Issues To Be Addressed

Based on the cited considerations, the Departments are seeking public comment on the following questions:

1. Should a review process be adopted and, if so, what kind of process should be established?

2. If so, how could such a process be integrated into the Commission's current licensing procedures in a timely and efficient manner? To meet the constraints of timeliness and resource limitations, are changes needed in the timing or implementation of various steps in the agencies'—including the Commission's—existing regulations or procedures? If not, then when should the review process take place?

3. If, under any review process mechanism, it were not possible to avoid delaying the overall licensing process, would it still be worth establishing such a process?

4. Should the review process for Section 4(e) and Section 18 be the same?

5. Who should be allowed to initiate and/or participate in the review process? Should it be limited to the license applicant? Should it be limited to formal parties (*i.e.*, intervenors) to the Commission's licensing process (note that, depending upon when the review process takes place, there may not yet be intervenors before the Commission)? Should the opportunity be available to anyone with an interest in the project?

6. Should the new process be available for prescriptions and conditions agreed upon pursuant to the Commission's streamlined alternative licensing procedure—a process that already provides considerable opportunity for communication and negotiation among the Departments and other interested parties?

Alex Matthiessen,
Special Assistant to the Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of the Interior.

Andrew Rosenberg,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce.
[FR Doc. 00–13265 Filed 5–25–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–10–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Title and OMB Number: Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Appendix I, DoD Pilot Mentor Protege Program Improvements; OMB Number 0704–0412.

Type of Request: Extension.

Number of Respondents: 145.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 145.

Average Burden per Response: 3 hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 435 (145 reporting hours and 290 recordkeeping hours).

Needs and Uses: The new information collection required by Appendix I, Policy and Procedures for the DoD Pilot Mentor-Protege Program, is required by section 811 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65). DoD will use the information to assess whether the purposes of the Pilot Mentor-Protege Program have been attained and to prepare the reports to Congress required by section 811 of Public Law 106–65. DFARS Appendix I requires a protege firm to report on its progress under a mentor-protege agreement by concurring with or rebutting its mentor firm's year-end report. The protege firm also must provide data on its employment, revenues, and participation in Dod contracts. The report is required annually during the protege firm's program participation term and for two fiscal years after the expiration of the program participation term.

Affected Public: Business or Other For-Profit.

Frequency: Annually.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Lewis W. Oleinick.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Oleinick at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD (Acquisition), Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302.

Dated: May 22, 2000.

Patricia L. Toppings,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense,
[FR Doc. 00–13286 Filed 5–25–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–10–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Title, Form Number, and OMB Number: Pentagon Reservation Parking Permit Application; DD Form 1199; OMB Number 0704–0395.

Type of Request: Extension.

Number of Respondents: 10,000.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 10,000.

Average Burden per Response: 5 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 833.

Needs and Uses: The information collection requirement is necessary for the administration and management of the Pentagon's parking control program, which is designed to meet the government mandated car pool program. Respondents are Department of Defense and non-DoD personnel who utilize designated parking areas on the Pentagon Reservation. The Pentagon Reservation Parking Permit Application, DD Form 1199, is a machine read form that includes information, such as name, rank or grade, Social Security Number (SSN), and vehicle license plate number, required for the issuance and control of the parking permit. The DD Form 1199 is scanned into a computerized database designed for the administration of the Pentagon's parking control program. Each member of a Pentagon Reservation authorized car pool or individual parking permit holder is required to complete and submit the DD Form 1199 upon initial application and annually thereafter.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Frequency: On Occasion; Annually.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Edward C. Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to