future performance, DEA Administrators have required that a registrant who has committed acts inconsistent with the public interest must accept responsibility for those acts and demonstrate that he will not engage in future misconduct. Jones Total Health Care Pharmacy, 881 F.3d at 833; ALRA Labs, Inc. v. Drug Enf't Admin., 54 F.3d 450, 452 (7th Cir. 1995). A registrant's acceptance of responsibility must be unequivocal. Jones Total Health Care Pharmacy, 881 F.3d at 830-31. In addition, a registrant's candor during the investigation and hearing has been an important factor in determining acceptance of responsibility and the appropriate sanction. Id. Further, the Agency has found that the egregiousness and extent of the misconduct are significant factors in determining the appropriate sanction. Id. at 834 & n.4. The Agency has also considered the need to deter similar acts by the registrant and by the community of registrants. Jeffrey Stein, M.D., 84 FR 46972-73.

Here, Registrant did not request a hearing and did not otherwise avail himself of the opportunity to refute the Government's case. As such, there is no record evidence that Registrant takes responsibility, let alone unequivocal responsibility, for the founded violations, meaning, among other things, that it is not reasonable to believe that Registrant's future controlled substance-related actions will comply with legal requirements. Accordingly, Registrant did not convince the Agency that he can be entrusted with a registration.

Further, the interests of specific and general deterrence weigh in favor of revocation. Given the foundational nature of Registrant's violations, a sanction less than revocation would send a message to the existing and prospective registrant community that compliance with the law is not a condition precedent to maintaining a registration.

In sum, Registrant has not offered any evidence on the record that rebuts the Government's case for revocation of his registration due to a material falsification, and Registrant has not demonstrated that he can be entrusted with the responsibility of registration. Accordingly, the Agency will order the revocation of Respondent's registration.

Order

Pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a) and 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby revoke DEA Certificate of Registration No. BB7959731 issued to James F. Brown, D.P.M. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a) and 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby deny any pending applications of James F. Brown, D.P.M., to renew or modify this registration, as well as any other pending application of James F. Brown, D.P.M., for additional registration in California. This Order is effective July 14, 2025.

Signing Authority

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on June 6, 2025, by Acting Administrator Robert J. Murphy. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Heather Achbach,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2025–10742 Filed 6–12–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: The Finance Committee and the Communications Subcommittee of the Institutional Advancement Committee of the Legal Services Corporation Board of Directors will meet virtually on June 23 and 24, 2025, respectively. The Finance Committee meeting will begin on June 23 at 1:00 p.m. Eastern Time and will continue until the conclusion of the Committee's agenda. On June 24, the Communications Subcommittee meeting will begin at 3:30 p.m. Eastern Time and will continue until the conclusion of the Committee's agenda.

PLACE: Public Notice of Virtual Meeting. LSC will conduct the June 23 and June 24, 2025, meetings via videoconference. Unless otherwise noted herein, the LSC Board of Directors meeting will be open to public observation via LSC's YouTube channel: https://www.youtube.com/@ LegalServicesCorp/streams.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Monday, June 23, 2025—Finance Committee Meeting

- 1. Approval of Meeting Agenda
- Discussion with LSC Management Regarding Recommendations for the Organization's Fiscal Year 2027 Budget Request
- 3. Discussion with Leadership from LSC's Office of Inspector General (OIG) Regarding the OIG's Fiscal Year 2027 Budget Request
- 4. Consider and Act on Other Business
- Consider and Act on Adjournment of Meeting

Tuesday, June 24, 2025— Communications Subcommittee Meeting

- 1. Approval of Agenda
- 2. Communications and Social Media Update
- 3. Strategic Communications Response to FY2026 White House Budget
- 4. Consider and Act on Other Business
- 5. Consider and Act on Motion to Adjourn the Meeting

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jessica Wechter, Special Assistant to the President, at (202) 295–1500. Questions may also be sent by electronic mail to the Office of the Corporate Secretary at updates@lsc.gov.

Non-Confidential Meeting Materials: Non-confidential meeting materials will be made available in electronic format at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting on the LSC website, at https:// www.lsc.gov/about-lsc/board-meetingmaterials.

(Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552b.)

Dated: June 11, 2025.

Stefanie Davis,

Deputy General Counsel, Legal Services Corporation.

[FR Doc. 2025–10929 Filed 6–11–25; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7050-01-P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts

60-Day Notice for the Blanket
Justification for National Endowment
for the Arts Funding Application
Guidelines and Requirements

AGENCY: National Endowment for the Arts, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of proposed collection; comment request.

⁷ In this matter there are two separate and distinct grounds by which the Agency proposed revocation, Registrant's loss of state authority and his material falsification; each ground, standing alone, supports the Agency's decision to revoke.