

topics to be integrated to form a single, unified database so that the interaction between tax, transfer, and other government and private policies can be examined. Government domestic-policy formulators depend heavily upon the SIPP information concerning the distribution of income received directly as money or indirectly as in-kind benefits and the effect of tax and transfer programs on this distribution. They also need improved and expanded data on the income and general economic and financial situation of the U.S. population. The SIPP has provided these kinds of data on a continuing basis since 1983 permitting levels of economic well-being and changes in these levels to be measured over time.

The 2001 Panel is currently scheduled for three years and will include nine waves of interviewing beginning February 2001. Approximately 50,000 households will be selected for the 2001 Panel, of which 37,500 are expected to be interviewed. We estimate that each household will contain 2.1 people, yielding 78,750 interviews in Wave 1 and subsequent waves. Interviews take 30 minutes on average. Three waves of interviewing will occur in the 2001 SIPP Panel during FY 2002. The total annual burden for 2001 Panel SIPP interviews would be 118,125 hours in FY 2002.

The topical modules for the 2001 Panel Wave 3 collect information about:

- Medical Expenses and Utilization of Health Care (Adults and Children)
- Work Related Expenses and Child Support Paid

• Assets, Liabilities, and Eligibility
Wave 3 interviews will be conducted from October 2001 through January 2002.

A 10-minute reinterview of 2,500 persons is conducted at each wave to ensure accuracy of responses. Reinterviews would require an additional 1,253 burden hours in FY 2002.

An additional 1,050 burden hours is requested in order to continue the SIPP Methods Panel testing which will be conducted during the period of Wave 3 interviewing. The test targets SIPP Wave 2 items and sections that require thorough and rigorous testing in order to improve the quality of core data.

II. Method of Collection

The SIPP is designed as a continuing series of national panels of interviewed households that are introduced every few years with each panel having durations of one to four years. All household members 15 years old or over are interviewed using regular proxy-respondent rules. During the 2001 Panel, respondents are interviewed a

total of nine times (nine waves) at 4-month intervals making the SIPP a longitudinal survey. Sample people (all household members present at the time of the first interview) who move within the country and reasonably close to a SIPP primary sampling unit will be followed and interviewed at their new address. Individuals 15 years old or over who enter the household after Wave 1 will be interviewed; however, if these individuals move, they are not followed unless they happen to move along with a Wave 1 sample individual.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0607-0875.

Form Number: SIPP/CAPI Automated Instrument.

Type of Review: Regular.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 78,750 persons per wave.

Estimated Time Per Response: 30 minutes per person on average.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 120,428.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The only cost to respondents is their time.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Section 182.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for the Office of Management and Budget approval of this information collection. They also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 6, 2001.

Madeleine Clayton,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-5919 Filed 3-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 14-2001]

Foreign-Trade Zone 126—Sparks, Nevada Application for Subzone Taiyo America, Inc. (Electronic Chemicals) Carson City, NV

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Economic Development Authority of Western Nevada, grantee of FTZ 126, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the manufacturing and warehousing facilities of Taiyo America, Inc. (Taiyo), located in Carson City, Nevada. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 2, 2001.

The Taiyo facility (38 employees, 4.2 acres) is located at 2675 Antler Drive, Carson City, Nevada. The Taiyo facility is used for the manufacturing, testing, packaging and warehousing of solder mask (HTS 3208.90 and 3215.90, duty rate ranges from 1.8% to 3.2%), which is used in the production of printed circuit boards. Components and materials sourced from abroad (representing about 50% of all parts consumed in manufacturing) include: quartz, bentonite clay, natural steatite, barium sulfates, calcium carbonates, ketones and quinones, esters of acrylic acid, acyclic polyamines, dicyandiamide, aromatic sulphur compound, ethylene thiourea, melamine, carbon, phenothiazine, acrylic polymers, epoxy resins, and silicones (HTS 2506, 2508, 2526, 2811, 2833, 2836, 2914, 2916, 2921, 2926, 2930, 2933, 2934, 3802, 3906, 3907, 3909 and 3910, duty rate ranges from duty free to 9.4%+\$0.11/kg).

FTZ procedures would exempt Taiyo from Customs duty payments on the foreign components used in export production. Some 14 percent of the plant's shipments are exported. On its domestic sales, Taiyo would be able to choose the duty rates during Customs entry procedures that apply to finished solder masks (1.8-3.2%) for the foreign inputs noted above. The request indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures would help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ staff has been appointed examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is May 8, 2001. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to May 23, 2001).

A copy of the application and the accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Export Assistance Center, 1755 East Plumb Lane, Room 152, Reno, NV 89502.

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Dated: March 2, 2001.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-5918 Filed 3-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges (stainless steel flanges) from India (A-533-809) manufactured by Echjay Forgings Ltd. (Echjay), Isibars Ltd. (Isibars), Panchmahal Steel Ltd. (Panchmahal), Patheja Forgings and Auto Parts Ltd. (Patheja), and Viraj Forgings Ltd. (Viraj). The period of review (POR) covers the period February 1, 1999, through January 31, 2000. We preliminarily determine that sales of stainless steel flanges have been made below the normal value (NV) for some of the respondents. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess

antidumping duties based on the difference between United States price and the NV. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in these proceedings are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issues and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 9, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Killiam, Steve Bezirgianian, or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-5222, (202) 482-1131, or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (April 1, 2000).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 9, 1994, the Department published the antidumping duty order on stainless steel flanges from India (59 FR 5994). On February 14, 2000, the Department published the notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" for this order covering the period February 1, 1999 through January 31, 2000 (65 FR 7348). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213 (b)(1), Echjay requested a review of its sales, and the petitioners requested reviews of Isibars, Panchmahal, Patheja, and Viraj. The petitioners are Gerlin Inc., Ideal Forging Corporation, and Maas Flange Corporation. On March 30, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of these antidumping duty administrative reviews covering the period February 1, 1999 through January 31, 2000 (65 FR 16875). The initiation notice also listed Pushpaman Exports: through subsequent correspondence with the company officials we determined that Pushpaman and Echjay are one and the same entity.

On August 16, 2000, we published in the **Federal Register** our notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel flanges from India (65 FR 49964), which referenced the findings of the Department and of the International Trade Commission

with respect to the sunset review of this order.

On November 2, 2000, we extended the time limit for the preliminary results of this administrative review to February 28, 2001 (65 FR 65835).

Scope of the Reviews

The products under review are certain forged stainless steel flanges, both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A-182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld-neck, used for butt-weld line connection; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/butt-weld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the above-described merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A-351. The flanges subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS"). Although the HTS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the review.

The POR is February 1, 1999, through January 31, 2000.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Tariff Act, we verified information provided by Panchmahal and Viraj, using standard verification procedures, the examination of relevant sales and financial records, and selection of original documentation containing relevant information. Our verification results are outlined in the public versions of the verification reports, on file in Room B-099 in the main Commerce building.

Use of Facts Available

Section 776(a)(2) of the Tariff Act provides that, "if an interested party or any other person—(A) withholds information that has been requested by the administering authority; (B) fails to provide such information by the deadlines for the submission of the information or in the form and manner requested, subject to subsections (c)(1) and (e) of section 782; (C) significantly