3 that required that transactions that could not be submitted to ACT be reported to the NASD's Market Regulations Department. According to BSE, this sentence was deleted because it reflected a NASD requirement that does not apply to UTP exchanges.

<sup>1</sup> Investment Company Act Release No. 8465 (Aug. 9, 1974).