the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Evaluate whether and, if so, how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced: and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Revision of a currently approved collection.
- (2) The Title of the Form/Collection: Initial Suitability Request.
- (3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: Form number: ATF 3252.4.

Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Primary: Individuals or households. Other: None.

Abstract: The Initial Suitability Request—ATF Form 3252.4 will be used by ATF's Confidential Informant (CI) handlers to collect personally identifiable information (PII), criminal history and other background information, in order to determine an individual's suitability to serve as an ATF CI.

- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: An estimated 300 respondents will utilize the form annually, and it will take each respondent approximately 120 minutes to complete their responses.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is

600 hours, which is equal to 300 (total annual respondents) * 1 (# of responses per respondent) * 2 hours (120 minutes).

If additional information is required contact: John Carlson, Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Office of the Chief Information Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, Mail Stop 3.E–206, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 17, 2023.

John Carlson,

Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, U.S. Department of Justice. [FR Doc. 2023–05904 Filed 3–21–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–FY–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration Shahid Masood, M.D.; Decision and Order

On July 29, 2022, the Drug **Enforcement Administration** (hereinafter, DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC) to Shahid Masood, M.D. (hereinafter, Registrant). Request for Final Agency Action (hereinafter, RFAA), Exhibit (hereinafter, RFAAX) 2 (OSC), at 1, 3. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration No. FM7946481 at the registered address of 667 86th Place, Downers Grove, IL 60516. Id. at 1. The OSC alleged that Registrant's registration should be revoked because Registrant is "currently without authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Illinois, the state in which [he is] registered with DEA." Id. at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).¹

The Agency makes the following findings of fact based on the uncontroverted evidence submitted by the Government in its RFAA dated February 7, 2023.²

Findings of Fact

On November 9, 2021, the State of Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation issued an Order suspending both Registrant's Illinois medical license and Registrant's Illinois controlled substance license. RFAAX 3, Attachment B, at 1, 8. According to Illinois online records, of which the Agency takes official notice, both Registrant's Illinois medical license and Registrant's Illinois controlled substance license are still suspended.3 Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation, License Lookup, https://onlinedfpr.micropact.com/lookup/ licenselookup.aspx (last visited date of signature of this Order). Accordingly, the Agency finds that Registrant is not currently licensed to engage in the practice of medicine nor in the handling of controlled substances in Illinois, the state in which he is registered with the DEA.

Discussion

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), the Attorney General is authorized to suspend or revoke a registration issued under section 823 of the Controlled Substances Act (hereinafter, CSA) "upon a finding that the registrant . . . has had his State license or registration suspended . . . [or] revoked . . . by competent State authority and is no longer authorized by State law to engage in the . . . dispensing of controlled substances." With respect to a practitioner, the DEA has also long held that the possession of authority to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the state in which a practitioner engages in professional practice is a fundamental condition for obtaining and maintaining a practitioner's registration. See, e.g., James L. Hooper, M.D., 76 FR 71371 (2011), pet. for rev. denied, 481 F. App'x 826 (4th Cir. 2012); Frederick Marsh

¹ According to Agency records, Registrant's DEA Certificate of Registration No. FM7946481 expired on January 31, 2022, and Registrant's request for renewal of his registration was received on January 27, 2022.

² Based on the Declaration from a DEA Diversion Investigator, the Agency finds that the Government's service of the OSC on Registrant was adequate. RFAAX 3, at 2–3. Further, based on the Government's assertions in its RFAA, the Agency finds that more than thirty days have passed since Registrant was served with the OSC and Registrant has neither requested a hearing nor submitted a corrective action plan and therefore has waived any such rights. RFAA, at 2–3; RFAAX 3, at 3; see also 21 CFR 1301.43 and 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2).

³ Under the Administrative Procedure Act. an agency "may take official notice of facts at any stage in a proceeding—even in the final decision. United States Department of Justice, Attorney General's Manual on the Administrative Procedure Act 80 (1947) (Wm. W. Gaunt & Sons, Inc., Reprint 1979). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 556(e), "[w]hen an agency decision rests on official notice of a material fact not appearing in the evidence in the record, a party is entitled, on timely request, to an opportunity to show the contrary." Accordingly, Registrant may dispute the Agency's finding by filing a properly supported motion for reconsideration of findings of fact within fifteen calendar days of the date of this Order. Any such motion and response shall be filed and served by email to the other party and to the DEA Office of the Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration at dea.addo.attorneys@dea.gov.

Blanton, M.D., 43 FR 27616, 27617 (1978).4

Pursuant to the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, a "practitioner" means "a physician licensed to practice medicine in all its branches . . . or other person licensed, registered, or otherwise lawfully permitted by the United States or this State to distribute, dispense, conduct research with respect to, administer or use in teaching or chemical analysis, a controlled substance in the course of professional practice or research." 720 Îll. Comp. Stat. Ann. 570/102(kk) (2022). Further, the Illinois Controlled Substances Act requires that "[e]very person who manufactures, distributes, or dispenses any controlled substances . . . must obtain a registration issued by the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation in accordance with its rules." Id. at 570/302(a).5

Here, the undisputed evidence in the record is that Registrant currently lacks authority to handle controlled substances in Illinois as both his Illinois medical license and his Illinois controlled substance license are suspended. As already discussed, a practitioner must hold a valid controlled substance license to dispense a controlled substance in Illinois. Thus, because Registrant lacks state authority to handle controlled substances, Registrant is not eligible to maintain a

DEA registration. Accordingly, the Agency will order that Registrant's DEA registration be revoked.

