

whether there are any significant issues that should be analyzed in depth.

c. Identify and eliminate from detailed study those issues that are peripheral or that are not significant or which have been covered by prior environmental review.

d. Identify any environmental assessments and other EISs that are being or will be prepared that are related to, but are not part of the scope of the addendum to the GEIS being considered.

e. Identify other environmental review and consultation requirements related to the proposed action.

f. Indicate the relationship between the timing of the preparation of the environmental analyses and the Commission's tentative planning and decision-making schedule.

g. Identify any cooperating agencies and, as appropriate, allocate assignments for preparation and schedules for completing the addendum to the GEIS to the NRC and any cooperating agencies.

h. Describe how the addendum to the GEIS will be prepared including any contractor assistance to be used.

The NRC invites the following entities to participate in the scoping process:

a. Any Federal agency that has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved, or that is authorized to develop and enforce relevant environmental standards.

b. Any affected State and local government agencies, including those authorized to develop and enforce relevant environmental standards.

c. Any affected Indian tribe.

d. Any person who requests or has requested an opportunity to participate in the scoping process.

The scoping process for an EIS may include a public scoping meeting to help identify significant issues related to a proposed activity and to determine the scope of issues to be addressed in an EIS. The NRC conducted four public meetings on the GEIS in July 2003. These meetings were transcribed; the transcripts are available for public inspection at the NRC PDR or from the Publicly Available Records component of NRC's ADAMS. ADAMS is accessible at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>, which provides access through the NRC's Electronic Reading Room link. Written comments already received by the Commission are available electronically and accessible through the NRC's Electronic Reading Room link in ADAMS. As described above, persons who do not have access to ADAMS, or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in

ADAMS, should contact the NRC's PDR Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to PDR@NRC.GOV.

Members of the public may send any additional written comments on the environmental scope of the GEIS Update Project to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mailstop T-6D59, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Comments may also be delivered to Room T-6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. during Federal workdays. To be considered in the scoping process, written comments should be postmarked by December 30, 2005. Additional electronic comments may be sent by e-mail to the NRC at LRGEISUpdate@nrc.gov. Electronic submissions should be sent no later than December 30, 2005, to be considered timely in the scoping process. Comments will be available electronically and accessible through the NRC's Electronic Reading Room link at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>.

At the conclusion of the scoping process, the NRC will prepare a summary of the determinations and conclusions reached, including the significant issues identified, and will send a copy of the summary to participants in the scoping process. The summary also will be available for inspection at the NRC PDR or through the Electronic Reading Room link. If necessary, the staff will then prepare and issue for comment the draft addendum to the GEIS, which will be the subject of a separate **Federal Register** notice, to report the results of the NRC's review. At this time, the NRC plans to conduct separate public meetings, at similar locations as the public scoping meetings, on the draft addendum to the GEIS. Copies of the draft addendum to the GEIS will be available for public inspection at the above-mentioned address, and one copy per request will be provided free of charge. After receipt and consideration of the comments on the draft, the NRC will prepare a final addendum to the GEIS, which will also be available for public inspection. Should the review indicate that one or more environmental issues enumerated in Appendix B to Subpart A of Part 51, "Environmental Effects of Renewing the Operating License of a Nuclear Power Plant," requires change, then the proposed and

final rule amendments will accompany the draft and final addendum to the GEIS.

For Further Information Contact: Mr. Barry Zalzman, License Renewal and Environmental Impacts Program, Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Mr. Zalzman may be contacted by telephone at 1-800-368-5642, extension 2419, or by e-mail at LRGEISUpdate@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of September, 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jacob Zimmerman,

Acting Program Director, License Renewal and Environmental Impacts Program, Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E5-5356 Filed 9-30-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

PACIFIC NORTHWEST ELECTRIC POWER AND CONSERVATION PLANNING COUNCIL

Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program Subbasin Plan Amendments

AGENCY: Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Planning Council (Northwest Power and Conservation Council; Council).

ACTION: Notice of final adoption of the subbasin plan amendments to the Council's Columbia River Basin and Wildlife Program (Fish and Wildlife Program).

SUMMARY: The Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act of 1980 (U.S.C. 839 *et seq.*) (the Power Act) requires the Northwest Power and Conservation Council to adopt and periodically review and amend the Fish and Wildlife Program. The program must be designed to protect, mitigate and enhance the fish and wildlife affected by the development and operation of the hydroelectric facilities of the Columbia River and its tributaries, while also assuring the region of an adequate, efficient, economical and reliable power supply.

On August 12, 2002, pursuant to Section 4(h) of the Power Act, the Council requested in writing that state and federal fish and wildlife agencies, Indian tribes and others submit recommendations for amendments to the Fish and Wildlife Program. The Council requested recommendations for objectives and measures for the program at the subbasin level, to be submitted in

the form of a subbasin plan for each subbasin or as possible elements for a subbasin plan.

