

notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415-1292. Contact person for more information: Rochelle Baval, (301) 415-1651.

* * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/schedule.html>.

* * * * *

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Bill Dosch, Chief, Work Life and Benefits Branch, at (301) 415-6200, TDD: (301) 415-2100, or by email at william.dosch@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

* * * * *

This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 ((301) 415-1969), or send an email to darlene.wright@nrc.gov.

Dated: October 27, 2011.

Rochelle Baval,

Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-28384 Filed 10-28-11; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHRC) invites the public and other Federal agencies to comment on a proposed information collection concerning the OSHRC Settlement Part program. OSHRC will submit the proposed information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before January 3, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit all written comments, identified by the title

“Paperwork Reduction Act Information Collection,” by mail or hand delivery to John X. Cervený, Deputy Executive Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036-3457, by fax to (202) 606-5050, or by email to pracomments@oshrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for information or copies of the proposed information collection instrument should be directed to John X. Cervený, Deputy Executive Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street NW., Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036-3457; Telephone (202) 606-5706; email address: pracomments@oshrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OSHRC's Settlement Part program, codified at 29 CFR 2200.120, is designed to encourage settlements on contested citations issued by the U.S. Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and to reduce litigation costs. The program requires employers who receive job safety or health citations that include proposed penalties of \$100,000 or more in total to participate in formal settlement talks presided over by an OSHRC Administrative Law Judge. If settlement efforts fail, the case would continue under OSHRC's conventional proceedings, usually before a judge other than the one who presided over the settlement proceedings.

To ensure the continued success of the program, OSHRC proposes to collect information from Settlement Part participants about their experiences with the program. The participants would be employers and Department of Labor personnel, Authorized Employee Representatives and their representatives, including attorneys, who have personally participated in cases from February 15, 2011 through February 14, 2012. The proposed information collection instrument is a written survey consisting of a series of multiple-choice questions that are intended to take a respondent no more than 30 minutes to complete. The respondents may skip any questions that they do not feel comfortable answering, and are permitted to comment further on their experiences at the end of the questionnaire.

OSHRC will submit the proposed information collection to the Office of Management and Budget for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). OSHRC invites comments on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the agency's functions,

including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

OMB Control Number: Not applicable, new request.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Regular submission (new information collection).

Title: Survey of Participants in OSHRC Settlement Part Program.

Description: Information collection required to evaluate the Review Commission's Settlement Part process.

Affected Public: Employer and Department of Labor (OSHA) personnel (settlement decision makers), Authorized Employee Representatives, and their representatives, including attorneys, who have personally participated in cases subject to Mandatory and Voluntary Settlement proceedings under 29 CFR 2200.120 from February 15, 2011 through February 14, 2012.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 300.

Estimated Time per Response: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 150 hours.

Dated: October 26, 2011.

Debra Hall,

Acting Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2011-28304 Filed 10-31-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7600-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2012-1; Order No. 919]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to enter into an additional Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 agreement. This document invites public comments on the request and

addresses several related procedural steps.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the “Filing Online” link in the banner at the top of the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission’s Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at (202) 789–6820 (case-related information) or DocketAdmins@prc.gov (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On October 17, 2011, the Postal Service filed a notice, pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5, that it has entered into an additional Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 agreement.¹ The Notice concerns the inbound portion of a bilateral agreement for inbound competitive services with Australian Postal Corporation (Australia Post Agreement) that the Postal Service seeks to add to the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product.

