

of the number of cotton producers and importers voting in the most recent referendum (July 1991), with not more than 20 percent of such request from producers in one state or importers of cotton.

Currently, procedures for the conduct of a sign-up period appear at 7 CFR 1205.10–1205.30. These procedures will be updated as appropriate prior to the beginning of the sign-up period.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 2101–2118.

Dated: January 9, 2002.

**Kenneth C. Clayton,**

*Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 02–910 Filed 1–10–02; 8:54 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Utilities Service

#### Publication of Depreciation Rates

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) hereby announces the depreciation rates for telecommunications plant for the period ending December 31, 2000.

**DATES:** These rates are effective for the period beginning January 1, 1999 and ending December 31, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jonathan P. Claffey, Deputy Assistant Administrator, Telecommunications Program, Rural Utilities Service, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 4056, STOP 1590, Washington, DC 20250–1590. Telephone: (202) 720–9556.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 206(a)(3) of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 requires RUS to annually determine and publish average depreciation rates used by its borrowers for the purposes of depreciating telecommunications plant. The following chart provides those rates, compiled by RUS, for the reporting period ended December 31, 2000:

#### AVERAGE DEPRECIATION RATES OF RUS BORROWERS BY EQUIPMENT CATEGORY FOR PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000

Telecommunications plant category	Depreciation rate (percent)
1. Land and Support Assets	
a. Motor vehicles .....	15.00
b. Aircraft .....	10.00

#### AVERAGE DEPRECIATION RATES OF RUS BORROWERS BY EQUIPMENT CATEGORY FOR PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000—Continued

Telecommunications plant category	Depreciation rate (percent)
c. Special purpose vehicles .....	12.00
d. Garage and other work equipment .....	10.00
e. Buildings .....	3.01
f. Furniture and office equipment .....	10.00
g. General purpose computers .....	18.57
2. Central Office Switching	
a. Digital (a) .....	8.33
b. Analog & electro-mechanical .....	10.00
c. Operator systems .....	8.61
d. Radio systems .....	9.40
e. Circuit equipment (b) ....	10.00
3. Information Origination/Termination	
a. Station apparatus .....	11.90
b. Customer premises equipment .....	10.00
c. Large private branch exchanges .....	12.50
d. Public telephone terminal equipment .....	11.00
e. Other terminal equipment .....	10.00
4. Cable and Wire Facilities	
a. Aerial cable-Poles .....	6.50
a. Aerial cable-metal .....	6.00
b. Aerial cable-fiber .....	5.00
c. Underground cable-metal .....	4.96
d. Underground cable-fiber .....	5.00
e. Buried cable-metal .....	5.00
f. Buried cable-fiber .....	5.00
g. Conduit systems .....	3.00
h. Other .....	7.12

Dated: January 8, 2002.

**Hilda Gay Legg,**

*Administrator, Rural Utilities Service.*

[FR Doc. 02–878 Filed 1–11–02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–475–824]

#### Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Italy.

**SUMMARY:** On August 8, 2001, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Italy (66 FR 41517). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise (Acciai Speciali Terni, S.p.A. (“AST”)). The period of review (“POR”) is January 4, 1999, through June 30, 2000. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled “Final Results of Review.”

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 14, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carrie Blozy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0165.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (“URAA”). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department’s regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

#### Background

On August 8, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Italy. *See Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 41517 (August 8, 2001). In response to the Department’s invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, AST and petitioners filed their case briefs on September 17, 2001, and their rebuttal briefs on October 1, 2001. AST and petitioners, Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, AK Steel Corporation, J&L Speciality Steel, Inc., North American Stainless, United Steelworkers of America, AFL–CIO/CLC, Butler Armco Independent Union, and Zanesville Armco Independent Organization, Inc., submitted requests for a hearing on September 17, 2001. On October 4,