

the parties. The hearing will be governed by the procedures prescribed at 42 CFR part 430.

I am designating Ms. Kathleen Scully-Hayes as the presiding officer. If these arrangements present any problems, please contact the presiding officer at (410) 786-2055. In order to facilitate any communication which may be necessary between the parties to the hearing, please notify the presiding officer to indicate acceptability of the hearing date that has been scheduled and provide names of the individuals who will represent the State at the hearing.

Sincerely,

Mark B. McClellan, M.D., PhD.

(Section 1116 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. section 1316); 42 CFR section 430.18) (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program No. 13.714, Medicaid Assistance Program.)

Dated: September 18, 2006.

Mark B. McClellan,

Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

[FR Doc. E6-15779 Filed 9-26-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Notice of Hearing: Reconsideration of Disapproval of Missouri State Plan Amendment 05-11

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Notice of hearing.

SUMMARY: This notice announces an administrative hearing to be held on November 15, 2006, at the Richard Bolling Federal Building, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106-2898, the Kansas City Room, to reconsider CMS' decision to disapprove Missouri State plan amendment 05-11.

Closing Date: Requests to participate in the hearing as a party must be received by the presiding officer by October 12, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen Scully-Hayes, Presiding Officer, CMS Lord Baltimore Drive, Mail Stop LB-23-20, Baltimore, Maryland 21244, telephone: (410) 786-2055.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces an administrative hearing to reconsider CMS' decision to disapprove Missouri State plan amendment (SPA) 05-11 which was submitted on September 27, 2005. This SPA was disapproved on June 16, 2006. Under SPA 05-11, Missouri proposed to alter the provider qualifications and payment methodology for personal care

assistance services by transferring administrative responsibility for such providers from one State agency to another.

At issue is: (1) Whether SPA 05-11 complied with the requirements of section 1902(a) of the Social Security Act (the Act) generally, and 1902(a)(30) of the Act specifically, in providing for coverage of services for which the State plan did not contain a clear payment methodology that the State had shown was consistent with efficiency and economy; (2) whether the proposed coverage of personal care services in SPA 05-11 was consistent with the definition of personal care services in section 1905(a)(24) of the Act (which is integral to the definition of "medical assistance" in sections 1905(a) and 1902(a)(10)(A) of the Act), and applicable regulations, including services of registered nurses.

This amendment was disapproved because the resulting plan would not have comported with the requirements of section 1902(a)(30)(A) and section 1905(a)(24) of the Act and implementing regulations.

Section 1902(a)(30)(A) of the Act requires that State plans have methods and procedures to assure that payments are consistent with economy, efficiency, and quality of care. While this SPA would have provided for coverage of personal care services, the methodology for paying for such services was not clearly set forth in the State plan. Moreover, Missouri provided information that personal care services, and personal care assistance services, are reimbursed based on a 15-minute service unit. However, the State did not provide to CMS the rate for the 15-minute service unit, or any rate derivation information, to conclude that this payment is economic or efficient. In light of this, CMS cannot conclude that the coverage of the proposed services would have been accomplished through an efficient and economical payment methodology in compliance with the requirements of section 1902(a)(30)(A).

Further, the overall requirement in section 1902(a) for a State plan, and the specific requirement at section 1902(a)(30)(A) for methods and procedures related to payment, as implemented by Federal regulations at 42 CFR 430.10 and 42 CFR 447.252(b) require that the State plan include a comprehensive description of the methods and standards used to set payment rates. Payment methodologies should be understandable and auditable. In addition, since the plan is the basis for Federal financial participation, it is important that the plan language be clear and

unambiguous. The proposed methodology does not provide sufficient information for providers to determine the payment amount to which they are entitled.