On May 28, 2004, the Council received proposed subbasin plans for 59 subbasins of the Columbia River, formally recommended for amendment into the Council's Fish and Wildlife Program. The Council then engaged in the lengthy public review process required by the Power Act for recommended program amendments, including notice and public review and comment on the recommendations and notice, comment and public hearings in all four states of the Columbia basin on proposed draft amendments based on the recommendations.

Following the required public review, the Council formally adopted as amendments into the Fish and Wildlife Program subbasin plans for 57 Columbia basin subbasins, based on the recommendations submitted. The Council made its decisions to adopt the subbasin plan amendments at its public meetings in December 2004, February 2005, May 2005 and June 2005. At its September 2005 public meeting, the Council concluded its subbasin plan program amendment process by adopting, as part of its program, a document describing the subbasin plan amendment process, making written findings explaining the Council's disposition of the amendment recommendations, and explaining how the Council responded to the public comment on the recommendations and draft program amendments. This document also serves as a Statement of Basis and Purpose for the Council's decision.

The subbasin plans amended into the program and the program document with the findings on recommendations and responses to comments may be found on the Council's Web site, at <http://www.nwcouncil.org/fw/subbasinplanning/Default.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you would like a copy of the subbasin plan program amendments, please go to the Council's Web site at the address above. The subbasin plan program will also soon be available on a compact disc or in printed form. For more information, please contact the Council's central office for assistance. The Council's address is 851 SW. Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100, Portland, Oregon 97204. The Council's telephone numbers are 503-222-5161 and 800-452-5161, and the FAX number is 503-

820-2370. The Council's Web site is <http://www.nwcouncil.org>.

Stephen L. Crow,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 05-19760 Filed 9-30-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-AJ-M

PEACE CORPS

Privacy Act of 1974; Systems of Records

AGENCY: Peace Corps

ACTION: Correction—Notice of Modification to Existing System of Records.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974, the Peace Corps is issuing public notice that one of its systems of records is being re-numbered.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marianne Manheim, (202) 692-1186.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Peace Corps published a notice of its proposal to create a new system of records, PC-26 Antimalarial Tolerance Survey.

Federal Register: July 16, 2004 (Volume 69, Number 136, pages 42784-42785), as corrected at **Federal Register:** August 3, 2004 (Volume 69, Number 148, page 46629). This system is being renumbered as PC-27 Antimalarial Tolerance Survey. No other modifications to the system of records are being made.

This modification is effective on the date of publication.

Dated: September 23, 2005.

Gilbert Smith,

Associate Director for Management.

[FR Doc. 05-19772 Filed 9-30-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6015-01-M

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2004-3; Order No. 1444]

Petition To Reopen Record

AGENCY: Postal Rate Commission.

ACTION: Notice and order.

SUMMARY: This document provides the public with notice that a petition seeking to reopen the record in the Commission's pending reconsideration of the Bank One negotiated service agreement has been filed. It notes that the petition, if granted, could expand the scope of reconsideration. It also notes that a companion notice of inquiry has been issued and identifies several new or revised comment deadlines.

DATES: 1. October 14, 2005: Deadline for filing comments to Notice of Inquiry No. 1.

2. October 24, 2005: Deadline for reply comments to Notice of Inquiry No. 1.

3. October 31, 2005: Revised deadline for participants to reply to Petition of J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. to Reopen Record (previously September 29, 2005).

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, general counsel, at 202-789-6818.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Procedural History

69 FR 39520 (June 30, 2004).
69 FR 41311 (July 8, 2004).
70 FR 13551 (March 21, 2005).

II. Background

On September 14, 2005, J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. (Chase) filed a petition to reopen the record in this docket so that it can provide supplemental information for the Commission's reconsideration of the Bank One Opinion and Recommended Decision Approving Negotiated Service Agreement issued December 17, 2004.¹ Chase argues that it has been denied due process, and unless it has a timely opportunity to supplement the record, a profound injustice will result.

If the Commission grants the Chase Petition, issues potentially may be considered related to negotiated service agreements based solely on pure volume-based discounts. These novel issues may not have been fully considered or litigated under the original Bank One Request.² The Bank One Request was filed as a request to consider a negotiated service agreement functionally equivalent to the Capital One negotiated service agreement based on a declining block rate volume discount element and an address correction cost savings element.

On September 27, 2005, the Commission issued Notice of Inquiry No. 1 Regarding Status of Settlement Agreement (NOI) seeking comments as to the status of the settlement agreement signed by a majority of the participants in the Bank One case, and seeking further background information

¹ Petition of J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. to Reopen Record, September 14, 2005 (Chase Petition). Bank One Corporation, the original party in this case, merged with J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. on July 1, 2004. The merged entity now refers to itself as J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.

² Request of the United States Postal Service for a Recommended Decision on Classifications, Rates and Fees to Implement Functionally Equivalent Negotiated Service Agreement with Bank One Corporation, June 21, 2004 (Bank One Request).