protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–29199 Filed 11–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2000-010]

New York Power Authority; Notice of Meeting to Discuss Preliminary Terms and Conditions for Relicensing of the St. Lawrence-FDR Power Project

NOVEMBER 8, 2000. The establishment of the Cooperative Consultation Process (CCP) Team and the Scoping Process for relicensing of the St. Lawrence-FDR Power Project was identified in the Notice of Memorandum of Understanding, Formation of Cooperative Consultation Process Team, and Initiation of Scoping Process Associated With Relicensing the St. Lawrence-FDR Power Project issued May 2, 1996, and found in the Federal Register dated May 8, 1996, Volume 61, No. 90, on page 20813.

The CCP Team will meet on November 21, 2000, to discuss the preliminary terms and conditions filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) per the Notice issued on August 11, 2000, and the Notice extending the comment period to September 29, 2000. The meeting will be conducted at the New York Power Authority's (NYPA) Robert Moses Powerhouse, at 10:00 a.m., located in Massena, New York.

If you would like more information about the CCP Team and the relicensing process, please contact any one of the following individuals:

Mr. Thomas R. Tatham, New York
Power Authority, (212) 468–6747,
(212) 468–6141 (fax), e-mail:
Tatham.T@NYPA.Gov
Mr. Bill Little, Esq., New York State
Dept. of Environmental Conservation,

(518) 457–0986, (518) 457–3978 (fax), e-

mail:WGLittle@GW.DEC.State.NY.US
Dr. Jennifer Hill, Federal Energy
Regulatory Commission, (202) 219–
2797, (202) 219–2152 (fax), email:Jennifer.Hill@FERC.FED.US

Further information about NYPA and the St. Lawrence-FDR Power Project can be obtained through the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–29204 Filed 11–14–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-22-000; CP01-23-000; CP01-24-000; and CP01-25-000]

North Baja Pipeline LLC; Notice of Applications

November 8, 2000.

Take notice that on November 1, 2000, North Baja Pipeline LLC ("NBP"), 1400 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 900, Portland, Oregon 97201, filed applications in Docket Nos. CP01-22-000 and CP01–23–000 pursuant to Sections 7(c) and 3 of the Natural Gas Act, respectively. In these applications, NBP seeks a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct, install, own, operate and maintain a new interstate natural gas pipeline and ancillary facilities as well as authorization and a Presidential Permit to construct, operate and maintain pipeline facilities at the international border. Further, NBP seeks in Docket No. CP01-24-000 a blanket certificate pursuant to Subpart G of Part 284 of the Commission's regulations to provide open-access transportation of natural gas for others. Moreover, in Docket No. CP01-25-000, NBP requests a blanket certificate pursuant to Subpart F of Part 157 of the Commission's regulations to perform certain routine activities and operations. NBP also seeks approval of its initial rates and pro forma tariff provisions included in Docket No. CP01-22-000, et al. The applications may be viewed on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

NBP submits that it is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Portland, Oregon. NBP further states that it is owned by PG&E Gas Transmission Holdings Corporation.

NBP states that within three days of issuance of this notice, it will provide notice to directly affected and adjacent landowners notifying them that NBP's request to construct facilities has been filed. NBP states that this notification will include the information required by the Commission regulations.

NBP proposes to construct and operate a pipeline system, which would carry 500,000 Mcf per day of natural gas from an interconnection point with El Paso Natural Gas Company ("El Paso") near Ehrenberg, Arizona. At this proposed interconnect, NBP proposes to construct the Ehrenberg Compressor Station that would consist of three 6,270 horsepower (hp), gas fired centrifugal compressor units (with one additional 6,270 hp spare unit). The proposed system would extend approximately 79.8 miles through southeast California, to a point on the International border between Yuma, Arizona and Mexicali, North Baja California, Mexico. NBP's mainline facilities would consist of approximately 11.5 miles of 36-inch from the Ehrenberg Compressor Station and 68.3 miles of 30-inch pipe to the international border. At the international border, NBP indicates that it would interconnect with a similarly sized pipeline, Gasoducto Bajanorte, to be constructed by Sempra Energy Mexico ("Sempra"). Gasoducto Bajanorte would then transport gas west through Mexicali and on to Tijuana, Mexico, where it would interconnect with an existing pipeline, Transportadora de Gas Natural de Baja California ("TGN"). It is stated that TGN runs from Rosarito, Mexico, to an interconnection with the facilities of San Diego Gas and Electric Company at the San Diego/Tijuana border.

NBP asserts that its filings meet the requirements of the Commission's regulations regarding certificate applications and the standards set forth in the Commission's Statement of Policy issued in Docket No. PL 99–3–000. Specifically, NBP states that, as a new pipeline, its project passes the Commission's "no subsidies" test, and further, its project was developed to eliminate or minimize impacts on the potentially affected interests of existing customers, captive shippers of existing pipelines, and landowners and the environment.

NBP states that it held an open season in which it made capacity on its system

¹ NBP submitted Docket Nos. CP01–22–000, CP01–24–000, and CP01–25–000 (Docket No. CP01– 22–000, et al.) as one filing and separately filed its request for a Presidential Permit in Docket No. CP01–23–000.