### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

## **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

### **Environment**

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.lC, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, and Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. A new temporary § 165.T09–995 is added to read as follows:

# § 165.T09–995 Safety Zone: Algoma Harbor, Algoma, Wisconsin.

- (a) Location. The safety zone will encompass all waters bounded by the arc of a circle with a 560-foot radius with its center in approximate position 44° 36.22′ N, 087° 25.55′ W, located off the southernmost part of the Algoma breakwall (NAD 83).
- (b) Effective times and dates. From 8:30 p.m. until 9:30 p.m. on September 29, 2001.
- (c) *Regulations*. (1) The general regulations contained in 33 CFR 165.23 apply.
- (2) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Milwaukee or the designated on scene patrol personnel. Coast Guard patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant or petty officers of the U.S. Coast Guard. Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel via siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator shall proceed as directed.
- (3) This safety zone should not adversely affect shipping. However, commercial vessels may request permission from the Captain of the Port Milwaukee to enter or transit the safety zone. Approval will be made on a caseby-case basis. Requests must be in advance and approved by the Captain of the Port Milwaukee before transits will be authorized. The Captain of the Port Milwaukee may be contacted via U.S. Coast Guard Group Milwaukee on Channel 16, VHF–FM.

Dated: August 22, 2001.

### M. R. DeVries,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. [FR Doc. 01–22082 Filed 8–31–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[TX-28-1-7537, FRL-7049-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Withdrawal of Direct Final Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Withdrawal of direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Due to an adverse comment, EPA is withdrawing the direct final rule to approve the Vehicle Miles Traveled Offset State Implementation Plan for the Houston/Galveston Ozone Nonattainment area. In the direct final rule published on July 10, 2001 (66 FR 35903), we stated that if we received adverse comment by August 9, 2001, the rule would be withdrawn and not take effect. EPA subsequently received an adverse comment. EPA will address the comment received in a subsequent final action based upon the proposed action also published on July 10, 2001 (66 FR 35920). EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

**DATES:** The direct final rule published July 10, 2001, at 66 FR 35903 is withdrawn as of September 4, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Deese, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. Phone (214) 665–7253

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by Reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: August 24, 2001.

#### Gregg A. Cooke,

Regional Administrator, Region 6.

Accordingly, the amendment to the table in § 52.2270(e) which added the entry for Vehicle Miles Traveled Offset Plan for the Houston/Galveston Ozone nonattainment area is withdrawn as of September 4, 2001.

[FR Doc. 01–22133 Filed 8–31–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50-P