APPENDIX—Continued [Petitions instituted on 04/15/2002]

TA-W	Subject Firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of petition	Product(s)
41,333	BOC Edwards (Comp)	Philadelphia, PA	03/08/2002	Vacuum Pumps.
	Metaldyne Corp. (Comp)	Troy, OH	04/01/2002	Metal Products.
	Northern Indiana Public (USWA).	Merrillville, IN	01/29/2002	Imported Steel.
41,336	C and W Fabricators, Inc. (Wrks).	Gardner, MA	04/04/2002	Intake Systems.
41,337	Quantum Corp. (Comp)	Colorado Springs, CO	03/20/2002	Desktops, Hard Drives, NAS Appliances etc
41,338	Eagle Electric—Cooper (Wrks).	L. Island City, NY	03/20/2002	Wired Devices.
41,339	Johnson Garment Corp (Comp).	Marshfield, WI	03/28/2002	Men's Insulated Outerwear.
41,340	Westwood Lighting (Comp)	El Paso, TX	04/02/2002	Lamps—Distribution—Warehouse.
41,341	Clarinda Co (The) (Wrks)	Atlantic, IA	03/26/2002	Textbook Compositor.
41,342	American Furniture Co (Comp).	Chilhowie, VA	03/20/2002	Furniture for Lodging Industries.
41,343	Camfil Farr (Comp)	Jonesboro, AR	03/28/2002	Air Filteration Systems.
41,344	Vision Ease Lens, (Comp)	Azusa, CA	03/22/2002	Polycarbonate Opthaimic Lenses.
	Fuchs Systems, Inc. (Comp)	Salisbury, NC	03/19/2002	Electric Arc Furnaces.
41,346		Camp Hill, PA	03/18/2001	Software Design.
41,347	Imation Color Technologie (Wrks).	Oakdale, MN	03/18/2002	Color Technologies.

[FR Doc. 02–14551 Filed 6–10–02; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,692]

Vartec CRM, Incorporated, Waco, TX; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of March 14, 2002, the petitioner, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on February 19, 2002 and published in the **Federal Register** on February 28, 2002 (67 FR 9324).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous:
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition, filed on behalf of workers at Vartec CRM, Incorporated, Waco, Texas engaged in providing customer relations management was denied because the workers did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(3) of the Act.

The petitioner alleges that the subject plant workers manufactured a product and refers to the product as information.

The activities of the customer relations management workers of providing information does not qualify as an article of production, but is considered a service function.

The subject workers do not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(3) of the Act.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of May, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–14595 Filed 6–10–02; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,405 and TA-W-40,405A]

Xerox Corporation (SOHO) Small Office/Home Office Division Canandaigua, NY and Farmington, NY; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application April 8, 2002, the Union of Needletrades, Industrial & Textile Employees, Local 2541 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on March 8, 2002, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2002 (67 FR 15226).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous:
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.