

3067 (January 19, 2005). The complainant named Realtek Semiconductor Corporation of Hsinchu, Taiwan, and Real Communications, Inc., of San Jose, CA (collectively, "Realtek"), as respondents. Subsequently, the complaint and notice of investigation were amended to add an additional respondent, BizLink Technology, Inc. ("BizLink").

On August 31, 2005, complainant Marvell moved to terminate the investigation in whole pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(c) and 19 CFR 210.21 based on a settlement agreement. On September 12, 2005, respondents Realtek and BizLink filed a response to the motion. Respondents do not oppose the motion to terminate. On the same day, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed a response in support of the motion. On September 16, 2005, Marvell filed a reply to respondents' and the IA's responses.

On September 19, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 21) granting complainant's motion. No party petitioned for review of the ALJ's ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in § 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 7, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-20571 Filed 10-13-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-636-638 (Second Review)]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Brazil, France, and India

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Brazil, France, and India.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Brazil, France, and India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a

reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 4, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 4, 2005, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (70 FR 38207, July 1, 2005) was adequate, and that the respondent interested party group response with respect to France was adequate, but found that the respondent interested party group responses with respect to Brazil and India were inadequate. However, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews concerning subject imports from Brazil and India to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct a full review with respect to subject imports from France. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 11, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-20620 Filed 10-13-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-510; Enforcement Proceeding]

In the Matter of Systems for Detecting and Removing Viruses or Worms, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Institution of Formal Enforcement Proceeding

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has instituted a formal enforcement proceeding relating to a cease and desist order issued at the conclusion of the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. Copies of the public version of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission on June 3, 2004, based on a complaint filed by Trend Micro Inc. ("Trend Micro") of Cupertino, California. 69 FR 32044-32045 (June 8, 2004). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation into the United States, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain systems for detecting and removing viruses or worms, components thereof,