

them from loss, alteration, or destruction;

(ii) To limit access to the records to properly authorized personnel, the directors of the company, and the Commission (including its examiners and other representatives); and

(iii) To reasonably ensure that any reproduction of a non-electronic original record on electronic storage media is complete and true, and legible when retrieved.

* * * * *

Dated: May 24, 2001.

By the Commission.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-13586 Filed 5-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

20 CFR Part 369

RIN 3220-AB49

Use of the Seal of the Railroad Retirement Board

AGENCY: Railroad Retirement Board.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Railroad Retirement Board (Board) amends its regulations to add a part explaining when use of the Board's seal is permitted. Federal law prohibits the use of an agency seal except as authorized by regulation. The Board previously had no such regulation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective May 31, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marguerite P. Dadabo, Assistant General Counsel, Railroad Retirement Board, (312) 751-4945, TDD (312) 751-4701.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Railroad Retirement Board is an independent agency in the executive branch of the United States Government which is charged with the administration of the Railroad Retirement Act (45 U.S.C. 231 *et seq.*) and the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (45 U.S.C. 351 *et seq.*). Use of agency seals is governed by 18 U.S.C. 701 which prohibits the use of agency seals except as authorized under regulations made pursuant to law. This proscription is intended to protect the public against the use of a recognizable assertion of authority with intent to deceive (*U.S. v. Goeltz*, 513 F.2d 193 (C.A. Utah 1975), *cert. den.* 423 U.S. 830). The regulations of the Railroad Retirement Board previously did not include provisions for the authorization

of use of the Agency's seal. The Board is adding Part 369 to its regulations to explain when use of the Board's seal is permitted.

The Board published this rule as a proposed rule on January 3, 2001 (66 FR 314-315) and invited comments by March 5, 2001. No comments were received. Accordingly, the proposed rule is adopted as a final rule without change.

In order to comply with the President's June 1, 1998 memorandum directing the use of plain language for all proposed and final rulemaking, the regulatory paragraphs introduced by the above rule changes have been written in plain language.

This rule concerns agency management and is not a regulation as defined in Executive Order 12866. Therefore, no regulatory impact analysis is required. There are no information collections associated with this rule.

List of Subjects in 20 CFR Part 369

Railroad retirement, Seals and insignia.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Railroad Retirement Board adds Part 369 to title 20, chapter II, subchapter F of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 369—USE OF THE SEAL OF THE RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Sec.

- 369.1 Unofficial use of the seal of the Railroad Retirement Board.
- 369.2 Authority to grant written permission for use of the seal.
- 369.3 Procedures for obtaining permission to use the seal.
- 369.4 Inappropriate use of the seal.
- 369.5 Penalty for misuse of the seal.

Authority: 18 U.S.C. 701; 45 U.S.C. 231f.

§ 369.1 Unofficial use of the seal of the Railroad Retirement Board.

Use of the seal of the Railroad Retirement Board for non-Agency business is prohibited unless permission for use of the seal has been obtained in accordance with this part.

§ 369.2 Authority to grant written permission for use of the seal.

The Board hereby delegates authority to grant written permission for the use of the seal of the Railroad Retirement Board to the Director of Administration.

§ 369.3 Procedures for obtaining permission to use the seal.

Requests for written permission to use the seal of the Railroad Retirement Board shall be in writing and shall be directed to the Director of Administration of the Railroad Retirement Board. The request should,

at a minimum, contain the following information:

(a) Name and address of the requester.

(b) A description of the type of activity in which the requester is engaged or proposes to engage.

(c) A statement of whether the requester considers the proposed use or imitation to be commercial or non-commercial, and why.

(d) A brief description and illustration or sample of the proposed use, as well as a description of the product or service in connection with which it will be used. This description will provide sufficient detail to enable the Director of Administration to determine whether the intended use of the seal is consistent with the interests of the government.

(e) In the case of a non-commercial use, a description of the requesting organization's function and purpose shall be provided.

§ 369.4 Inappropriate use of the Seal.

The Railroad Retirement Board shall not grant permission for use of the seal in those instances where use of the seal will give the unintended appearance of Agency endorsement or authentication. Situations where use of the seal of the Railroad Retirement Board would be inappropriate include, but are not limited to, the following examples:

(a) A consulting firm makes arrangements with a railroad to conduct a retirement planning seminar for its employees. Included in the material distributed to the seminar attendees is a booklet, prepared by the consulting firm, which displays the seal of the Railroad Retirement Board on the cover and contains information regarding benefits payable under the Railroad Retirement Act.

(b) A former employee of the Railroad Retirement Board owns a coffee and donut shop, frequented by present and past railroad workers. Many of the shop's customers know of the owner's prior employment with the Board and frequently ask him questions related to benefits payable under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance and Railroad Retirement Acts. The shop owner prepares and distributes to his customers a monthly flyer listing benefit questions presented to him during the month, as well as his answers to the questions. The flyer displays the seal of the Board.

(c) A retired railroad employee works part-time in a train hobby shop. The shop owner, at the former railroad worker's suggestion, develops and sells items such as coffee mugs and computer mouse pads with text relevant to benefits paid by the Railroad Retirement Board. The text is taken from

publications issued by the Railroad Retirement Board. The merchandise also bears the seal of the Railroad Retirement Board.

