

States at less than fair value (“LTFV”), and by reason of imports from China and Taiwan that have been found by Commerce to be subsidized by the governments of China and Taiwan.² The Commission also finds that imports subject to Commerce’s affirmative critical circumstances determinations are not likely to undermine seriously the remedial effect of those countervailing and antidumping duty orders to be issued on non-oriented electrical steel from China, Germany, Japan, and Sweden.

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective September 30, 2013, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by AK Steel Corp., West Chester, Ohio. The final phase of these investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of non-oriented electrical steel from China and Taiwan were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and that imports of non-oriented electrical steel from China, Germany, Japan, Korea, Sweden, and Taiwan were sold at LTFV within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)).³ Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on July 11, 2014 (79 FR 40143). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on October 8, 2014, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on November 25, 2014. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4502 (November 2014), entitled *Non-Oriented Electrical Steel from China, Germany, Japan, Korea, Sweden, and Taiwan: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-506 and 508 and 731-TA-1238-1243 (Final)*.

² Chairman Meredith M. Broadbent dissented.

³ In its preliminary countervailing duty determination, Commerce found that imports of non-oriented electrical steel were not being and not likely to be subsidized by the government of Korea (79 FR 16295, March 25, 2014). Following a final negative countervailing duty determination by Commerce with respect to non-oriented electrical steel from Korea (79 FR 61605, October 14, 2014), the Commission terminated investigation No. 701-TA-507 (79 FR 64408, October 29, 2014).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 25, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-28249 Filed 12-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 and Section 128d of the Hawaii Environmental Response Law

On November 20, 2014, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree (“Consent Decree”) with the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii in an action entitled *United States of America and the State of Hawaii v. Denak Ship Management and Vogetrader Shipping Inc.*, Civil Action No. 14-00529.

In this action, the United States and the State of Hawaii filed a joint complaint against Denak Ship Management and Vogetrader Shipping Inc. (“Defendants”) pursuant to Sections 1002(a), (b)(1)(A) and (b)(2)(A), of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, 33 U.S.C. 2701 *et seq.*, or Section 128D of the Hawaii Environmental Response law, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 128D, respectively, to recover for natural resource damages arising from the February 5, 2010, grounding of the M/V Vogetrader on coral reef habitat outside the entrance channel to Barbers Point Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii.

The Consent Decree requires the Defendants to pay eight hundred forty thousand dollars (\$840,000) in natural resource damages. Of this sum, six hundred ninety five thousand six hundred fifty seven dollars (\$695,657) shall be paid to the United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (“NOAA”) on behalf of the natural resource trustees and will be used for the design, implementation, and oversight of restoration projects. The remaining one hundred forty four thousand three hundred forty three dollars (\$144,343) shall be paid to NOAA for reimbursement of its natural resource damage assessment costs.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States of America and the State of Hawaii v. Denak Ship Management and Vogetrader Shipping Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-1-1-11013. All comments

must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email ...	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide paper copies of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$5.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) for the Consent Decree payable to the United States Treasury.

Henry Friedman,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-28340 Filed 12-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Members of SGIP 2.0, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on October 27, 2014, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Members of SGIP 2.0, Inc. (“MSGIP 2.0”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, PowerHub Systems, Blacksburg, VA; London Hydro, London, United Kingdom; Telecommunications Technology Association, Seongnam-City, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea; Utility Integration Solutions Organization, Fort Washington, PA; and Advanced Energy