practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 8, 2012.

#### Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–19834 Filed 8–13–12; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Economic Value of Puerto Rico's Coral Reef Ecosystems for Recreation-Tourism

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before October 15, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at JJessup@doc.gov).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Dr. Vernon R. (Bob)
Leeworthy, (301) 713–7261 or
Bob.Leeworthy@noaa.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

This request is for a regular submission (new collection).

NOAA and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have entered a partnership to estimate the market and non-market economic values of Puerto Rico's coral reef ecosystems. Estimates will be made for all ecosystem services for the Guanica Bay Watershed and for recreation-tourism for all of Puerto Rico's coral reef ecosystems.

The required information is to conduct focus groups to help in designing the full surveys of visitors and residents of Puerto Rico. The four focus groups; two visitor and two resident focus groups, will be used to address the attributes of coral reef ecosystems that people may consider important, and the levels of the attributes to be valued. Attributes would include natural attributes such as water clarity/ visibility, coral cover and diversity, and fish abundance and diversity. In addition, issues such as crowded conditions that users (e.g. SCUBA divers, snorkelers, recreational fishers, and wildlife viewers) see while doing their activities on the reefs will be evaluated. This set of focus groups will be conducted one-time only.

### II. Method of Collection

Four focus groups will be conducted, two for visitors and two for residents of Puerto Rico. Each focus group will consist of eight people. Focus groups will be conducted at a suitable facility where they will engage in open discussions about reef attributes. Some paper forms, photos and illustrations describing reef attributes will be presented. Focus group sessions will last about two hours per session and will be recorded for the research team (video and audio).

#### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0648–XXXX. *Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission (new information collection).

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 32.

Estimated Time per Response: 2 hours per focus group member.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 64.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

### **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 8, 2012.

#### Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–19848 Filed 8–13–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-NK-P

# CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC-2012-0024]

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request—Coal and Woodburning Appliances

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In the **Federal Register** of May 3, 2012 (74 FR 26253), the **Consumer Product Safety Commission** (CPSC or Commission) published a notice in accordance with provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), to announce the CPSC's intention to seek extension of approval of a collection of information for regulations on coal and woodburning appliances. No comments were received in response to that notice. Therefore, by publication of this notice, the Commission announces that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for extension of approval of this collection of information, without change.

ADDRESSES: To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, the OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: CPSC Desk Officer, Fax: 202–395–6974, or emailed to oira\_submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified by Docket No. CPSC-2010-0024. In

addition, written comments also should be submitted at http://www.regulations.gov, under Docket No. CPSC-2010-0024, or by mail/hand delivery/courier (for paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504-7923. For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http://www.regulations.gov.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary K. James, Office of Information Technology, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission,4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone 301–504–7213 or by email to mjames@cpsc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Request** for Reinstatement of Approval of Collection of Information. Existing manufacturers of coal and woodburning appliances who are subject to the information collection requirements may introduce up to 15 new models in a 3-year period, or approximately five new models per year. No new manufacturers are expected to begin marketing in the United States. The average number of hours per respondent is estimated at 3 hours per year, for a total of about 15 hours of annual burden for all respondents (5 models  $\times$  3 hours). No specific label design is required, but examples of acceptable label formats are provided in the rule. It is assumed that each manufacturer will use the same general label format for all stove models it produces. Therefore, when a manufacturer introduces a new stove model, the only changes that will be required are to insert the specific information that pertains to the new model. Additionally, manufacturers are to provide the Commission with copies of the information required to be disclosed on the label. Because this information should be readily available, it should take a manufacturer 30 minutes or less, per model, to collect the information and mail it to the Commission. Therefore, an additional 2.5 hours have been added to the total burden (30 minutes × 5 models per year) for a total annual burden of 17.5 hours. The total estimated annualized respondent cost is approximately \$1,044, based on an average total hourly employee compensation rate of \$59.63 for management, professional, and related occupations (17.5 hours  $\times$ \$59.63) (Bureau of Labor Statistics, September 2011).

Dated: August 9, 2012.

#### Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–19880 Filed 8–13–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

# CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC 2012-0030]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request—Flammability Standards for Carpets and Rugs

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register of June 8, 2012 (74 FR 34027), the **Consumer Product Safety Commission** (CPSC or Commission) published a notice in accordance with provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), to announce the CPSC's intention to seek extension of approval of collections of information in regulations implementing two flammability standards for carpets and rugs. No comments were received in response to that notice. Therefore, by publication of this notice, the Commission announces that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for extension of approval of those collections of information, without change.

**ADDRESSES:** To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, the OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: CPSC Desk Officer, Fax: 202-395-6974, or emailed to oira submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified by Docket No. CPSC-2010-0030. In addition, written comments also should be submitted at http:// www.regulations.gov, under Docket No. CPSC-2010-0030, or by mail/hand delivery/courier (for paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923. For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http:// www.regulations.gov.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary K. James, Office of Information Technology, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; Telephone: 301–504–7213 or by email to *mjames@cpsc.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Request** for Reinstatement of Approval of Collections of Information. The Commission estimates that 120 firms are subject to the information collection requirements for standards related to the surface flammability of carpets and rugs and small carpets and rugs. These firms have elected to issue a guaranty of compliance with the Flammable Fabrics Act (FFA), or they are required to certify compliance of products intended for children under the Consumer Product Safety Act (as amended by the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008). The number of tests that a firm issuing a guaranty of compliance would be required to perform each year varies, depending upon the number of carpet styles and the annual volume of production. We estimate that the average firm issuing a continuing guaranty under the FFA is required to conduct a maximum of 200 tests per year. The actual number of tests required by a given firm may vary from one to 200, depending upon the number of carpet styles and the annual production volume. For example, if a firm manufactures 100,000 linear yards of carpet each year, and it consistently has obtained passing test results, then only one test per year is required. For purposes of estimating burden, we have used the midpoint, 100 tests per year. The time required to conduct each test is estimated to be 2.5 hours, plus the time required to establish and maintain the test record. We estimate the total annualized cost/burden to respondents could be as high as 12,000 tests per year, at 2.5 hours per test, or 30,000 hours. The annualized costs to respondents for the hour burden for collection of information is estimated to be as high as \$1,837,200, using a mean hourly employer cost-per-hour-worked of \$61.24 (Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS): Total compensation rates for management, professional, and related occupations in private goods-producing industries, December 2011) (30,000 hours x \$61.24)).

Dated: August 9, 2012.

#### Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–19879 Filed 8–13–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P