

exemption from 10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii) would provide relief during the suspension of review work. Since Luminant has made good faith efforts to comply with the regulation, the special circumstances required by 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2) for the granting of an exemption from 10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii) exist.

Eligibility for Categorical Exclusion From Environmental Review

With respect to the exemption's impact on the quality of the human environment, the NRC has determined that this specific exemption request is eligible for categorical exclusion as identified in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25) and justified by the NRC staff as follows:

(c) The following categories of actions are categorical exclusions:

(25) Granting of an exemption from the requirements of any regulation of this chapter, provided that—

(i) There is no significant hazards consideration;

The criteria for determining whether there is no significant hazards consideration are found in 10 CFR 50.92. The proposed action involves only a schedule change regarding the submission of an update to the application for which the licensing review has been suspended. Therefore, there is no significant hazards consideration because granting the proposed exemption would not:

(1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or

(2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or

(3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

(ii) There is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite;

The proposed action involves only a schedule change which is administrative in nature, and does not involve any changes to be made in the types or significant increase in the amounts of effluents that may be released offsite.

(iii) There is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure;

Since the proposed action involves only a schedule change which is administrative in nature, it does not contribute to any significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure.

(iv) There is no significant construction impact;

The proposed action involves only a schedule change which is administrative in nature; the application

review is suspended until further notice, and there is no consideration of any construction at this time, and hence the proposed action does not involve any construction impact.

(v) There is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents; and

The proposed action involves only a schedule change which is administrative in nature, and does not impact the probability or consequences of accidents.

(vi) The requirements from which an exemption is sought involve:

(B) Reporting requirements;

The exemption request involves submitting an updated FSAR by Luminant and

(G) Scheduling requirements;

The proposed exemption relates to the schedule for submitting FSAR updates to the NRC.

4.0 Conclusion

Accordingly, the NRC has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), a one-time exemption is authorized by law and will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the NRC hereby grants Luminant a one-time exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii) pertaining to the CPNPP, Units 3 and 4, COLA to allow the submittal of the FSAR update scheduled for November 2014, on or before November 30, 2015 and to submit the subsequent FSAR annual update in November 2016.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22, the Commission NRC has determined that the exemption request meets the applicable categorical exclusion criteria set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), and the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of March 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Samuel Lee,

Chief, Licensing Branch 2, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2014-06354 Filed 3-21-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP2012-59 and CP2014-36; Order No. 2025]

International Mail Contract

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 (IBRS Competitive Contract 3) negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* March 25, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Corcoran, Acting General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filings
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On March 14, 2014, the Postal Service filed notice that it has established contingency prices pursuant to an existing, albeit expired, International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 (IBRS Competitive Contract 3) negotiated service agreement.¹

The Notice includes four attachments: A redacted copy of the notice to the customer of the contingency prices, a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08-24, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also includes supporting financial workpapers.

II. Notice of Filings

The Postal Service filed its Notice in Docket No. CP2014-36. However, the contingency prices described in the Notice were established pursuant to a term of an agreement approved by the Commission in Docket No. CP2012-59 that continued in effect after the agreement expired.² As such, the contingency prices are more properly

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Prices Under Functionally Equivalent International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, March 14, 2014 (Notice).

² Notice at 2. See also Docket No. CP2012-59, Order No. 1480, Order Approving New International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Agreement, September 27, 2012.

considered in connection with the docket relating to the expired agreement.³ Consequently, the Commission reopens Docket No. CP2012–59 for consideration of matters raised by the Notice. Docket No. CP2014–36 is closed.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filing is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than March 25, 2014. The public portions of the filing can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Manon A. Boudreault to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission reopens Docket No. CP2012–59 for consideration of the matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Docket No. CP014–36 is closed.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Manon A. Boudreault is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

4. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than March 25, 2014.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–06236 Filed 3–21–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. R2014–7; Order No. 2024]

International Mail Contract

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning a

³ The Commission previously established separate dockets for the consideration of contingency prices established pursuant to an IBRS Competitive Contract 1 agreement. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. CP2013–28, Order No. 1587, Notice and Order Concerning International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 1 Product, December 18, 2012. However, unlike the instant contract, the IBRS Competitive Contract 1 agreement was executed before the Commission's rules governing competitive products took effect. *Id.* at 3. As a result, there was no docket for the underlying agreement that the Commission could have reopened.

