Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma; Ponca Tribe of Nebraska; Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation, North Dakota; and Wichita and Affiliated Tribes, Oklahoma.

Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with the human remains should contact Teresa Kreutzer-Hodson, Hastings Museum of Natural and Cultural History, 1330 N Burlington, PO Box 1286, Hastings, NE 68902, telephone (402) 461-2399, before June 30, 2008. Repatriation of the human remains to the Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

The Hastings Museum of Natural and Cultural History is responsible for notifying the Crow Tribe of Montana; Omaha Tribe of Nebraska; Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Indians, Oklahoma; Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma: Ponca Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma; Ponca Tribe of Nebraska; Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation, North Dakota; and Wichita and Affiliated Tribes, Oklahoma that this notice has been published.

Dated: March 27, 2008

#### Sherry Hutt,

Manager, National NAGPRA Program. [FR Doc. E8-12000 Filed 5-28-08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312-50-S

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

**Notice of Inventory Completion for Human Remains in the Possession of** the Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Honolulu, HI: Correction

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice; correction.

Notice is here given in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003, of the completion of an inventory of human remains in the possession and control of the Bishop Museum, Honolulu, HI. The human remains were removed from Lanai Island, HI.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003 (d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

This notice corrects the Native Hawaiian Organizations to whom the human remains will be repatriated.

In the **Federal Register** of October 10, 2002 (FR Doc 02-25871, pages 63151-63152), paragraph numbers 6 and 7 are corrected by substituting the following paragraphs:

Officials of the Bishop Museum have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (9–10), the human remains described above represent the physical remains of two individuals of Native Hawaiian ancestry. Officials of the Bishop Museum also have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the Native Hawaiian human remains and Hui Kakoʻo and Hui Malama I Na Kupuna O Hawaii Nei. Lastly, officials of the Bishop Museum have determined that Hui Kako'o is the most appropriate claimant for repatriation of the human remains.

Representatives of any other Native Hawaiian Organization that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with the human remains should contact Betty Lou Kam, Vice President, Cultural Studies, Bishop Museum, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, HI 96817, telephone (808) 848-4144, before June 30, 2008. Repatriation to Hui Kako'o will proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

The Bishop Museum is responsible for notifying Hui Kakoʻo, Lanaʻi Island Burial Council, Hui Malama I Na Kupuna O Hawaii Nei, and Office of Hawaiian Affairs.

Dated: April 23, 2008

## Sherry Hutt,

Manager, National NAGPRA Program. [FR Doc. E8-12003 Filed 5-28-08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312-50-S

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

**Notice of Inventory Completion: Robert** S. Peabody Museum of Archaeology, Phillips Academy, Andover, MA

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice.

Notice is here given in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003, of the completion of an inventory of human remains in the possession of the Robert S. Peabody Museum of Archaeology at Phillips Academy, Andover, MA. The human remains were removed from Barnstable County, MA.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003 (d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of the Native American human remains. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by Robert S. Peabody Museum of Archaeology professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Wampanoag Repatriation Confederation, on behalf of the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe; Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) of Massachusetts; and Assonet Band of the Wampanoag Nation, a non-federally recognized Indian group.

In 1951, a human remain representing a minimum of one individual was removed from the Rich Site (19-BN-163) in Barnstable County, MA, by Harold Curtis. The human remains were transferred at an unknown date to Ross Moffett and later donated to the Robert S. Peabody Museum of Archaeology in 1969. No known individual was identified. No associated funerary

objects are present.

The isolated tooth documented in this inventory appears to come from the "black earth and shell" strata, an upper level of the site that is presumably a Middle Woodland/Late Woodland occupation. The Rich site (19-BN-163) is one of several sites on the Outer Cape that reflects a pattern of year-round occupation and increasing sedentism in the late Middle Woodland to the Late Woodland (Massachusetts Historical Commission 1987 Historic and Archaeological Resources of Cape Cod and the Islands). In addition to the area around Truro, where the Rich site is located, other comparable cores on the Outer Cape include Wellfleet Harbor and the Nauset area in Eastham. In each site, there is a concentration of settlement not previously seen in the archeological record and strong evidence for year-round occupation. This includes floral and faunal data, as well as an array of site locations (and orientations) in each core area that fits the known range of seasonally exploited resources (Francis P. McManamon, ed. Chapters in the Archaeology of Cape Cod, Volumes I and II, 1984). Concomitant with this evidence for year-round occupation are mortuary data that indicate a significantly different pattern than evident on earlier sites. This includes the use of defined cemeteries, as well as ossuaries, which elsewhere in the Northeast are strongly