Order

Pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a), I hereby revoke DEA Certificate of Registration No. FM7946481 issued to Shahid Masood, M.D. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby deny any pending applications of Shahid Masood, M.D., to renew or modify this registration, as well as any other pending application of Shahid Masood, M.D., for additional registration in Illinois. This Order is effective April 21, 2023.

Signing Authority

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on March 15, 2023, by Administrator Anne Milgram. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the Federal Register.

Heather Achbach,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2023–05807 Filed 3–21–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 23-8]

Heather M. Entrekin, DVM; Decision and Order

On August 9, 2022, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to Heather M. Entrekin, DVM (Respondent). OSC, at 1, 3. The OSC proposed the revocation of Respondent's Certificate of Registration ¹ because Respondent is "without authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Alabama, the state in which [she is] registered with DEA." *Id.* at 2.

Respondent timely requested a hearing; thereafter, the Government filed and the Chief Administrative Law Judge (CALJ) granted a Motion for Summary Disposition recommending the revocation of Respondent's registration. Order Granting the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition and Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge (Recommended Decision or RD), at 5-7. Respondent did not file exceptions to the RD. Having reviewed the entire record, the Agency adopts and hereby incorporates by reference the entirety of the CALJ's rulings, findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommended sanction and summarizes and expands upon portions thereof herein.

Findings of Fact

On May 19, 2022, the Alabama Board of Veterinary Examiners issued an Order that suspended Respondent's Alabama controlled substance license. RD, at 4; see also Government's Motion for Summary Disposition, Exhibit (GX) 2, Attachment A, at 1. As of November 22, 2022, Respondent's Alabama controlled substance license was still suspended. RD, at 4; GX 2, Attachment B.² Accordingly, the Agency finds that Respondent is not currently licensed to handle controlled substances in Alabama, the state in which she is registered with the DEA.

Discussion

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), the Attorney General is authorized to suspend or revoke a registration issued under section 823 of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) "upon a finding that the registrant . . . has had his State license or registration suspended . . .

⁴ This rule derives from the text of two provisions of the CSA. First, Congress defined the term "practitioner" to mean "a physician . . . or other person licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted, . . the jurisdiction in which he practices . to distribute, dispense, . . . [or] administer . . . a controlled substance in the course of professional practice." 21 U.S.C. 802(21). Second, in setting the requirements for obtaining a practitioner's registration, Congress directed that "[t]he Attorney General shall register practitioners . . . if the applicant is authorized to dispense . . . controlled substances under the laws of the State in which he practices." 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1) (this section, formerly § 823(f), was redesignated as part of the Medical Marijuana and Cannabidiol Research Expansion Act, Public Law 117-215, 136 Stat. 2257 (2022)). Because Congress has clearly mandated that a practitioner possess state authority in order to be deemed a practitioner under the CSA, the DEA has held repeatedly that revocation of a practitioner's registration is the appropriate sanction whenever he is no longer authorized to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the state in which he practices. See, e.g., James L. Hooper, 76 FR 71371 and 71372; Sheran Arden Yeates, M.D., 71 FR 39130, 39131 (2006); Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51104, 51105 (1993); Bobby Watts, M.D., 53 FR 11919, 11920 (1988); Frederick Marsh Blanton, 43 FR 27617.

⁵ The Illinois Controlled Substances Act also authorizes the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation to discipline a practitioner holding a controlled substance license, stating that "[a] registration under Section 303 to manufacture, distribute, or dispense a controlled substance . . . may be denied, refused renewal, suspended, or revoked by the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation." *Id.* at 570/304(a).

¹ Registration No. FE4914164 at the registered address of 1360 Montgomery Hwy., Ste. 114, Vestavia Hills, AL 35216–2750. *Id.* at 1.

² The Agency has no indication that the status of Respondent's license (which is not publically available information) has changed. Prior to the issuance of the RD, Respondent acknowledged that her license was suspended. See Respondent's Response, at 3-4. Following the issuance of the RD, Respondent did not file any Exceptions to indicate that her license had been restored, nor has the Agency to date received any correspondence from Respondent regarding any changes to the status of her license. Accordingly, the Agency finds that Respondent's Alabama controlled substance license remains suspended as of the date of signature of this Order. Respondent may dispute the Agency's finding by filing a motion for reconsideration of findings of fact within fifteen calendar days of the date of this Order with supporting documentation (showing that Respondent was able to dispense controlled substances on or before the date of this Order). Any such motion and response shall be filed and served by email to the other party and to the DEA Office of the Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration at dea.addo.attorneys@dea.gov.