COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Maryland Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a conference call of the Maryland Advisory Committee will convene at 1 p.m. and adjourn at 3:30 p.m. on Tuesday, July 29, 2003. The purpose of the conference call is to plan current SAC projects, including release of a report entitled, City Services and the Justice System: Do Korean American Storeowners in Baltimore, Maryland Get Equal Treatment? a civil rights forum in Western Maryland, and input regarding meaningful and measurable SAC activity.

This conference call is available to the public through the following call-in number: 1-888-869-0374, access code number: 17878777, contact name is Edward Darden. Any interested member of the public may call this number and listen to the meeting. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls not initiated using the supplied call-in number or over wireless lines and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls using the call-in number over land-line connections. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-977-8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and contact

To ensure that the Commission secures an appropriate number of lines for the public, persons are asked to register by contacting Edward Darden of the Eastern Regional Office, (202) 376–7533, TDD (202) 376–8116, by 1 p.m. on Monday, July 28, 2003.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, July 1, 2003. **Ivy L. Davis**,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 03–17994 Filed 7–15–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-863]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Final Results of New Shipper Review: Honey from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit of the final results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China until no later than October 31, 2003. The period of review is December 1, 2001, through May 31, 2002. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 16, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Angelica Mendoza at (202) 482–3019 or Donna Kinsella at (202) 482–0194; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act requires the Department to issue the final results of a new shipper review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department determines the issues are extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the final results to up to 150 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued.

Background

On June 25, 2002, the Department received a timely request from Wuhan Bee Healthy Co., Ltd. (Wuhan), in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and section 351.214(c) of the Department's regulations, for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China (PRC), which has a December anniversary date, and a June semiannual anniversary date. On August 6, 2002, the Department initiated this new shipper review

covering the period December 1, 2001, through May 31, 2002. See Honey From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Reviews (67 FR 50862). On January 30, 2003, the Department fully extended the preliminary results of this review by 120 days until May 27, 2003. See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review (68 FR 4761). On May 27, 2003, the Department issued its preliminary results of this review. See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Honey from the People's Republic of China, 68 FR 33099 (June 3, 2003). In the preliminary results of this review, we indicated that we had received, but due to time constraints not vet analyzed, additional information from both petitioners and Wuhan.1 We also indicated in the preliminary results of this review that we intended to carefully analyze all issues pertaining to the bona fides of Wuhan's U.S. sale of honey, and the proper Indian surrogate to value the raw honey input, for the final results of this review.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the final results of a new shipper review by 60 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. The Department has determined that this case is extraordinarily complicated because of the issues pertaining to the bona fides of Wuhan's U.S. sale and the proper Indian surrogate to value the raw honey input that must be addressed in the final results. Accordingly, the final results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 90 days. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and section 351.214(i)(2) of the regulations, the Department is fully extending the time limit for the completion of final results by an additional 60 days. The final results will now be due no later than October 31, 2003.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

¹The American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association are petitioners in this proceeding.

Dated: July 9, 2003.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 03–18014 Filed 7–15–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-886, A-557-813, A-549-821]

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from The People's Republic of China, Malaysia, and Thailand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 16, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred W. Aziz, Thomas Schauer, or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4023, (202) 482–0410 or (202) 482–4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petition

On June 20, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") received a petition on imports of polyethylene retail carrier bags ("PRCBs") from The People's Republic of China ("the PRC"), Malaysia, and Thailand, filed in proper form by PCL Packaging, Inc., Sonoco Products Company, Superbag Corp., Vanguard Plastics, Inc., and Inteplast Group, Ltd. (referred to hereafter as " petitioners"). On June 25, 2003, the Department requested additional information and clarification of certain areas of the petition. The petitioners filed supplements to the petition on June 30, 2003 and July 8, 2003.

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the petitioners allege that imports of PRCBs from the PRC, Malaysia, and Thailand are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act and that such imports are materially injuring and threaten to injure an industry in the United States.

The Department finds that the petitioners filed this petition on behalf of the domestic industry because they are interested parties as defined in section 771(9)(c) of the Act. Furthermore, with respect to the antidumping duty investigations the

petitioners are requesting the Department to initiate, they have demonstrated sufficient industry support (see "Determination of Industry Support for the Petition" below).

Scope of Investigation

The merchandise subject to this investigation is polyethylene retail carrier bags, which also may be referred to as t-shirt sacks, merchandise bags, grocery bags, or checkout bags. The subject merchandise is defined as nonsealable sacks and bags with handles (including drawstrings), without zippers or integral extruded closures, with or without gussets, with or without printing, of polyethylene film having a thickness no greater than .035 inch (0.889 mm) and no less than .00035 inch (0.00889 mm), and with no length or width shorter than 6 inches (15.24 cm) or longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm). The depth of the bag may be shorter than 6 inches but not longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm). Polyethylene retail carrier bags are typically provided without any consumer packaging and free of charge by retail establishments (e.g., grocery, drug, convenience, department, specialty retail, and discount stores, and restaurants) to their customers to package and carry their purchased products. The scope of the petition excludes (1) polyethylene bags that are not printed with logos or store names and that are close-able with drawstrings made of polyethylene film and (2) polyethylene bags that are packed in consumer packaging with printing that refers to specific end uses other than packaging and carrying merchandise from retail establishments (e.g., garbage bags, lawn bags, trash can liners). Imports of the subject merchandise are classified under statistical category 3923.21.0090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. This subheading also covers products that are outside the scope of these investigations. Furthermore, although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these investigations is dispositive.

During our review of the petition, we discussed the scope with the petitioners to ensure that it is an accurate reflection of the products for which the domestic industry is seeking relief. Moreover, as discussed in the preamble to the regulations (62 FR 27296, 27323), we are setting aside a period for interested parties to raise issues regarding product coverage. The Department encourages all interested parties to submit such comments within 20 calendar days of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to Import

Administration's Central Records Unit at Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230. The period of scope consultations is intended to provide the Department with ample opportunity to consider all comments and consult with parties prior to the issuance of the preliminary determination. Determination of Industry Support for the Petition

Section 732(b)(1) of the Act requires that a petition be filed on behalf of the domestic industry. Section 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act provides that a petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for: (1) at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (2) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition.

Section 771(4)(A) of the Act defines the "industry" as the producers as a whole of a domestic like product. Thus, to determine whether the petition has the requisite industry support, the statute directs the Department to look to producers and workers who produce the domestic like product. The International Trade Commission (ITC), which is responsible for determining whether "the domestic industry" has been injured, must also determine what constitutes a domestic like product in order to define the industry. While the Department and the ITC must apply the same statutory definition regarding the domestic like product (see section 771(10) of the Act), they do so for different purposes and pursuant to separate and distinct authority. In addition, the Department's determination is subject to limitations of time and information. Although this may result in different definitions of the domestic like product, such differences do not render the decision of either agency contrary to law.1

Section 771(10) of the Act defines the domestic like product as "a product which is like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the article subject to an investigation under this subtitle." Thus, the reference point from which the domestic like product analysis begins is "the article subject to an investigation," *i.e.*, the class or kind of merchandise to be investigated, which normally will be the scope as defined in the petition.

¹ See USEC, Inc. v. United States, 132 F. Supp. 2d 1, 8 (CIT 2001), citing Algoma Steel Corp. v. United States, 688 F. Supp. 639, 642-44 (CIT 1988).