In Order No. 546, the Commission approved the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product and a functionally equivalent agreement, Koninklijke TNT Post BV and TNT Post PakketService Benelux BV (TNT Agreement). The Postal Service asserts that its filing demonstrates that the Australia Post Agreement fits within the Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) language in Governors’ Decision No. 10–3 originally filed in Docket Nos. MC2010–34 and CP2010–95. Notice at 3–4. Additionally, it contends that the Australia Post Agreement is functionally equivalent to the agreement filed in Docket No. CP2010–95. *Id.* at 3. In Order No. 840, the Commission approved the

functionally equivalent Norway Post Agreement and the designation of the TNT agreement as the baseline agreement for functional equivalency analyses of the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product.²

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

- Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the Australia Post Agreement;
- Attachment 2—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 10–3 that establishes prices and classifications for Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators agreements, proposed MCS language, formulas for prices, certification of the Governors’ vote and certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the agreement and supporting documents under seal.

Australia Post Agreement. The Postal Service filed the instant agreement pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5 and in accordance with Order No. 546. The Australia Post Agreement covers the delivery of inbound Air Parcel Post (Air CP) and Express Mail Services (EMS). *Id.* at 3. The Postal Service states that the parties intend for the rates to become effective January 1, 2012, and to remain in effect for 2 years. *Id.* at 4. Attachment 1 at 1. The Agreement may be terminated without cause with 30 days notice. *Id.* Attachment 1 at 6.

Functional equivalence. The Postal Service contends that the Australia Post Agreement to deliver inbound Air CP and EMS in the United States is functionally equivalent to the agreement to deliver inbound Air CP and EMS in the TNT Agreement. *Id.* at 3. The Postal Service asserts that the Australia Post Agreement is similar in both products and cost characteristics to the TNT Agreement. *Id.* at 5. It states that the TNT Agreement includes similar terms and conditions, *e.g.*, is an agreement with a foreign postal operator and conforms to a common description. *Id.* The Postal Service identifies differences that distinguish the instant agreement from the TNT Agreement. *Id.* at 5–7. These distinctions include different foreign postal operators, detailed content restrictions, customs inspection,

intellectual property, co-branding and licensing, joint business development initiatives, rate tables, development of Accounting Business Rules and other differences. *Id.*

The Postal Service contends that the Australia Post Agreement and the TNT Agreement incorporate the same cost attributes and methodology and the relevant cost and market characteristics. *Id.* at 7. Despite some differences, the Postal Service asserts that the Australia Post Agreement is functionally equivalent to the TNT Agreement previously filed. *Id.*

In its Notice, the Postal Service maintains that certain portions of the agreement, prices, and related financial information should remain under seal. *Id.* at 4, Attachment 4.

The Postal Service concludes that the Australia Post Agreement complies with 39 U.S.C. 3633 and is functionally equivalent to the TNT Agreement. *Id.* at 7. Therefore, it requests that the Commission add the Australia Post Agreement to the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product. *Id.* at 8.

II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012–1 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service’s Notice.

The Commission appoints James F. Callow to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

Comments. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s filings in the captioned docket are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633 or 39 CFR part 3015. Comments are due no later than October 31, 2011. The public portions of this filing can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012–1 for consideration of the matters raised in this docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James F. Callow is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

3. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than October 31, 2011.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing Functionally Equivalent Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with a Foreign Postal Operator, October 17, 2011 (Notice); *see also* Docket Nos. MC2010–34 and CP2010–95, Order Adding Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 to the Competitive Product List and Approving Included Agreement, September 29, 2010 (Order No. 546).

² *See* Docket No. CP2011–69, Order Concerning an Additional Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, September 7, 2011 (Order No. 840).

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-28123 Filed 10-31-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. A2012-17; Order No. 918]

Post Office Closing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document informs the public that an appeal of the closing of the Venice, California post office has been filed. It identifies preliminary steps and provides a procedural schedule. Publication of this document will allow the Postal Service, petitioners, and others to take appropriate action.

DATES: November 1, 2011:

Administrative record due (from Postal Service); November 14, 2011, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time: Deadline for notices to intervene.

