

claimed is sold to customers in the PRC. The Department has offset the respondents' cost of production by the value of a reported by-product where the respondents' responses indicated that it was sold and/or where the record evidence demonstrates clearly that the by-product was re-entered into the production process. We intend to examine this issue more closely at verification for both respondents. See the Factor Valuation Memorandum for a complete discussion of by-product credits given and the surrogate values used.

To value factory overhead expenses, selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A), and profit we calculated a rate based on financial statements from an Indian producer of comparable merchandise, Rollatainers Limited. For a further discussion of the surrogate values for overhead, SG&A and profit, see the Factor Valuation Memorandum.

For labor, consistent with 19 CFR 351.408(c)(3), we used the PRC regression-based wage rate at Import Administration's home page, Expected Wages of Selected NME Countries, revised in May 2000 (see <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/wages>). The source of the wage rate data on the Import Administration's Web site is the 1999 Year Book of Labour Statistics, International Labor Office (Geneva: 1999), Chapter 5B: Wages in Manufacturing.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we will verify the information relied upon in making our final determination.

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we are directing the U.S. Customs Service to suspend liquidation of all imports of subject merchandise from the PRC that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. We will instruct the Customs Service to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the NV exceeds the EP or CEP, as indicated in the chart below. These suspension-of-liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice. The weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/manufacture	Weighted-average percent margin
Red Point Paper Products Co., Ltd	30.11
Max Fortune Industrial Ltd	14.05
PRC-wide Rate	164.75

The PRC-wide rate applies to all entries of the subject merchandise except for entries from exporters/producers that are identified individually above.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination of sales at LTFV. If our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after our final determination whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports, or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation, of the subject merchandise.

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than fifty days after the date of publication of this notice, and rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, no later than fifty-five days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination. See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i); 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1). A list of authorities used and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. This summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In accordance with section 774 of the Act, we will hold a public hearing, if requested, to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs. Tentatively, any hearing will be held fifty-seven days after publication of this notice at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date. Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1870, within 30 days of the date of publication of this

notice. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. At the hearing, each party may make an affirmative presentation only on issues raised in that party's case brief and may make rebuttal presentations only on arguments included in that party's rebuttal brief. See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

If this investigation proceeds normally, we will make our final determination no later than 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 30, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-19622 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-412-803]

Industrial Nitrocellulose From the United Kingdom; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 11, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose (INC) from the United Kingdom (66 FR 18749). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise (Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2000.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 6, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nithya Nagarajan or Michele Mire, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5253 or (202) 482-4711, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On April 11, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on INC from the United Kingdom. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom*, 66 FR 18749 (April 11, 2001).

In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC (ICI or respondent) filed its case brief on May 11, 2001. No other interested parties filed case or rebuttal briefs.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of INC from the United Kingdom. INC is a dry, white amorphous synthetic chemical with a nitrogen content between 10.8 and 12.2 percent, and is produced from the reaction of cellulose with nitric acid. INC is used as a film-former in coatings, lacquers, furniture finishes, and printing inks. The scope of this order does not include explosive grade nitrocellulose, which has a nitrogen content of greater than 12.2 percent.

INC is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff System (HTS) subheading 3912.20.00. While the HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

Period of Review

The POR is July 1, 1999 to June 30, 2000.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this administrative review are

addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Bernard T. Carreau, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II, Import Administration, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated July 20, 2001, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculation. These changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average percentage margin exists for the period July 1, 1999 through June 30, 2000:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Percent margin
Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC	3.44

Assessment

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated importer-specific assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer-specific sales to the total entered value of the same sales. Where the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct Customs to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to Customs.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments

of INC from the United Kingdom entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for ICI will be the rate shown above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be 11.13 percent, the "all-others" rate established in the LTFV investigation (55 FR 21058, May 22, 1990).

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of administrative review for a subsequent review period.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 20, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum

Comment

1. Ministerial Errors

[FR Doc. 01–19620 Filed 8–3–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–351–806]

Silicon Metal From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent Not To Revoke Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of
antidumping duty administrative review
and notice of intent not to revoke order
in part.

SUMMARY: In response to requests by
American Silicon Technologies and
Elkem Metals Company (collectively
petitioners), and requests by Companhia
Brasileira Carbureto De Calcio (CBCC),
Ligas de Alumínio S.A. (LIASA), and
RIMA Industrial S.A. (RIMA)
(collectively respondents), the
Department of Commerce (the
Department) is conducting an
administrative review of the
antidumping duty order on silicon
metal from Brazil. The period of review
(POR) is July 1, 1999 through June 30,
2000.

