

general aviation ramps; reconstruct terminal restrooms; aircraft passenger lift; replace windcone and install supplemental windcone; update airport master plan; rehabilitate Runway 17/35 (design); acquire land in runway protection zone; PFC consultation services.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Mason City Municipal Airport. Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on March 21, 2003.

Jim Johnson,

Acting Manager, Airports Division, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 03-7675 Filed 3-28-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA-2003-14794]

Proposed Guidance for the Use of Binding Arbitration Under the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT

ACTION: Notice of proposed guidance; Request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), a modal administration within the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), proposes to use the alternative dispute resolution (ADR) technique of binding arbitration in civil penalty forfeiture proceedings in which the only issues remaining to be resolved are: (1) The amount of the civil penalty owed, and (2) the length of time in which to pay it. FMCSA will *not* agree to arbitrate maximum penalty cases issued pursuant to section 222 of the Motor Carrier Safety Improvement Act of 1999, or any cases that require interpretation of the regulations or analysis of important policy issues. FMCSA intends to implement binding arbitration immediately upon publication of this notice. Binding arbitration will be implemented to provide more efficient and effective resolution of the large volume of adjudication cases that are now before FMCSA's Chief Safety Officer. In accordance with section 575(c) of the Administrative Dispute

Resolution Act of 1996, FMCSA has submitted this Guidance to the Attorney General for consultation. The Attorney General concurs in the issuance of this Guidance. Changes to the arbitration program may be made, however, in accordance with any comments or information received by FMCSA concerning implementation of binding arbitration.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 30, 2003.

ADDRESSES: You may mail, fax, hand deliver or electronically submit written comments on the Guidance to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Dockets Management Facility, Room PL-401, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001, FAX (202) 493-2251, on-line at <http://dms.dot.gov/submit>. Please include the docket number that appears in the heading of this document in your submission. Comments may be examined at the Dockets Management Facility from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also view all comments or download an electronic copy of this document from the DOT Docket Management System (DMS) at <http://dms.dot.gov/search.htm> and by typing the last five digits of the docket number appearing at the heading of this document. The DMS is available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year. You can obtain electronic submission and retrieval help and guidelines under the "help" section of the web site. If you want us to notify you that we received your comments, please include a self-addressed, stamped envelope or postcard, or print the acknowledgement page that appears after submitting comments on-line.

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the Department of Transportation's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven B. Farbman, (202) 385-2351, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Adjudications Counsel, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in finalizing this Guidance by submitting such written comments, views, or arguments as they may desire. All comments received will be included in the docket and available for public inspection before and after the comment closing date. All comments received on or before the closing date will be considered by FMCSA. Late-filed comments will be considered to the extent practicable. The Guidance referenced in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Availability of the Guidance

This notice and request for comments merely identifies the Guidance. A complete copy of the Guidance has been placed in the public docket. The docket may be accessed at the U.S. Department of Transportation, Dockets Management Facility, Room PL-401, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001, or on-line at <http://dms.dot.gov>. The docket number is provided at the beginning of this Notice.

Background

In the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996 (ADRA) (Pub. L. 104-320, 110 Stat.3870 (October 19, 1996) (now codified at 5 U.S.C. 571-583)), Congress authorizes Federal agencies to utilize binding arbitration to resolve administrative disputes, provided that conditions specified in the ADRA are satisfied. Among other things, the ADRA requires interested agencies to develop and issue guidance on the appropriate use of arbitration. FMCSA has posted its Guidance at <http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov> as well as in the docket for this Notice at <http://dms.dot.gov> and is implementing binding arbitration in civil penalty forfeiture proceedings in which the only issues remaining to be resolved are the amount of the civil penalty owed and the length of time in which to pay it. The Chief Safety Officer will determine if a case is appropriate for arbitration and notify the parties in writing that the case will be referred to arbitration with the consent of both parties. A detailed explanation of the notification and consent process is provided in the Guidance. Cases requiring interpretation of the regulations or analysis of important policy issues will *not* be selected for binding arbitration. FMCSA will immediately modify or terminate the use of binding arbitration if there is reason to believe that continuing it is inconsistent with the goals and objectives of the safety regulations.

In accordance with section 575 of the ADRA, FMCSA's Guidance for use of binding arbitration to resolve civil penalty disputes was developed in consultation with the Attorney General. FMCSA has been informed by the Department of Justice (DOJ) that the Attorney General concurs in the Guidance and implementation of binding arbitration.

