

time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules with respect to filing were revised effective July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014). The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined this review is extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 10, 2020.

Katherine Hiner,
Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2020–15269 Filed 7–14–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1153 (Second Review)]

Certain Tow-Behind Lawn Groomers and Parts Thereof From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain tow-

behind lawn groomers and parts thereof from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted this review on January 2, 2020 (85 FR 117) and determined on April 6, 2020 that it would conduct an expedited review (85 FR 34464, June 4, 2020).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on July 9, 2020. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5089 (July 2020), entitled *Certain Tow-Behind Lawn Groomers and Parts Thereof from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–1153 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 9, 2020.

Katherine Hiner,

Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2020–15270 Filed 7–14–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and Other Statutes

On July 9, 2020, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas in the lawsuit entitled *United States and State of Texas v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Company, Case No. 4:20-cv-02423*, for violations of federal and state environmental laws during the production of agrichemicals at a manufacturing plant located in La Porte, Harris County, Texas.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves the claims of the United States and the State of Texas under (1) the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 6901 *et seq.*, implementing regulations and the delegated program under the Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act (Texas Health and Safety Code ch. 361), (2) the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*, implementing regulations, and Texas Clean Air Act (Tex. Health and Safety Code ch. 382), and (3) the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251, *et seq.*, implementing regulations, and the

Texas Water Code ch. 26. The Consent Decree provides for payment of a civil penalty of \$3,195,000 (\$1,710,000 to the United States and \$1,485,000 to the State of Texas), payment of attorneys' fees of \$225,000 to the State of Texas, and performance of injunctive relief to resolve the violations alleged in the Complaint.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and State of Texas v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Company, Case No. 4:20-cv-02423*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–08181/3. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By e-mail	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov .
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) for the Consent Decree or \$14.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) for the Consent Decree and Appendices, payable to the United States Treasury.

Thomas Carroll,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2020–15296 Filed 7–14–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Amy A. Karpel did not participate in this vote.