

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**[Disaster Declaration #12144 and #12145]****Virginia Disaster Number VA-00029****AGENCY:** U.S. Small Business Administration.**ACTION:** Amendment 1.

SUMMARY: This is an amendment of the Presidential declaration of a major disaster for Public Assistance Only for the Commonwealth of Virginia (FEMA-1905-DR), dated 04/27/2010.

Incident: Severe winter storms and snowstorms.

Incident Period: 02/05/2010 through 02/11/2010.

Effective Date: 07/09/2010.

Physical Loan Application Deadline Date: 06/28/2010.

Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date: 01/27/2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A. Escobar, Office of Disaster Assistance, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, SW., Suite 6050, Washington, DC 20416.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice of the President's major disaster declaration for Private Non-Profit organizations in the Commonwealth of Virginia, dated 04/27/2010, is hereby amended to include the following areas as adversely affected by the disaster.

Primary Counties: Page.

All other information in the original declaration remains unchanged.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers 59002 and 59008)

James E. Rivera,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010-17392 Filed 7-15-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

Partners SBIC II, L.P., and said license is hereby declared null and void as of June 10, 2010.

United States Small Business Administration.

Sean J. Greene,

AA, Investment.

[FR Doc. 2010-17318 Filed 7-15-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**Surrender of License of Small Business Investment Company**

Pursuant to the authority granted to the United States Small Business Administration under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, under section 309 of the Act and section 107.1900 of the Small Business Administration Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.1900) to function as a small business investment company under the Small business Investment Company License No. 01/71-0397 issued to Longworth Venture Partners II-A, L.P. and said license is hereby declared null and void. United States Small Business Administration.

Dated: July 2, 2010.

Sean J. Greene,

AA/Investment.

[FR Doc. 2010-17319 Filed 7-15-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**[File No. 500-1]**

In the Matter of E-Sync Networks, Inc. (n/k/a ESNI, Inc.), EchoCath, Inc., Edison Brothers Stores, Inc., Electronic Technology Group, Inc. (n/k/a SolutionNet International, Inc.), EMCEE Broadcast Products, Inc., ERD Waste Corp., Eurasia Gold Fields, Inc., European Micro Holdings, Inc., and Exotech, Inc.; Order of Suspension of Trading

July 14, 2010.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of E-Sync Networks, Inc. (n/k/a ESNI, Inc.) because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended December 31, 2001.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of EchoCath, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic

reports since the period ended May 31, 2001.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Edison Brothers Stores, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since October 31, 1998.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Electronic Technology Group, Inc. (n/k/a SolutionNet International, Inc.) because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended April 30, 1994.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of EMCEE Broadcast Products, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2002.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of ERD Waste Corp. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended June 30, 1997.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Eurasia Gold Fields, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2004.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of European Micro Holdings, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended June 30, 2007.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Exotech, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended March 31, 2002.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed companies.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of the above-listed companies is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EDT on July 14, 2010, through 11:59 p.m. EDT on July 27, 2010.

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**Surrender of License of Small Business Investment Company**

Pursuant to the authority granted to the United States Small Business Administration under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, under section 309 of the Act and Section 107.1900 of the Small Business Administration Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.1900) to function as a small business investment company under the Small Business Investment Company License No. 09/79-0415 issued to Bay

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-17495 Filed 7-14-10; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-64277; File Nos. SR-NYSE-2010-48 and SR-NYSEAMEX-2010-61]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC and NYSE Amex LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Technical Procedures With Respect to Comparison of Executed Transactions

July 9, 2010.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ² notice is hereby given that on June 17, 2010, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE”) and NYSE Amex LLC (“NYSE Amex”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule changes and on June 29, 2010, NYSE and NYSEAMEX amended the proposed rule changes as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by NYSE and NYSE Amex (collectively, “Exchanges”). The Exchanges filed the proposed rule changes pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act ³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder ⁴ so that the proposals were effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule changes from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organizations’ Statements of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Changes

The Exchanges will amend NYSE Rule 134 (Differences and Omissions-Cleared Transactions) and NYSE Amex Equities Rule 134 (NYSE Amex Equities. Differences and Omissions-Cleared Transactions) to provide for certain technical procedures with respect to comparison of executed transactions.