Type 2 rate adjustment and the filing of a related negotiated service agreement with China Post Group. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* March 24, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Corcoran, Acting General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Contents of Filing
- III. Initial Commission Action
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On March 14, 2014, the Postal Service filed notice, pursuant to 39 CFR 3010.40 *et seq.*, of a Type 2 rate adjustment and the filing of a related negotiated service agreement with China Post Group involving the inbound portion of a multi-product bilateral agreement (2014 Agreement).¹ The Postal Service requests that the Commission include the 2014 Agreement within the Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators (MC2010–35, R2010–5, and R2010–6) (Foreign Postal Operators 1) product. *Id.* at 13.

II. Contents of Filing

The Postal Service's filing consists of the Notice, two attachments, and redacted and unredacted versions of an Excel file with supporting financial workpapers. *Id.* at 2. Attachment 1 is an application for non-public treatment of material filed under seal with the Commission. *Id.* Attachment 2 is a redacted copy of the 2014 Agreement. *Id.*

The Notice identifies May 1, 2014 as the intended effective date of the 2014 Agreement and June 30, 2015 as the expiration date. *Id.* at 11. The Notice asserts that the requisite 45 days' advance notice is being provided; identifies a Postal Service official as a contact for further information; and

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Type 2 Rate Adjustment, and Notice of Filing Functionally Equivalent Agreement with China Post Group, March 14, 2014 (collectively, Notice).

identifies the parties to the Agreement as the United States Postal Service and China Post Group, the postal operator for China. *Id.* at 2–4. The Notice states that the Agreement includes delivery confirmation scanning with Letter Post small packets, a service also included in the China Post 2010, China Post 2011, and China Post 2013 Agreements. *Id.* at 4. However, it states that the 2014 Agreement includes two versions of inbound scanning with small packets: China to United States Small Packet with Delivery Scanning Dispatched by China EMS (the subject of Annex 2) and China to United States Small Packet with Delivery Scanning Dispatched by China Letter Post (the subject of Annex 10). *Id.* It asserts that the two versions acknowledge the roles of, differentiate processing by, and improve settlement procedures in relation to the two entities within China Post that dispatch inbound delivery confirmation scanning with small packets. *Id.*

The Notice states that the financial workpapers address the expected financial improvements, costs, volumes, and revenues; describes several operational improvements; and provides reasons why the 2014 Agreement will not result in unreasonable harm to the marketplace. *Id.* at 4–7. It also includes requests for exceptions to the financial data and service performance requirements in 39 CFR 3010.43 and 3055.3(a)(3), respectively; addresses the consistency of the filing with the statutory criteria in 39 U.S.C. 3622(c)(10); and discusses functional equivalency. *Id.* at 8–12. The functional equivalency discussion identifies the predecessor 2013 China Post Agreement as the baseline agreement; describes differences between the 2014 Agreement and the baseline agreement; and concludes that the differences do not affect the similarity of market characteristics or similarity of cost characteristics of the two agreements. *Id.* at 11–12.

III. Initial Commission Action

The Commission, in conformance with rule 3010.44, establishes Docket No. R2014–7 to consider issues raised by the Notice. The Commission invites public comments on whether the Postal Service's filing in the captioned docket is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3622 and 39 CFR part 3010, subpart D. Comments are due no later than March 24, 2014. The public portions of the Postal Service's filing have been posted on the Commission's Web site and can be accessed at <http://www.prc.gov>. Information on the Commission's treatment of non-public materials, including how to obtain