

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 68, No. 27

Monday, February 10, 2003

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[Doc. No. LS-03-01]

Request for Extension and Revision of a Currently Approved Information Collection

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), this notice announces the Agricultural Marketing Service's (AMS) intention to request an extension of and revision to the currently approved collections for 7 CFR part 54—Meats, Prepared Meats, and Meat Products (Grading, Certification, and Standards), which includes Form LS-313, "Application for Service" and Form LS-315, "Application for Commitment Grading or Certification Service."

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received on or before April 11, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Larry R. Meadows, Chief; USDA, AMS, LS, MGC; STOP 0248, Room 2628-S; 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-0248. Comments will be available for public inspection at the above address during regular business hours. Comments may also be submitted by e-mail to Larry.Meadows@usda.gov or by facsimile to (202) 690-4119. All comments should reference the docket number (LS-03-01), the date, and the page number of this issue of the **Federal Register**. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: 7 CFR part 54—Meats, Prepared Meats, and Meat Products (Grading, Certification, and Standards).

OMB Number: 0581-0124.

Expiration Date of Approval: September 30, 2003.

Type of Request: Extension and revision of a currently approved collection of information.

Abstract: The application for meat grading and certification services requests Department of Agriculture employees to perform such services in the requesting establishment. The information contained on the applications constitutes an agreement between USDA and the requesting establishment.

The Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946, as amended, authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture to provide voluntary Federal meat grading and certification services that facilitate the marketing of meat and meat products. The Meat Grading and Certification (MGC) Branch provides these services pursuant to 7 CFR part 54—Meats, Prepared Meats, and Meat Products (Grading, Certification, and Standards).

Due to the voluntary nature of grading and certification services, 7 CFR part 54 contains provisions for the collection of fees from users of MGC Branch services that as nearly as possible are equal to the cost of providing the requested services. Applicants (individual or businesses with financial interest in the product) may request MGC Branch services through either submission of Form LS-313 or Form LS-315.

Congress did not specifically authorize this collection of information, but completion and submission of Form LS-313 or Form LS-315 serves as an agreement by the requester to pay for services provided.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .0178 hours per response.

Respondents: Livestock and meat industry or other for-profit businesses.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 715 respondents.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 16 responses.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 212.40 hours.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Larry R. Meadows, Chief, Meat Grading and Certification Branch, telephone (202) 720-1246, facsimile 202-690-4119, or e-mail at Larry.Meadows@usda.gov.

Dated: February 4, 2003.

A.J. Yates,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 03-3116 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. 02-103-2]

Public Meeting; Veterinary Biologics

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of cancellation of meeting.

SUMMARY: We are advising producers and users of veterinary biologics, and other interested individuals, that our planned 12th public meeting on veterinary biologics, which was scheduled to be held March 31 through April 2, 2003, is canceled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Richard E. Hill, Jr., Director, Center for Veterinary Biologics, Veterinary Services, APHIS, 510 South 17th Street, Suite 104, Ames, IA 50010-8197; phone (515) 232-5785, fax (515) 232-7120, or e-mail CVB@aphis.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a document published in the **Federal Register** on November 26, 2002 (67 FR 70714, Docket No. 02-103-1), we gave notice that we would be holding a public meeting March 31 through April 2, 2003, in Ames, IA. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss regulatory and

policy issues related to the manufacture, distribution, and use of veterinary biological products. Due to an outbreak of exotic Newcastle disease in commercial and non-commercial poultry flocks in Southern California and Nevada, Center for Veterinary Biologics personnel have been detailed to those States to assist with efforts to control the spread of the outbreak, and this has interfered with our ability to finalize the meeting agenda. We are, therefore, canceling the meeting that had been scheduled for March 31 through April 2, 2003. We regret any inconvenience caused by this cancellation.

Done in Washington, DC this 4th day of February 2003.

Kevin Shea,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 03-3180 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

[OR-930-1610-PB-LITI; HAG03-0050]

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement To Amend Land and Resource Management Plans in Southwest Oregon for Management of Port-Orford-Cedar

AGENCIES: Bureau of Land Management, USDI and Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent and initiation of public scoping.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and Forest Service are initiating work on a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) to consider management alternatives for Port-Orford-Cedar in the Oregon portion of its natural range in southwestern Oregon and northwestern California. The SEIS is a joint effort by the Oregon/Washington BLM and the Pacific Northwest Region of the Forest Service, with BLM as the lead agency. The Pacific Southwest Region of the Forest Service is a cooperator. Specific administrative units include the Coos Bay, Medford, and Roseburg District of the BLM and the Siskiyou, Six Rivers, Klamath, and Shasta-Trinity National Forests. Additional cooperators may be identified through the scoping process. The SEIS will respond to analysis deficiencies identified in March, 2002

by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit relating to a District Court decision in *Kern vs. U.S. Bureau of Land Management*, 284 F.3d 1062 (9th Cir. 2002). This decision concluded that analysis of cumulative effects of the current management guidelines were inadequate for the Sandy-Remote Environmental Assessment because it did not extend to the entire range of Port-Orford-Cedar. The SEIS will develop alternative management strategies for the Oregon portion of the species range and analyze effects of those strategies throughout the entire natural range of the species.

The SEIS will amend the land management plan for the Siskiyou National Forest and the resource management plans for the Coos Bay, Medford, and Roseburg Districts of the Bureau of Land Management. The BLM will work collaboratively with interested parties to identify the management decisions that are best suited to local, regional, and national needs and concerns. The SEIS will fulfill the needs and obligations set forth by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), and BLM and Forest Service management policies.

DATES: This notice initiates the public scoping process. Public scoping will be used to identify interested and affected individuals and groups, and to identify issues associated with the management of Port-Orford-Cedar. Briefing materials are available on line at http://www.or.blm.gov/planning/Port-Orford-Cedar_SEIS/. Comments concerning the scope of the analysis should be received 30 days from publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. No formal public scoping meetings are scheduled, but may be scheduled if there is sufficient interest. Public scoping meetings will be announced in local newspapers and at http://www.or.blm.gov/planning/Port-Orford-Cedar_SEIS/ at least 15 days prior to the event. Early participation is encouraged and will help determine the future management of Port-Orford-Cedar on public lands in California and Oregon. In addition to the ongoing public participation process, formal opportunities for public participation will be provided through comment on the alternatives and upon publication of the BLM draft RMP/EIS.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to the Port-Orford-Cedar EIS Team, PO Box 2965, Portland, OR 97208. Comments may be submitted electronically to the following e-mail address: orpoceis@or.blm.gov.

Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the Oregon State Office, BLM reading room, 333 SW., 1st Avenue, Portland, OR 97204, and may be published as part of the EIS. If you wish to withhold your name or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses, submitted on official letterheads, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organization or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Denton, Bureau of Land Management, Port-Orford-Cedar EIS Team, PO Box 2965, Portland, OR 97208.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A root disease, *Phytophthora lateralis*, currently infects Port-Orford-Cedar. Research shows the rate of spread of the root disease is linked, at least in part, to transport of spore-infected soil by human and other vectors. Water-borne spores then readily spread the disease down slope and down stream. The participating agencies believe, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of a draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the agencies at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

Current BLM management direction requires all management activities within the range of Port-Orford-Cedar conform to guidelines described in the Port-Orford Cedar Management Policies.