Additionally, the Medicaid personal care services benefit does not include registered nurse services in the definitions at section 1905(a)(24) of the Act and Federal regulations at 42 CFR 440.167 and thus such coverage is not within the scope of "medical assistance" under sections 1905(a) and 1902(a)(10) of the Act. As CMS had indicated in the State Medicaid Manual Part 4, section 4480(C), although personal care services may be similar to, or overlap, some services furnished by home health aides, "skilled services that may be performed only by a health professional are not considered personal care services." It would not be consistent with efficiency and economy for a State to pay higher rates to attract overqualified individuals (registered nurses) to provide personal care services. Registered nurse services may instead be furnished as a home health service under 42 CFR 440.70(b)(1), or as private duty nursing services as defined at 42 CFR 440.80(a). Furthermore, there is no provision in Medicaid for payment for training of personal care providers, including the "training and supervision" of the "qualified staff licensed by the Department of Mental Health" or supervision visits by a registered nurse.

For these reasons, and after consulting with the Secretary as required by Federal regulations at 42 CFR section 430.15(c)(2), I disapproved this SPA on June 16, 2006.

Section 1116 of the Act and Federal regulations at 42 CFR Part 430, establish Department procedures that provide an administrative hearing for reconsideration of a disapproval of a State plan or plan amendment. CMS is required to publish a copy of the notice to a State Medicaid agency that informs the agency of the time and place of the hearing, and the issues to be considered. If we subsequently notify the agency of additional issues that will be considered at the hearing, we will also publish that notice.

Any individual or group that wants to participate in the hearing as a party must petition the presiding officer within 15 days after publication of this notice, in accordance with the requirements contained at 42 CFR 430.76(b)(2). Any interested person or organization that wants to participate as *amicus curiae* must petition the presiding officer before the hearing begins in accordance with the requirements contained at 42 CFR

430.76(c). If the hearing is later rescheduled, the presiding officer will notify all participants.

The notice to Missouri announcing an administrative hearing to reconsider the disapproval of its SPA reads as follows:

Mr. Steven E. Renne, Interim Director,
Missouri, Department of Social Services,
P.O. Box 1527, Broadway State Office
Building, Jefferson City, MO 65102-1527.

Dear Mr. Renne: I am responding to your request for reconsideration of the decision to disapprove the Missouri State plan amendment (SPA) 05-11, which was submitted on September 27, 2005, and disapproved on June 16, 2006.

Under SPA 05-11, Missouri was proposing to alter the provider qualifications and payment methodology for personal care assistance services by transferring administrative responsibility for such providers from one State agency to another.

At issue in this reconsideration is: (1) whether SPA 05-11 complied with the requirements of section 1902(a) of the Social Security Act (the Act) generally, and 1902(a)(30) of the Act specifically, in providing for coverage of services for which the State plan did not contain a clear payment methodology that the State had shown was consistent with efficiency and economy; (2) whether the proposed coverage of personal care services in SPA 05-11 was consistent with the definition of personal care services in section 1905(a)(24) of the Act (which is integral to the definition of "medical assistance" at sections 1905(a) and 1902(a)(10) of the Act), and applicable regulations, including services of registered nurses.

This amendment was disapproved because it did not comport with the requirements of section 1902(a) generally, section 1902(a)(30)(A) specifically, and section 1905(a)(24) of the Act and implementing regulations.

Section 1902(a)(30)(A) of the Act requires that State plans have methods and procedures to assure that payments are consistent with economy, efficiency, and quality of care. While this SPA would have provided for coverage of personal care services, the methodology for paying for such services was not clearly set forth in the State plan. Moreover, Missouri provided information that personal care services and personal care assistance services are reimbursed based on a 15-minute service unit. However, the State did not provide to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) the rate for the 15-minute service unit or any rate derivation information to conclude that this payment is economic or efficient. In light of this, CMS cannot conclude that coverage of the proposed services would be accomplished through an efficient and economical payment methodology in compliance with the requirements of section 1902(a)(30)(A).

Further, the overall requirement in section 1902(a) for a State plan, and the specific requirement at section 1902(a)(30)(A) for methods and procedures related to payment, as implemented by Federal regulations at 42 CFR §§ 430.10 and 447.252(b) require that the

State plan include a comprehensive description of the methods and standards used to set payment rates. Payment methodologies should be understandable and auditable. In addition, since the plan is the basis for Federal financial participation, it is important that the plan language be clear and unambiguous. The proposed methodology does not provide sufficient information for providers to determine the payment amount to which they are entitled.

