

convenience and U.S. Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this proceeding is dispositive.

Amended Final Determination

On October 3, 2001, in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, the Department published its affirmative final determination in this proceeding. See *Final Determination*, 66 FR 50406. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c), on October 2, October 3, and October 4, 2001, we received timely filed submissions from respondents, Essar Steel Ltd. (Essar) and Ispat Industries Ltd. (Ispat), and certain petitioners¹ alleging that the Department made ministerial errors in its final determination. On October 9, 2001, we received rebuttal comments from Ispat and petitioners regarding the allegations of ministerial errors in the calculation of Ispat's margin.

Petitioners allege that in calculating Ispat's weighted-average margin, the Department (1) failed to include bad debt expenses in the reported home market indirect selling expenses, and (2) failed to include a significant portion of interest expenses in the interest expense ratio. Although Ispat agrees with petitioners' contention that bad debt expense should be included in indirect selling expenses, it disagrees with the methodology used by petitioners to recalculate the indirect selling expense ratio. In addition, Ispat claims that there is no ministerial error with respect to the interest expense calculation.

Moreover, both parties allege that the Department failed to use costs that properly reflect the "Customs Duty Adjustment."

With respect to Essar, petitioners allege that the Department's margin calculations (1) fail to account for interest expenses and interest income associated with home market and U.S. sales, (2) fail to account for direct labor expense, (3) fail to base general, administrative and interest expenses on adjusted total manufacturing costs, and (4) fail to include the proper facts available rate for unreported U.S. sales.

Essar alleges that the Department failed to take into account home market freight revenue in calculating home market credit expense.

In accordance with section 735(e) of the Act, we have determined that, with the exception of the allegation regarding the interest expense ratio, ministerial errors were made in our final margin

calculations. Thus, we are amending our final determination in order to revise the antidumping duty rate for Essar and Ispat. The revised weighted-average dumping margins are listed in the "Antidumping Duty Order" section below. For a detailed analysis of the ministerial errors that we addressed, and the Department's position on each, see the Memorandum to Bernard T. Carreau from Holly A. Kuga, dated November 19, 2001, regarding *Ministerial Error Allegations* on file in room B-099 of the Main Commerce building.

Antidumping Duty Order

On November 13, 2001, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the International Trade Commission (the Commission) notified the Department of its final determination that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of less-than-fair-value imports of subject merchandise from India, pursuant to section 735(b)(1)(A) of the Act. Therefore, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs to assess, upon further advice by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price of the merchandise for all relevant entries of certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of subject merchandise from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after May 3, 2001, the date on which the Department published its *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India*, (66 FR 22157).

On or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, U.S. Customs must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties, cash deposits for the subject merchandise equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margins listed below. The "All Others" rate applies to all exporters of subject merchandise not specifically listed below.

Manufacturer/exporter	Revised margin (percent)
Ispat Industries Ltd	44.40
Essar Steel Ltd.	36.53
All Others	38.72

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty order with respect to

certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India. Interested parties may contact the Department's Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This order is issued and published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.211.

November 20, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-29896 Filed 11-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-828]

Administrative Review of the Suspension Agreement on Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From Brazil: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 3, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Ferrier at (202) 482-1394, Phyllis Hall at (202) 482-1398, or Dena Aliadinov at (202) 482-3362, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce ("the Department") to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested, and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

¹ The petitioners that filed ministerial error allegations are Bethlehem Steel Corporation, United States Steel, LLC, National Steel Corporation, and LTV Steel Company, Inc.

Background

On July 6, 1999, the Department entered into Antidumping Duty Suspension Agreement regarding certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products ("hot-rolled steel") from Brazil produced by Companhia Siderurgica Nacional ("CSN"), Usinas Siderurgicas de Minas Gerais ("USIMINAS"), and Companhia Siderurgica Paulista ("COSIPA"). This agreement was entered into under section 734(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, requiring, among other things, that the estimated margin of each entry under the suspension agreement does not exceed 15 percent of the margin found in the investigation. In addition, the Agreement requires that sales of subject merchandise are not made below the reference price (calculated quarterly, to prevent price suppression or undercutting). On July 28, 2000, petitioners requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the agreement. The Department initiated this review on September 6, 2000. *See* 65 FR 53980 (September 6, 2000). On March 8, 2001 the Department extended the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 120 days. *See* 66 FR 13891 (March 8, 2001). The preliminary results were published on August 8, 2001. *See* 66 FR 41500 (August 8, 2001). The final results are due on December 6, 2001, which is 120 days after the date of publication for the preliminary results.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review

This is the first administrative review of this suspension agreement. There are several novel and complex issues relating to compliance with the suspension agreement, including those involving: The precise nature of the relationships between the Brazilian mills and other parties involved in the U.S. sales process; the appropriate methods of margin calculations with respect to the requirements of the suspension agreement; and the treatment of certain Brazilian domestic taxes. Because of these issues, we find it is not practicable to complete this review within the initial time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. Therefore, we are fully extending the due date for the final results to 180 days after the publication date of the preliminary results, until February 4, 2002.

This extension of the time limit is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: November 23, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 01-29808 Filed 11-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-822]

Notice of Extension of the Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 3, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carrie Blozy or Stephen Shin, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0165 or (202) 482-0413.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") regulations are to the current regulations as codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On May 31, 2001, Accai Speciali Terni S.p.A. and its affiliated company, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review. On June 19, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy, covering the period May 1, 2000 through April 30, 2001. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 66 FR 32934 (June 19, 2001). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than January 31, 2002.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the

preliminary results of a review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the statutory time limit of 245 days from the date on which the review was initiated. On October 22, 2001, the Department initiated a sales-below-the-cost-of-production investigation with respect to home market sales made by AST. On November 23, 2001, AST submitted the company-specific cost data. In order to properly analyze and consider the cost data in the Department's preliminary results, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review for Accai Speciali Terni S.p.A. and its affiliates within the initial time limits provided in section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h) of the Department's regulations.

Therefore, we are extending the due date for the preliminary results by 60 days, until no later than April 2, 2002. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: November 26, 2001.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 01-29892 Filed 11-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, United States Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of administrative review.

SUMMARY: The United States Court of International Trade has affirmed the Department of Commerce's final remand results affecting the final weighted-average margins for the 1994/1995 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the People's Republic of China. There was no appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this case, we are amending the final results of review and we will instruct the Customs Service to