notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. The effective date of this *Timken* notice is October 3, 2014.

#### Rescission of the 2014 Sunset Review

Pursuant to the CIT order in *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition*, we are hereby rescinding the *2014 Sunset Review*, effective September 23, 2014.

#### **Upcoming Sunset Review for November 2014 Pursuant to the CIT Order**

Pursuant to the CIT order in *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition*, the first sunset review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People's Republic of China is now scheduled for initiation on November 4, 2014 and will appear in a notice of *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset")* Review (Notice of Initiation).

The Department's procedures for the conduct of a sunset review are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. The *Notice of Initiation* provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in the sunset review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for this sunset review. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list, it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to this sunset review contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the *Notice of Initiation*.

If the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the sunset review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the sunset review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

We are publishing this notice pursuant to the CIT order in *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition* for the rescission of the *2014 Sunset Review* and as a service to the international trading community for the advance notification of the re-initiation of the sunset review. The advanced notification of a sunset review is not required by statute.

Dated: October 16, 2014.

# Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-25206 Filed 10-21-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-469-805]

Stainless Steel Bar From Spain: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from Spain. The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2012, through February 28, 2013. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Gerdau Aceros Especiales Europa, S.L. (Gerdau).¹ We determine that subject merchandise has not been sold at less than normal value during the POR.

 $\textbf{DATES:} \ \textit{Effective Date:} \ \textbf{October 22, 2014.}$ 

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1690.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On April 23, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* and invited interested parties to comment.<sup>2</sup> Carpenter Technology Corporation, Crucible Industries LLC, Universal Stainless & Alloy Products Inc., and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. (collectively, the petitioners) filed a case brief on May 30, 2014. Gerdau filed a rebuttal brief on June 4, 2014.

The deadline for the final results of this review was August 21, 2014. On July 16, 2014, we extended the deadline for the final results to October 20, 2014.<sup>3</sup>

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SSB. The SSB subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.00, 7222.11.00, 7222.19.00, 7222.20.00, 7222.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes.<sup>4</sup> The written description is dispositive.

# **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as an appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http:// iaaccess.trade.gov and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be found at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/ index.html.

#### **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we did not make any revisions to the margin calculations for Gerdau.

#### **Final Results of Review**

As a result of this review, we determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for Gerdau for the period March 1, 2012, through February 28, 2013.

#### **Disclosure**

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013" dated July 16, 2014. In this memorandum, we inadvertently calculated an extended deadline of October 14, 2014 (60 days from the date of signature) instead of October 20, 2014 (60 days from the date of publication). See September 10, 2014, memorandum to the file from Sandra Dreisonstok, International Trade Compliance Analyst, clarifying this error.

<sup>4</sup> A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the *Preliminary Results*, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We determine that Gerdau is the successor-ininterest to Sidenor Industrial S.L. For further discussion, see the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar from Spain; 2012–2013" dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Stainless Steel Bar From Spain: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013, 79 FR 22622 (April 23, 2014) (Preliminary Results).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See memorandum from Sandra Dreisonstok, International Trade Compliance Analyst, to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Stainless Steel Bar from Spain: Extension of

#### **Assessment Rates**

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because Gerdau's weighted-average dumping margin is zero, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews, i.e.*, "{w}here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or *de minimis*, no antidumping duties will be assessed." <sup>5</sup>

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Gerdau for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>6</sup>

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of these final results of this review.

#### **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of SSB from Spain entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) The cash deposit rate for Gerdau will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 25.77 percent,7 the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# **Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 16, 2014.

## Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

# Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. List of Comments
  - 1. Non-Bona Fides U.S. Sales
  - 2. Post-Sale Invoicing of International Freight
- III. Background
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Discussion of the Issues
- VI. Successor-in-Interest
- VII. Recommendation
- [FR Doc. 2014-25196 Filed 10-21-14; 8:45 am]

#### BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

[A-580-874, A-557-816, A-523-808, A-583-854, A-552-818]

Certain Steel Nails From the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Sultanate of Oman, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigations

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: October 22, 2014.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Drew Jackson at 202–482–4406 (the Republic of Korea (Korea)), Ericka Ukrow at 202–482–0405 (Malaysia), Lilit Astvatsatrian at 202–482–6412 (the Sultanate of Oman (Oman)), Scott Hoefke at 202–482–4947 (Taiwan), or Edythe Artman at 202–482–3931 (the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam)), AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

On June 25, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of antidumping duty investigations of certain steel nails from India, Korea, Malaysia, Oman, Taiwan, The Republic of Turkey, and Vietnam.<sup>1</sup> The notice of initiation stated that the Department, in accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1), would issue its preliminary determinations for these investigations, unless postponed, no later than 140 days after the date of initiation.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, the preliminary determinations of the antidumping duty investigations of certain steel nails from Korea, Malaysia, Oman, Taiwan, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101, 80102 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For a full discussion of this clarification, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See, e.g., Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Bar From Spain, 59 FR 66931 (December 28, 1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Steel Nails From India, the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Sultanate of Oman, Taiwan, the Republic of Turkey, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations (Initiation Notice), 79 FR 36019 (June 25, 2014) ("Initiation Notice"). Note that the investigations for India and The Republic of Turkey were terminated as a result of the International Trade Commission's negative preliminary determination for those countries. See Initiation Notice, 79 FR at 36024 and the July 21, 2014, letter from the United States International Trade Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Initiation Notice, 79 FR at 36024. Note that the signature date of the Initiation Notice, June 18, 2014, is the date of the initiation of these investigations.