any of the provisions of this SRIA shall operate or be construed as a waiver of any other or further default or defaults, whether of a like or different character.

13.0 This SRIA or any part thereof, may not be amended, modified, assigned, or waived other than by a writing signed by all parties hereto.

14.0 This SRIA shall be binding upon the parties hereto, their heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns.

1.15 This SRIA shall not be construed as an application for service under any part of the Tariff.

16.0 In the event of a dispute arising between the parties under this SRIA, the dispute shall be submitted for informal resolution assistance to the RTO or ISO, if applicable, and other wise to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission under the Alternative Dispute Resolution procedures conducted by the staff. If the dispute cannot be settled by such informal means, it shall be submitted for binding arbitration under the rules of the American Arbitration Association.

17.0 Any notice or request made to or by either party regarding this SRIA shall be made to the representative of the other party as indicated below.

Transmission Owner

TRANSMISSION OWNER

[CONTACT NAME/ADDRESS]

Interconnection Customer

SMALL GENERATOR

[CONTACT NAME/ADDRESS]

18.0 All portions of the Tariff and the Operating Agreement pertinent to the subject of this SRIA are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

19.0 This SRIA is entered into pursuant to Part____of the Tariff.

20.0 Neither party shall be liable for consequential, incidental, special, punitive, exemplary or indirect damages, lost profits or other business interruption damages, by statute, in tort or contract, under any indemnity provision or otherwise with respect to any claim, controversy or dispute arising under this SRIA.

In witness whereof, Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer have caused this SRIA to be executed by their respective authorized officials.

Transmission Owner

By:_____Name

Title _____

Date _____

Interconnection Customer

By:_____Name

Title _____

Date _____

Specifications for Interconnection Service Agreement Between TRANSMISSION OWNER and_____

1.0 Description of generating units to be interconnected with the Transmission System in the TRANSMISSION OWNER Control Area:

a. Name of generating units.

b. Location of generating unit site.

c. Size in megawatts of generating units.

d. Description of the equipment configuration.

2.0 Capacity Interconnection Rights:

Pursuant to Section____of____of the Operating Agreement, Interconnection Customer shall have Capacity Interconnection Rights at the location specified in Section 1.0a above in the amount____of megawatts.

3.0 Facilities to be constructed by the RTO:_____

4.0 Interconnection Customer shall be subject to the charges detailed below:_____

4.1 Attachment Facilities Charge:_____

4.2 Local Upgrades Charge:_____

4.3 Network Upgrades Charge:_____

4.4 Guaranty amount required:_____

4.5 Guaranty Reduction Schedule:_____

[FR Doc. 02–21613 Filed 8–23–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 265–0363b; FRL–7266–6]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD). The revisions consist of negative declarations for four volatile organic compound source categories. The intended effect of this action is to bring the SBCAPCD portion of the California SIP up to date in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). EPA is proposing the approval of these negative declarations for the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards, and plan requirements for nonattainment areas. EPA is approving these revisions in accordance with the requirements of the CAA.

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by September 25, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901 You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 ''I'' Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, Suite B–23, Goleta, CA 93117–3027.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie A. Rose, Rulemaking Office, AIR–4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 947–4126. E-mail: *Rose.julie@EPA.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The negative declarations being approved for the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) portion of the California SIP are listed in the following Table:

SUBMITTED NEGATIVE DECLARATIONS

Local agency	Title	Adopted	Submitted
SBCAPCD	Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry (SOCMI) Batch Processing, Reactors, and Distillation.	02–21–02	04–09–02
	Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations	02–21–02	04–09–02

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments.

A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA

receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: August 6, 2002.

Wayne Nastri,

Regional Administrator, , Region IX.

[FR Doc. 02–21557 Filed 8–23–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[AZ 100–0056b; FRL–7266–4]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; Arizona State Implementation Plan Revision, Maricopa County Environmental Services Department

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department (MCESD). The revisions consist of negative declarations for twelve volatile organic compound source categories for the MCESD. The intended effect of this action is to bring the MCESD portion of the Arizona SIP up to date in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). EPA is proposing the approval of these negative declarations for the MCESD portion of the Arizona SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards, and plan requirements for nonattainment areas. EPA is approving these revisions in accordance with the requirements of the CAA.

DATES: Comments must arrive by September 25, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to: Andy Steckel, Chief, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations: Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, 3033 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85012. Maricopa County Environmental Services Department, 1001 North Central, No. 595, Phoenix, Arizona 85004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie A. Rose, Rulemaking Office, AIR–4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 947–4126. e-mail: *Rose.julie@EPA.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The negative declarations being approved for the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department (MCESD) portion of the Arizona SIP are listed in the following Table:

SUBMITTED NEGATIVE DECLARATIONS

Local agency	Title	Adopted	Submitted
MCESD	Refinery Sources Automobile and Light Duty Trucks Magnet Wire Flatwood Paneling Synthesized Pharmaceutical Products Rubber Tire Manufacturing Polymer Manufacturing SOCMI Batch Processes Industrial Wastewater Ship Building Repair SOCMI Reactor/Distillation	04–26–00	12–14–00

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments.

A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: August 6, 2002.

Wayne Nastri,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 02–21559 Filed 8–23–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

46 CFR Ch. I

[USCG–1998–3473]

RIN 2115–AF61

Emergency Response Plans for Passenger Vessels

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is withdrawing and terminating its advance notice of proposed rulemaking concerning emergency response plans (ERPs) for U.S.-flag inspected passenger