gets from being able to express a diverse array of life-history strategies. These strategies can include adult steelhead run-timing variation and others such as variation in juvenile migratory behavior (Hayes et al. 2011; Moore et al. 2014), variation in adult age-at-return, withinseason variance in spawn timing (Abadía-Cardoso et al. 2013), variation in the half-pounder life history (steelhead that return from the ocean after only two to four months of saltwater residence, are generally sexually immature, and migrate back to saltwater the following spring: Roelofs 1983; Haves et al. 2016), and variation in non-anadromous life histories (freshwater adfluvial and resident life histories; Hayes et al. 2011). This diversity allows different individuals in the species to maximize their fitness by taking advantage of the habitat conditions present in a particular place and time. Given the importance of interannual variation in this geographic area and its effect on the ability of streams in the NC steelhead DPS geographic range to support salmonids (Power et al. 2015), this diversity clearly adds resilience to the NC steelhead DPS and supports its continued survival. Lifehistory variants that do best in one year may not have the highest fitness in a different year, but collectively they can maintain a viable population size and high genetic diversity (*i.e.*, the portfolio effect: Schindler et al. 2015; Moore et al. 2014; Brennan et al. 2019). The contribution of the many diverse lifehistory forms is critical to the resilience of O. mykiss.

With respect to the significance of the summer-run steelhead to the Northern California steelhead DPS, this lifehistory diversity is already recognized by its explicit inclusion in the recovery and viability documents developed for salmon and steelhead in this area (Spence et al. 2008; NMFS 2016b; Williams et al. 2016). The recovery plans were based on viability criteria, which in turn were based on the viable salmonid population (VSP) concept (McElhany et al. 2000). The VSP concept recognizes that life-history diversity is: (1) A key parameter; and (2) hierarchical in nature (from populations on up to species). These summer-run populations have been explicitly identified as having viability criteria based on their shorter-term demographic independence and the need to maintain the appropriate building blocks for recovery (i.e., population units capable of persisting in relative isolation of other units). Having summer-run populations as substrata within diversity strata (and essential for

viability) provides the umbrella under which longer-term evolutionary processes are maintained. However, it is also important to keep in mind that all of the other life history variations described above in the species O. mykiss are likely to be of equal if not greater significance to the resilience of the species as the variation in adult migration timing associated with the Greb1L region. Thus, there is no clear basis for deciding that adult migratory timing variation should be prioritized more highly than the other, similarly important and diverse characteristics of this highly variable species, or that separating any of these life history variations into separate management units would provide a benefit given their interdependent and dynamic relationships.

NC Steelhead DPS Conclusions

We conclude that summer-run and winter-run steelhead should remain together in a single Northern California steelhead DPS. The best available data indicate that summer-run steelhead cannot be listed as a separate DPS from winter-run steelhead, as the two groups maintain an ongoing and interconnected genetic legacy. Retention of both lifehistory types in a single DPS, however, does not indicate a lack of recognition that summer-run steelhead are an important component of the DPS, or suggest that measures should not be taken to protect and improve habitat, including access to upstream habitats through dam removals, fish passage programs, reduced water diversions, etc. Rather, it is an acknowledgment that the run-types are fundamental parts of the listed unit as a whole and should not be separated from each other. As noted above, this is explicitly addressed in the NMFS status reviews and recovery plans through recognition of the need to focus protection on and consider populations of both of these run-types in assessing recovery status (NMFS 2016a, NMFS 2016b; Spence et al. 2008).

Final Determination

Section 4(b)(1) of the ESA requires that NMFS make listing determinations based solely on the best scientific and commercial data available after conducting a review of the status of the species and taking into account those efforts, if any, being made by any state or foreign nation, or political subdivisions thereof, to protect and conserve the species. We have independently reviewed the best available scientific and commercial information, including the information contained in the petition, public

comments submitted on the 90-day finding (84 FR 16632; April 22, 2019), and the DPS configuration review report, and other published and unpublished information, and we have consulted with species experts and individuals familiar with the NC steelhead DPS.