We preliminarily determine that no
respondent sold subject merchandise at
less than normal value (NV) during the
POR. If these preliminary results are
adopted in the final results of this
administrative review, we will instruct
Customs to assess antidumping duties
on all appropriate entries. We invite
interested parties to comment on the
preliminary results. Parties who submit
comments in this proceeding should
also submit with the argument: (1) A
statement of the issue(s), and (2) a brief
summary of the argument (not to exceed
five pages). Further, we would
appreciate it if parties submitting
written comments would provide the
Department with an additional copy of
the public version of any such
comments on diskette.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 6, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maisha Cryor at (202) 482–5831 or Ron
Trentham at (202) 482–6320, AD/CVD
Enforcement, Office IV, Group II, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all
citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as
amended (the Act), are references to the
provisions effective January 1, 1995, the
effective date of the amendments made
to the Act by the Uruguay Round
Agreements Act (URAA). In addition,
unless otherwise indicated, all citations
to the Department's regulations are to
the regulations at 19 CFR part 351
(2000).

Background

On July 31, 1991, the Department
published in the **Federal Register** the
antidumping duty order on silicon
metal from Brazil. *See Antidumping
Duty Order: Silicon Metal from Brazil* 56
FR 36135 (July 31, 1991). On July 20,
2000, the Department published in the
Federal Register a notice of opportunity
to request an administrative review of
the antidumping duty order on silicon
metal from Brazil for the period July 1,
1999 through June 30, 2000. *See
Antidumping or Countervailing Duty
Order, Finding, or Suspended
Investigation; Opportunity To Request
Administrative Review*, 65 FR 45035
(July 20, 2000). On July 24, 2000, in
accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1),
LIASA requested that the Department
conduct an administrative review of its
sales and partially revoke the order with
respect to LIASA pursuant to 19 CFR
351.222(e). On July 26, 2000, in
accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1),
CBCC requested that the Department
conduct an administrative review of its
sales and partially revoke the order with
respect to CBCC pursuant to 19 CFR
351.222(e). On July 31, 2000, RIMA
requested that the Department conduct
an administrative review of its sales and
partially revoke the order with respect
to RIMA pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(e).

On July 31, 2000, petitioners
requested that the Department conduct
an administrative review of sales made
by CBCC, Eletrosilex, LIASA,
Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais-
Minasligas (Minasligas) and RIMA. On
August 8, 2000, the Department issued
questionnaires to CBCC, Eletrosilex,
LIASA, Minasligas and RIMA. On
August 18, 2000, petitioners withdrew
their request that the Department
conduct an administrative review of

sales made by Eletrosilex. On August
31, 2000, the Department informed
Eletrosilex that it should not reply to the
Department's August 8, 2000,
questionnaire because an administrative
review of its sales would not be
conducted. On September 6, 2000, in
accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1),
the Department published in the
Federal Register a notice of initiation of
this antidumping duty administrative
review. *See Initiation of Antidumping
and Countervailing Duty Administrative
Reviews and Requests for Revocation in
Part*, 65 FR 53980 (September 6, 2000).

On September 22, 2000, the
Department received responses to
sections A through D of the
questionnaire from Minasligas. On
October 6, 2000, the Department
received responses to sections A
through D of the questionnaire from
CBCC and LIASA. On October 10, 2000,
the Department received responses to
sections A through D of the
questionnaire from RIMA. The
Department issued a supplemental
questionnaire to Minasligas on
November 17, 2000 and received a
response on December 1, 2000. The
Department issued a supplemental
questionnaire to LIASA on November
21, 2000 and received a response on
December 19, 2000. The Department
issued supplemental questionnaires to
CBCC on December 4, 2000, February
16, February 23 and May 25 of 2001,
and received responses on January 2,
March 9, March 16 and June 22 of 2001,
respectively. The Department issued
supplemental questionnaires to RIMA
on December 8, 2000 and February 1,
2001 and received responses on January
3, 2001 and March 1, 2001, respectively.

On March 15, 2001, in accordance
with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the
Department published in the **Federal
Register** its notice extending the
deadline for the preliminary results
until July 30, 2001. *See Silicon Metal
from Brazil: Extension of Time Limit for
Preliminary Results of Antidumping
Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR
15078 (March 15, 2001). The
Department is conducting this review in
accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this
administrative review is silicon metal
from Brazil containing at least 96.00
percent but less than 99.99 percent
silicon by weight. Also covered by this
administrative review is silicon metal
from Brazil containing between 89.00
and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but
which contains more aluminum than
the silicon metal containing at least
96.00 percent but less than 99.99