See the Procedural Schedule in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for other dates of interest.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the "Filing Online" link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at (202) 789-6820 (case-related information) or DocketAdmins@prc.gov (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 404(d), on October 17, 2011, the Commission received a petition for review and application for suspension of the Postal Service's determination to close the Venice post office in Venice, California. The petition for review was filed online on October 17, 2011 by Venice Stakeholders Association and Mark Ryavec (Petitioners). The Commission hereby institutes a proceeding under 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5) and establishes Docket No. A2012-17 to consider Petitioners' appeal. If Petitioners would like to further explain his position with supplemental information or facts, Petitioners may

either file a Participant Statement on PRC Form 61 or file a brief with the Commission no later than November 21, 2011.

Issues apparently raised. Petitioners contend that: (1) The Postal Service failed to consider the effect of the closing on the community (*see* 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(i)); (2) failure of the Postal Service to follow procedures required by law regarding closures (*see* 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5)(B)); and (3) that there are factual errors contained in the Final Determination.

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above, or that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues. The deadline for the Postal Service to file the applicable administrative record with the Commission is November 1, 2011. *See* 39 CFR 3001.113. In addition, the due date for any responsive pleading by the Postal Service to this notice is November 1, 2011.

Application for Suspension of Determination. In addition to his Petition, Mark Ryavec requests an application for suspension of the Postal Service's determination (*see* 39 CFR 3001.114). Commission rules allow for the Postal Service to file an answer to such application within 10 days after the application is filed. The Postal Service shall file an answer to the application no later than October 27, 2011.

Availability; Web site posting. The Commission has posted the appeal and supporting material on its Web site at <http://www.prc.gov>. Additional filings in this case and participants' submissions also will be posted on the Commission's Web site, if provided in electronic format or amenable to conversion, and not subject to a valid protective order. Information on how to use the Commission's Web site is available online or by contacting the Commission's webmaster via telephone at (202) 789-6873 or via electronic mail at prc-webmaster@prc.gov.

The appeal and all related documents are also available for public inspection in the Commission's docket section. Docket section hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., eastern time, Monday through Friday, except on Federal government holidays. Docket section personnel may be contacted via electronic mail at prc-dockets@prc.gov or via telephone at (202) 789-6846.

Filing of documents. All filings of documents in this case shall be made using the Internet (Filing Online) pursuant to Commission rules 9(a) and 10(a) at the Commission's Web site,

<http://www.prc.gov>, unless a waiver is obtained. *See* 39 CFR 3001.9(a) and 3001.10(a). Instructions for obtaining an account to file documents online may be found on the Commission's Web site or by contacting the Commission's docket section at prc-dockets@prc.gov or via telephone at (202) 789-6846.

The Commission reserves the right to redact personal information which may infringe on an individual's privacy rights from documents filed in this proceeding.

Intervention. Persons, other than Petitioners and respondent, wishing to be heard in this matter are directed to file a notice of intervention. *See* 39 CFR 3001.111(b). Notices of intervention in this case are to be filed on or before November 14, 2011. A notice of intervention shall be filed using the Internet (Filing Online) at the Commission's Web site unless a waiver is obtained for hardcopy filing. *See* 39 CFR 3001.9(a) and 3001.10(a).

Further procedures. By statute, the Commission is required to issue its decision within 120 days from the date it receives the appeal. *See* 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5). A procedural schedule has been developed to accommodate this statutory deadline. In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service or other participants to submit information or memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. As required by the Commission rules, if any motions are filed, responses are due 7 days after any such motion is filed. *See* 39 CFR 3001.21.

It is ordered:

1. The Postal Service shall file an answer to the application for suspension of the Postal Service's determination no later than October 27, 2011.

2. The Postal Service shall file the applicable administrative record regarding this appeal no later than November 1, 2011.

3. Any responsive pleading by the Postal Service to this notice is due no later than November 1, 2011.

4. The procedural schedule listed below is hereby adopted.

5. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James F. Callow is designated officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public.

6. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this notice and order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Chairman Goldway not participating.

Shoshana M. Grove,

Secretary.