Our determination set forth here is based on a synthesis and integration of the foregoing information. Based on our consideration of the best available scientific and commercial information, as summarized here and in the status review report, we conclude that NC summer-run steelhead do not constitute a DPS. Accordingly, NC summer-run steelhead does not meet the definition of a species, and thus, NC summer-run steelhead does not warrant listing as a separate DPS.

This is a final action, and, therefore, we are not soliciting public comments.

References

A complete list of all references cited herein is available upon request (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).

Authority

The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: January 30, 2020.

Samuel D. Rauch III,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2020–02174 Filed 2–4–20; 8:45~am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, February 12, 2020.

PLACE: Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW, Washington, DC, 9th Floor Commission Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Enforcement matters. In the event that the time, date, or location of this meeting changes, an announcement of the change, along with the new time, date, and/or place of the meeting will be posted on the Commission's website at https://www.cftc.gov/.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Christopher Kirkpatrick, 202–418–5964.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Dated: February 3, 2020. Christopher Kirkpatrick,

 $Secretary\ of\ the\ Commission.$

[FR Doc. 2020-02385 Filed 2-3-20; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-P

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Application Package for VISTA Project Implementation Evaluation Sponsor Survey

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS).

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, CNCS is proposing a new information collection.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the individual and office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section by April 6, 2020.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by the title of the information collection activity, by any of the following methods:

- (1) By mail sent to: Corporation for National and Community Service, Attention: Craig Kinnear, 250 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20525.
- (2) By hand delivery or by courier to the CNCS mailroom at the mail address given in paragraph (1) above, between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except federal holidays.
- (3) Electronically through www.regulations.gov.

Comments submitted in response to this notice may be made available to the public through regulations.gov. For this reason, please do not include in your comments information of a confidential nature, such as sensitive personal information or proprietary information. If you send an email comment, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the internet. Please note that responses to this public comment request containing any routine notice about the confidentiality of the communication will be treated as public comment that may be made available to the public, notwithstanding the inclusion of the routine notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Craig Kinnear, (202) 606–6708, or by email at *ckinnear@cns.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: VISTA Project Implementation Evaluation Sponsor Survey.

OMB Control Number: TBD. Type of Review: New.

Respondents/Affected Public: Businesses and Organizations.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 800.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 200.

Abstract: To inform CNCS's implementation of its Transformation and Sustainability Plan, a CNCS contractor will conduct a study about Volunteers in Service to America (VISTA) project development, management, and sustainability, including member recruitment and retention. The survey of approximately 800 VISTA project sponsors, which will be administered online, will help to identify implementation challenges and best practices among VISTA project sponsors, will be used to make program improvements and mitigate potential challenges, and will also be used to develop training and technical assistance materials to strengthen and enhance VISTA programming. Sponsors will be sent individualized emails and survey data will be merged with existing administrative data regarding project characteristics.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; to develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of

collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to train personnel and to be able to respond to a collection of information, to search data sources, to complete and review the collection of information; and to transmit or otherwise disclose the information. All written comments will be available for public inspection on regulations.gov.

Dated: January 28, 2020.

Desiree Tucker-Sorini,

 $Director, AmeriCorps\ VISTA.$

[FR Doc. 2020–02154 Filed 2–4–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-28-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force [Docket ID USAF-2020-HQ-0012]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Secretary of the Air Force, DoD. **ACTION:** 30-day information collection notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by March 6, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be emailed to Ms. Jasmeet Seehra, DoD Desk Officer, at oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. Please identify the proposed information collection by DoD Desk Officer, Docket ID number, and title of the information collection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Angela James, 571-372-7574, or whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

enforcement agencies to adopt

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: Military Working Dog Adoption Application; DD Form 810–7; OMB Control Number 0701–XXXX.

Type of Request: Extension.
Number of Respondents: 200.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Annual Responses: 200.
Average Burden per Response: 1 hour.
Annual Burden Hours: 200 hours.
Needs and Uses: This form will be used to assess the suitability of US citizens and local and state law

Department of Defense Military Working