

required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, (Pub. L. 92-463).

**DATES:** February 25, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** NSF Board Room (Room 1235), National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

**PROPOSED SCHEDULE AND AGENDA:** The President's Information Technology Advisory Committee (PITAC) will meet in open session from approximately 8:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. and 1:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. on February 25, 2000. This meeting will include: (1) Updates on PITAC's next steps and panels on: learning, digital libraries; open source software; government; healthcare; the digital divide; and international issues. (2) The Federal Budget for information technology research and development in FY 2001. (3) Information technology strategies in Federal agencies.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** The National Coordination Office for Computing, Information, and Communications provides information about this Committee on its web site at: <http://www.ccic.gov>; it can also be reached at (703) 306-4722. Public seating for this meeting is limited, and is available on a first-come, first-served basis.

Dated: January 28, 2000.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 00-2298 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5000-10-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### U.S. Strategic Command Strategic Advisory Group; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense, USSTRATCOM.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Strategic Advisory Group (SAG) will meet in closed session on April 13 and 14, 2000. The mission of the SAG is to provide timely advice on scientific, technical, and policy-related issues to the Commander in Chief, U.S. Strategic Command, during the development of the nation's strategic war plans. At this meeting, the SAG will discuss strategic issues that relate to the development of the Single Integrated Operational Plan (SIOP). Full development of the topics will require discussion of information classified TOP SECRET in accordance with Executive Order 12958, April 17, 1995. Access to this information must be strictly limited to personnel having

requisite security clearances and specific need-to know. Unauthorized disclosure of the information to be discussed at the SAG meeting could have exceptionally grave impact upon national defense.

In accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, (5 U.S.C. App 2), it has been determined that this SAG meeting concerns matters listed in 5 USC 552b(c) and that, accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: January 28, 2000.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 00-02297 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Notice of Intent To Grant Exclusive Patent License; Thiokol Propulsion, a Division of Cordant Technologies, Inc.

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy hereby gives notice of its intent to grant to Thiokol Propulsion, a Division of Cordant Technologies, Inc., a revocable, nonassignable, exclusive license in the United States, to practice the Government-owned invention described in U.S. No. 5,693,794 entitled "Caged Polynitramine Compound."

**DATES:** Anyone wishing to object to the granting of this license must file written objections along with supporting evidence, if any, not later than April 3, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written objections are to be filed with the Office of Naval Research, ONR 00CC, Ballston Tower One, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, Virginia 22217-5660.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. John G. Wynn, Associate Counsel Intellectual Property, Office of Naval Research, ONR 00CC, Ballston Tower One, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, Virginia 22217-5660, telephone (703) 696-4004, e-mail [wynnj@onr.navy.mil](mailto:wynnj@onr.navy.mil) or fax (703) 696-6909.

(Authority: 35 U. S. C. 207, 37 CFR Part 404)

Dated: January 18, 2000.

**J.L. Roth,**

*Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U. S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 00-2426 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 3, 2000.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) Will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) Is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) How might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) How might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.