Additionally, the Medicaid personal care services benefit does not include registered nurse services in the definitions at section 1905(a)(24) of the Act and Federal regulations at 42 CFR 440.167, and thus such coverage is not within the scope of "medical assistance" defined under section 1905(a) and 1902(a)(10) of the Act. As CMS had indicated in the State Medicaid Manual Part 4, section 4480(C), although personal care services may be similar to, or overlap, some services furnished by home health aides, "skilled services that may be performed only by a health professional are not considered personal care services." It would not be consistent with efficiency and economy for a State to pay higher rates to attract overqualified individuals (registered nurses) to provide personal care services. Registered nurse services may instead be furnished as a home health service under 42 CFR 440.70(b)(1), or as private duty nursing services as defined at 42 CFR 440.80(a). Furthermore, there is no provision in Medicaid for payment for training of personal care providers, including the "training and supervision" of the "qualified staff licensed by the Department of Mental Health" or supervision visits by a registered nurse.

For these reasons, and after consulting with the Secretary as required by Federal regulations at 42 CFR section 430.15(c)(2), I disapproved this SPA on June 16, 2006.

I am scheduling a hearing on your request for reconsideration to be held on November 15, 2006, at the Richard Bolling Federal Building, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106-2898, the Kansas City Room, to reconsider the decision to disapprove SPA 05-11. If this date is not acceptable, we would be glad to set another date that is mutually agreeable to the parties. The hearing will be governed by the procedures prescribed at 42 CFR part 430.

I am designating Ms. Kathleen Scully-Hayes as the presiding officer. If these arrangements present any problems, please contact the presiding officer at (410) 786-2055. In order to facilitate any communication which may be necessary between the parties to the hearing, please notify the presiding officer to indicate acceptability of the hearing date that has been scheduled and provide names of the individuals who will represent the State at the hearing.

Sincerely,

Mark B. McClellan, M.D., PhD
(Section 1116 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. section 1316); 42 CFR section 430.18) (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program No. 13.714, Medicaid Assistance Program)

Dated: September 20, 2006.

Mark B. McClellan,

Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

[FR Doc. E6-15780 Filed 9-26-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Title IV-E Foster Care Eligibility Reviews; Child and Family Services Reviews; Anti-Discrimination Enforcement.

OMB No.: 0970-0214.

Description: The following five separate activities are associated with this information collection:

- Foster Care Eligibility Review (FCER) Program Improvement Plan;
- Child and Family Services Reviews (CFSR) State agency Statewide Assessment;
- CFSR On-site review;
- CFSR Program Improvement Plan; and
- Anti-Discrimination Enforcement Corrective Action Plan.

The collection of information for review of Federal payments to States for foster care maintenance payments (45 CFR 1356.71(i)) is authorized by title IV-E of the social Security Act (the Act), section 474 [42 U.S.C. 674]. The Foster Care Eligibility Reviews (FCER) ensure that States claim title IV-E funds on behalf of title IV-E eligible children.

The collection of information for review of State child and family services programs (45 CFR 1355.33(b), 1355.33(c) and 1355.35(a)) to determine whether such programs are in substantial conformity with State plan requirements under parts B and E of the Act is authorized by section 1123(a) [42 U.S.C. 1320a-1a] of the Act. The CFSR looks at both the outcomes related to safety, permanency and well-being of children served by the child welfare system and at seven systemic factors that support the outcomes.

Section 474(d) of the Act [42 U.S.C. 674] deploys enforcement provisions (45 CFR 1355.38(b) and (c)) for the requirements at section 4371(a)(18) [42 U.S.C. 671], which prohibit the delay or denial of foster and adoptive placements based on the race, color, or national origin of any of the individuals involved. The enforcement provisions include the execution and completion of corrective action plans when a State is in violation of section 471(a)(18).