§ 396.5 Penalty for misuse of the seal.

Unauthorized use of the seal of the Railroad Retirement Board may result in criminal prosecution under applicable law.

Dated: May 22, 2001.

By Authority of the Board.

Beatrice Ezerski,

Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. 01-13654 Filed 5-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

27 CFR Part 9

[T.D. ATF 454; Ref: Notice No. 866]

RIN 1512-AA07

Establishment of Santa Rita Hills Viticultural Area (98R-129 P)

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule; Treasury decision.

SUMMARY: This final rule establishes a viticultural area located in Santa Barbara County, California, to be known as "Santa Rita Hills." The proposed area occupies more than 48 square miles. This action is being taken as a result of a petition from viticulturists and vintners of the proposed area under the direction of J. Richard Sanford (Sanford Winery), Bryan Babcock (Babcock Vineyards and Winery), and Wesley D. Hagen (Vineyard Manager of Clos Pepe Vineyards).

The establishment of viticultural areas and the subsequent use of viticultural area names as appellations of origin in wine labeling and advertising allow wineries to designate the specific areas where the grapes used to make the wine are grown and enable consumers to better identify the wines they purchase.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 30, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joyce A. Drake, ATF Specialist, Regulations Division, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20091-0221 (202)-927-8210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 23, 1978, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-53 (43 FR 37672, 54624) revising regulations in 27 CFR part 4. These regulations allow the establishment of defined viticultural areas. The regulations also allow the name of an approved viticultural area to be used as an appellation of origin in the labeling and advertising of wine.

On October 2, 1979, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-60 (44 FR 56692) which added a new part 9 to 27 CFR, providing for the listing of approved American viticultural areas. Section 4.25a(e)(1), Title 27, CFR, defines an American Viticultural Area (AVA) as a delimited grape-growing region distinguishable by geographical features, the boundaries of which have been recognized and defined in subpart C of part 9. Section 4.25a(e)(2) outlines the procedure for proposing an AVA. Any interested person may petition ATF to establish a grape-growing region as a viticultural area. The petition should include:

(a) Evidence that the name of the proposed viticultural area is locally and/or nationally known as referring to the area specified in the petition;

(b) Historical or current evidence that the boundaries of the viticultural area are as specified in the petition;

(c) Evidence relating to the geographical features (climate, soil, elevation, physical features, etc.) which distinguish the viticultural features of the proposed area from surrounding areas;

(d) A description of the specific boundaries of the viticultural area, based on features which can be found on United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) maps of the largest applicable scale; and

(e) A copy (or copies) of the appropriate U.S.G.S. map(s) with the boundaries prominently marked.

Petition

ATF received a petition under the direction of J. Richard Sanford (Sanford Winery) which was written by Wesley D. Hagen (Vineyard Manager of Clos Pepe Vineyards), on behalf of viticulturists and vintners working in Santa Barbara County, California. The petition, which was signed by 22 people, 14 of whom are local wine grape growers, proposed to establish a viticultural area surrounded by but separate from the Santa Ynez Valley AVA of California to be known as "Santa Rita Hills." The boundary of the viticultural area encloses an estimated area slightly greater than forty-eight (48) square miles and contains

approximately 500 acres of planted varietal winegrapes. Currently two (2) wineries and seventeen (17) vineyards exist within the Santa Rita Hills area. Two additional vineyards are being developed.

Comments

On September 11, 1998, ATF published a notice of proposed rulemaking, Notice 866, in the **Federal Register**, soliciting comments on the proposed viticultural area.

Analysis of Comments

ATF received a total of 35 comments concerning this petition. Eleven letters of support from various persons familiar with the proposed AVA were submitted with the petition. These letters of support included industry "experts," vintners, consultants, local politicians (such as the Chair for the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors and the Mayor of the city of Lompoc), and viticulturists. Seven of the eleven comments were from persons who had also signed the petition. All 11 comments attested to the uniqueness of the area, its distinctive characteristics (geological, geographic, and climatic) and the local recognition of the area by the proposed name.

ATF received 24 comments that opposed the establishment of the Santa Rita Hills AVA. Most of these commenters were foreign/international importers and distributors. The opposition in each response revolved around the similarity of the proposed name to an already established "Santa Rita" brand of wine from Chile.

All Commenters Opposing the Establishment of the "Santa Rita Hills" Viticultural Area Presented the Following To Support Their Contention That the Petition To Establish the Santa Rita Hills Viticultural Area Should Be Denied

There is already a well known and established "Santa Rita" vineyard and winery located in Chile, Vina Santa Rita, which was founded in 1880 and is known worldwide. Vina Santa Rita is a public company whose shares are traded on the Santiago Stock Exchange. This "Santa Rita" winery is the second largest winery in Chile, with consumer brand recognition in the Chilean wine industry. Large sums of money have been invested by both the "Santa Rita" winery in Chile and various importers and distributors worldwide to advertise and promote the "Santa Rita" (Chile) brand.

The opposing commenters contend that the establishment of a "Santa Rita Hills" viticultural area would confuse