

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to: Christopher J. Reich, Senior Advisor, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1800 M St. NW., 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20036. Mr. Reich can be reached by Telephone: 202-653-4685, Fax: 202-653-4608, or by email at [creich@imls.gov](mailto:creich@imls.gov), or by teletype (TTY/TDD) at 202-653-4614.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

The Institute of Museum and Library Services is the primary source of federal support for the Nation's 123,000 libraries and 35,000 museums. The Institute's mission is to inspire libraries and museums to advance innovation, learning, and civic engagement. The Institute works at the national level and in coordination with state and local organizations to sustain heritage, culture, and knowledge; enhance learning and innovation; and support professional development. IMLS is responsible for identifying national needs for and trends in museum, library, and information services; measuring and reporting on the impact and effectiveness of museum, library and information services throughout the United States, including programs conducted with funds made available by IMLS; identifying, and disseminating information on, the best practices of such programs; and developing plans to improve museum, library, and information services of the United States and strengthen national, State, local, regional, and international communications and cooperative networks (20 U.S.C. 72, 20 U.S.C. 9108).

**II. Current Actions**

The purpose of this survey is to gauge the effect of the Museum Assessment

Program (MAP) on participating museums and the museum field at large. The survey will be used to measure the degree to which the program is meeting the needs and building the institutional capacity of individual museums, and its overall impact on the museum field nationwide. Methods will include web surveys, telephone interviews, and focus group meetings.

The web survey will consist of approximately 40 questions that will examine the participating museums' experience with the MAP program and the subsequent changes in its operations that can be attributed to the program, as well as basic institutional profile information. The web survey will require an average of 60 minutes to complete. The telephone interview guide will be organized into approximately four sections (*e.g.* institutional changes resulting from MAP participation; funding; professionalization; and future expectations) and is projected to average 30 minutes to complete. Focus groups will be organized to generate shared experiences and discussion relating to overall impact on the museum field at large. No more than six focus groups will be organized, each involving 10–12 persons for a period of approximately one hour.

*Agency:* Institute of Museum and Library Services.

*Title:* Museum Assessment Program Evaluation.

*OMB Number:* To Be Determined.

*Frequency:* Anticipated for Every Five Years.

*Affected Public:* The target population is museums that have participated in the Museum Assessment Program during the past five years, all of which are located in the United States.

*Number of Respondents:* 132.

*Estimated Average Burden per Response:* The burden per respondent is estimated to be an average of one hour for the web survey, 30 minutes for the telephone interview and one hour for the focus groups.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden:* 132 hours.

*Total Annualized capital/startup costs:* n/a.

*Total Annual costs:* To be determined.

*Public Comments Invited:* Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Christopher J. Reich, Senior Advisor, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1800 M St. NW., 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20036. Mr. Reich can

be reached by Telephone: 202-653-4685, Fax: 202-653-4608, or by email at [creich@imls.gov](mailto:creich@imls.gov), or by teletype (TTY/TDD) at 202-653-4614. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Dated: July 6, 2015.

**Kim Miller,**

*Management Analyst.*

[FR Doc. 2015-16845 Filed 7-9-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7036-01-P**

**PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION**

**Proposed Submission of Information Collection for OMB Review; Comment Request; Qualified Domestic Relations Orders Submitted to PBGC**

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to request extension of OMB approval.

**SUMMARY:** The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC) intends to request that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) extend its approval, under the Paperwork Reduction Act, of the information collection related to PBGC's booklet, Qualified Domestic Relations Orders & PBGC. The booklet provides guidance on how to submit a qualified domestic relations order to PBGC. This notice informs the public of PBGC's intent and solicits public comment on the collection of information.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted by September 8, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the Web site instructions for submitting comments.

- *Email:* [paperwork.comments@pbgc.gov](mailto:paperwork.comments@pbgc.gov).

- *Fax:* 202-326-4224.

- *Mail or Hand Delivery:* Office of General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026.

PBGC will make all comments available on its Web site at [www.pbgc.gov](http://www.pbgc.gov).

Copies of the collection of information may be obtained without charge by writing to the Disclosure Division of the Office of the General Counsel of PBGC at the above address or by visiting that office or calling 202-326-4040 during normal business hours. (TTY and TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1-800-

877-8339 and ask to be connected to 202-326-4040.) The regulations relating to this collection of information are available on PBGC's Web site at [www.pbgc.gov](http://www.pbgc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jo Amato Burns, Attorney, or Catherine B. Klion, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026, 202-326-4223. (For TTY and TDD, call 800-877-8339 and ask to be connected to 202-326-4223.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A defined benefit pension plan that does not have enough money to pay benefits may be terminated if the employer responsible for the plan faces severe financial difficulty, such as bankruptcy, and is unable to maintain the plan. In such an event, PBGC becomes trustee of the plan and pays benefits, subject to legal limits, to plan participants and beneficiaries.

The benefits of a pension plan participant generally may not be assigned or alienated. Title I of ERISA provides an exception for domestic relations orders that relate to child support, alimony payments, or marital property rights of an alternate payee (a spouse, former spouse, child, or other dependent of a plan participant). The exception applies only if the domestic relations order meets specific legal requirements that make it a qualified domestic relations order (QDRO).

When PBGC is trustee of a plan, it reviews submitted domestic relations orders to determine whether the order is qualified before paying benefits to an alternate payee. The requirements for submitting a domestic relations order and the contents of such orders are established by statute. The models and the guidance provided by PBGC assist parties by making it easier for them to comply with ERISA's QDRO requirements in plans trustee by PBGC; they do not create any additional requirements and result in a reduction of the statutory burden.

OMB has approved the collection of information in PBGC's booklet, *Qualified Domestic Relations Orders & PBGC* under control number 1212-0054 through October 31, 2015. PBGC intends to request that OMB extend approval of the collection of information for three years. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

PBGC is not proposing any substantive changes to the booklet.

PBGC estimates that over the next three years it will receive approximately

1,200 domestic relations orders each year from prospective alternate payees and participants. PBGC further estimates that the total average annual burden of this collection of information will be approximately 2,100 hours and \$350,000.

PBGC is soliciting public comments to—

- Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collections of information, including the validity of the methodologies and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 6th day of July 2015.

**Judith Starr,**

*General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 2015-16929 Filed 7-9-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7709-02-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

**[Docket Nos. MC2015-62 and CP2015-93; Order No. 2563]**

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning the addition of Priority Mail Contract 129 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* July 13, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Commission Action
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

#### I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 129 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

#### II. Notice of Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2015-62 and CP2015-93 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 129 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than July 13, 2015. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Cassie D'Souza to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

#### III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2015-62 and CP2015-93 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Cassie D'Souza is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 129 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, July 2, 2015 (Request).