II. Self-Regulatory Organizations’ Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Changes

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchanges included statements

concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchanges have prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organizations’ Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Background

The Exchanges operate the On-Line Comparison System (“OCS”) to assist in trade settlement. OCS conducts comparison processing, which includes matching initial trade submissions, correction processing, omnibus processing, and questioned trade (“QT”) resolution for trades that take place on the Exchanges. The OCS system is used by the Exchanges’ members in their roles as clearing firms, brokers, and Designated Market Making Units (“DMM Units”) for trade executions. OCS is linked internally to NYSE’s trading systems and externally to the National Securities Clearing Corporation. ⁵

To facilitate the comparison process, the Exchanges utilize omnibus account designations to record trade data. ⁶ Using omnibus account designations allows for universal contras for one trade side, thereby reducing the number of different data elements that have to be independently recorded into a broker’s hand-held device or written on a Floor report for a trade.

In May 2009, each of the Exchanges amended its Rule 134 to enable them to assign on the second business day after the trade date (“T+2”) any open balance in any of the omnibus accounts they use to compare trades to either a DMM Unit or to the member organization that had been identified as the clearing firm for one side of an unresolved trade. ⁷ Specifically, each of the Exchanges added new subsection (e)(iii) to its Rule 134 to enable the Exchanges to assign a Floor broker’s clearing firm or DMM Unit at the close of business on T+2 as the contra side to an imbalance in any omnibus account that is used by OCS.

⁵ National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) is a clearing agency registered with the Commission under Section 17A of the Act. NSCC provides centralized clearance and settlement services for equity security trades in the U.S.

⁶ An “omnibus account” is an account in which the transactions of multiple individual participants are combined.

⁷ Securities Exchange Release Act No. 59997 (May 28, 2009), 74 FR 28086 (June 12, 2009).

2. Proposed Amendment of NYSE Rule 134 and NYSE Amex Rule 134

Each of the Exchanges will now amend its Rule 134(e)(iii). Rule 134(e)(iii) will now specify that DMM units are assigned as the contra party to any unresolved omnibus account imbalances remaining in OCS. New subsection (iv) to Rule 134(e) will provide that a Floor broker’s clearing firm will be assigned as the contra party to any uncomparated e-Quote transactions remaining in OCS. Each of the Exchanges will also add to both subsections that the contra party shall be assigned pursuant to the processes set forth in subsection (e)(i) and (e)(ii) of their Rule 134 but no earlier than 7 p.m.

By creating a new subsection (iv) pertaining only to Floor brokers’ clearing firms and removing all such references to Floor brokers’ clearing firms from subsection (e)(iii), the Exchanges are making clear that the DMM unit is assigned as the contra party to an omnibus account imbalance and that clearing firms are the assigned contra party to an uncomparated trade. Specifically, a Floor broker’s clearing firm is assigned as the default contra side in a trade resulting from an execution involving e-Quotes (*i.e.*, trades involving Floor broker agency interest files). ⁸ The DMM Unit is assigned in instances where there is an open imbalance in an omnibus account whether the DMM was involved in the transaction or not.

For new subsection 134(e)(iv), the Exchanges will shorten the time frame for assignment of uncomparated e-Quote transactions from T+2 to the first business day after the trade date (“T+1”). The Exchanges believe that the shortened time frame for resolving uncomparated e-Quote transactions will provide Floor brokers and clearing firms with more information and certainty on settlement date, which is the third business day after trade date (T+3). In particular, the Exchanges believe that the changes for resolving uncomparated e-Quote transactions are necessary for situations where there are system outages. Under normal trading conditions, the number of e-Quote QTs that remain unresolved by the end of T+1 is relatively low. In the event of a Broker System outage, however, the number of e-Quote QTs could increase dramatically. Therefore, to mitigate risk and exposure to the Floor broker community and to facilitate comparison and settlement, the Exchanges believe that reducing the time period from close

⁸ NYSE Rule 70(a)(i).

¹ 15 U.S.C.78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).