The Guidance satisfies the requirements regarding binding arbitration specified by section 575 of the ADRA of 1996, and addresses use of binding arbitration in a manner consistent with FMCSA's dispute resolution process and its procedural rules of practice at 49 CFR part 386.

Issued: March 24, 2003.

Annette M. Sandberg,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03-7656 Filed 3-28-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

[FTA Docket No. FTA-2003-14804]

Notice of Request for the Extension of Currently Approved Information Collections

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the intention of the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to extend the following currently approved information collections: Bus Testing Program.

DATES: Comments must be submitted before May 30, 2003.

ADDRESSES: All written comments must refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document and be submitted to the United States Department of Transportation, Central Dockets Office, PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Those desiring notification of receipt of comments must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard/envelope.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Marcel Bellanger, Office of Research, Demonstration and Innovation, (202) 366-0725.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested parties are invited to send comments

regarding any aspect of these information collections, including: (1) The necessity and utility of the information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the FTA; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways to minimize the collection burden without reducing the quality of the collected information. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB reinstatement of this information collection.

Title: Bus Testing Program (OMB Number: 2132-0550).

Background: 49 U.S.C. 5323(c) provides that no federal funds appropriated or made available after September 30, 1989, may be obligated or expended for the acquisition of a new bus model (including any model using alternative fuels) unless the bus has been tested at the Bus Testing Center (Center) in Altoona, Pennsylvania. 49 U.S.C. 5318(a) further specifies that each new bus model is to be tested for maintainability, reliability, safety, performance (including braking performance), structural integrity, fuel economy, emissions, and noise.

The operator of the Bus Testing Center, the Pennsylvania Transportation Institute (PTI), has entered into a cooperative agreement with FTA. PTI operates and maintains the Center, and establishes and collects fees for the testing of the vehicles at the facility. Upon completion of the testing of the vehicle at the Center, a test report is provided to the manufacturer of the new bus model. The bus manufacturer certifies to an FTA grantee that the bus the grantee is purchasing has been tested at the Center. Also, grantees about to purchase a bus use this report to assist them in making their purchasing decisions. PTI maintains a reference file for all the test reports which are made available to the public.

Respondents: Bus manufacturers.

Estimated Annual Burden on Respondents: 3½ hours for each of the 15 bus manufacturers.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 53 hours.

Frequency: Annual.

Issued: March 26, 2003.

Timothy B. Wolgast,

Acting Associate Administrator for Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-7659 Filed 3-28-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-57-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2001-8827; Notice 3]

Dan Hill and Associates, Inc.; Red River Manufacturing; Receipt of Application for Renewal of Temporary Exemptions From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 224

We are asking for comments on the application by Dan Hill and Associates, Inc. ("Dan Hill"), of Norman, Oklahoma, and by Red River Manufacturing ("Red River") of West Fargo, North Dakota, for a renewal of their temporary exemptions from Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 224, *Rear Impact Protection*. Dan Hill asserts that compliance would cause substantial economic hardship to manufacturers that have tried in good faith to comply with the standard. Red River argues that absent an exemption it would be otherwise unable to sell a vehicle whose overall level of safety or impact protection is at least equal to that of a nonexempted vehicle.

We are publishing this notice of receipt of the applications in accordance with our regulations on the subject. This action does not mean that we have made a judgment yet about the merits of the application.

Dan Hill and Red River have been the beneficiaries of temporary exemptions from Standard No. 224, and renewals of exemptions, from January 26, 1998, to April 1, 2003 (for **Federal Register** notices granting the petitions by Dan Hill, *see* 63 FR 3784 and 64 FR 49047; by Red River, *see* 63 FR 15909 and 64 FR 49049; for the most recent grant applicable to both petitioners, *see* 66 FR 20028). The information below is based on material from the petitioners' original and renewal applications of 1998, 1999, 2001, and their most recent applications.

Dan Hill and Red River filed their petitions at least 60 days before the expiration of their existing exemption. Thus, pursuant to 49 CFR 555.8(e), their current exemptions will not expire until we have made a decision on the current requests.

The Petitioners' Reasons Why They Continue To Need an Exemption

Dan Hill. Dan Hill manufactures and sells horizontal discharge semi-trailers (Models ST-1000, CB-4000, and CB-5000, collectively referred to as "Flow Boy") that are used in the road construction industry to deliver asphalt and other road building materials to the construction site. The Flow Boy is designed to connect with and latch onto