the public version of any such comments on diskette.

Section 774 of the Act provides that the Department will hold a hearing to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs, provided that such a hearing is requested by any interested party. If a request for a hearing is made in an investigation, the hearing will tentatively be held two days after the deadline for submission of the rebuttal briefs, at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. In the event that the Department receives requests for hearings from parties to more than one steel wire rod case, the Department may schedule a single hearing to encompass all those cases. Parties should confirm by telephone the time, date, and place of the hearing 48 hours before the scheduled time.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request within 30 days of the publication of this notice. Requests should specify the number of participants and provide a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 2, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–9263 Filed 4–15–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

CONSUMER PRODUCTS SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 02-1]

In the Matter of Chemetron Corporation, et al.; Prehearing Conference

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice of first prehearing conference.

DATE: This notice announces a prehearing conference to be held in the matter of Chemetron Corporation, Chemetron Investments, Inc., Sunbeam Corporation, Sprinkler Corporation of Milwaukee, Inc. and Grucon Corporation on May 1, 2002 at 10 a.m. ADDRESS: The prehearing conference will be in hearing room 420 of the East-West Towers Building, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Todd A. Stevenson, Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC; telephone (301) 504–0800; telefax (301) 504–01237.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This public notice is issued pursuant to 16 CFR 1025.21(b) of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission's Rules of Practice of Adjudicative Proceedings to inform the public that a prehearing conference will be held in administrative proceeding under Section 15 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA or Act) captioned CPSC Docket No. 02-1, In the Matter of Chemetron Corporation, Chemetron Investments, Inc., Sunbeam Corporation, Sprinkler Corporation of Milwaukee, Inc. and Grucon Corporation. The Presiding Officer in the proceeding is United States Administrative Law Judge William B. Moran. The Presiding Officer has determined that, for good and sufficient cause, the time period for holding this first prehearing conference had to be extended to the date announced above, which date is beyond the fifty (50) day period referenced in 16 CFR 1025.21(a).

The public is referred to the Code of Regulations citation listed above for identification of the issues to be raised at the conference and is advised that the date, time and place of the hearing also will be established at the conference.

Substantively, the issues being litigated in this proceeding are described by the Presiding Officer to include: Whether the Star ME-1, a dry fire sprinkler manufactured from 1977 through 1995 is, within the meaning of the CPSA, a "consumer product" which was distributed in commerce; whether, as a result of inadequate design and/or manufacturing, this sprinkler model has failed to operate as intended in fires and constitutes a "defect" under the Act, which presents a "substantial product hazard," creating a substantial risk of injury to consumers, within the meaning of Section 15(a)(2), (c) and (d) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(a)(2), (c) and (d). Should these allegations be proven, Complaint Counsel for the Office of Compliance of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission seeks a finding that the product presents a substantial product hazard and that public notification be made pursuant to section 15(c) of the CPSA and that other appropriate relief be directed, as set forth in the Compliant.

April 10, 2002.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–9140 Filed 4–15–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6355–01–M

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

National Senior Service Corps; Schedule of Income Eligibility Levels

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice revises the schedules of income eligibility levels for participation in the Foster Grandparent Program (FGP) and the Senior Companion Program (SCP) of the Corporation, published in 66 FR 18073 on April 5, 2001.

DATES: These guidelines are effective on April 1, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Corporation for National and Community Service, National Senior Service Corps, Attn: Ms. Ruth Archie, 1201 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20525, or by telephone at (202) 606–5000, ext. 289, or e-mail: rarchie@cns.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The revised schedules are based on changes in the Poverty Guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), published in 67 FR 6931, February 14, 2002. In accordance with program regulations, the income eligibility level for each State, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands and the District of Columbia is 125 percent of the DHHS Poverty Guidelines, except in those areas determined by the Corporation to be of higher cost of living as of April 1, 2002. In such instances, the guidelines shall be 135 percent of the DHHS Poverty levels (See attached list of High Cost Areas). The level of eligibility is rounded to the next highest multiple of \$5.00.

In determining income eligibility, consideration should be given to the following, as set forth in 45 CFR Parts 2551–2553, dated October 1, 1999.

Allowable medical expenses are annual out-of-pocket expenses for health insurance premiums, health care services, and medications provided to the applicant, enrollee, or spouse and were not and will not be paid for by Medicare, Medicaid, other insurance, or by any other third party and, must not exceed 15 percent of the applicable Corporation income guideline.

For new applicants, annual income is projected for the following 12 months, based on income at the time of application. For currently stipended volunteers, annual income is counted for the past 12 months. Annual income includes the applicant or enrollee's income and that of his/her spouse, if the

spouse lives in the same residence. Sponsors shall count the value of shelter, food, and clothing, if provided at no cost by persons related to the applicant, enrollee, or spouse. Any person whose income is not more than 100 percent of the DHHS Poverty Guideline for her/his specific family unit shall be given special consideration for participation in the Foster Grandparent and Senior Companion Programs.

2002 FGP/SCP INCOME ELIGIBILITY LEVELS

(Based on 125 percent of DHHS poverty guidelines)

States	Family units of			
	One	Two	Three	Four
All, except High Cost Areas, Alaska & Hawaii	\$11,075	\$14,925	\$18,775	\$22,625

For family units with more than four members, add \$3,850 for each additional member in all States except designated High Cost Areas, Alaska and Hawaii.

2002 FGP/SCP INCOME ELIGIBILITY LEVELS

(Based on 135 percent of DHHS poverty guidelines)

Area	Family units of			
	One	Two	Three	Four
All, except Alaska & Hawaii Alaska Hawaii	\$11,965 14,960 13,770	\$16,120 20,155 18,550	\$20,280 25,355 23,330	\$24,435 30,550 28,110

For family units with more than four members, add: \$4,160 for all areas, \$5,200 for Alaska, and \$4,780 for Hawaii, for each additional member.

The income eligibility levels specified above are based on 135 percent of the DHHS poverty guidelines and are applicable to the following high cost metropolitan statistical areas and primary metropolitan statistical areas:

High Cost Areas

(Including all Counties/Locations Included in that Area as Defined by the Office of Management and Budget)

Alaska

(All Locations)

California

Los Angeles/Compton/San Gabriel/Long Beach/Hawthorne (Los Angeles County)

Santa Barbara/Santa Maria/Lompoc (Santa Barbara County)

Santa Cruz/Watsonville (Santa Cruz County)

Santa Rosa/Petaluma (Sonoma County) San Diego/El Cajon (San Diego County) San Jose/Los Gatos (Santa Clara County) San Francisco/San Rafael (Marin

County) San Francisco/Redwood City (San

Mateo County)
San Francisco (San Francisco County)
Oakland/Berkeley (Alameda County)
Oakland/Martinez (Contra Costa
County)

Anaheim/Santa Ana (Orange County) Oxnard/Ventura (Ventura County) Connecticut

Stamford (Fairfield)

District of Columbia/Maryland/Virginia

District of Columbia and Surrounding Counties in Maryland and Virginia. MD counties: Ann Arundel, Calvert, Charles, Cecil, Frederick, Montgomery and Prince Georges, Queen Anne Counties. VA Counties: Arlington, Fairfax, Loudoun, Prince William, Stafford, Alexandria City, Fairfax City, Falls Church City, Manassas City and Manassas Park City

Hawaii

(All Locations)

Illinois

Chicago/Des Plaines/Oak Park/ Wheaton/Woodstock (Cook, DuPage and McHenry Counties)

Massachusetts

Barnstable (Barnstable) Edgartown (Dukes) Boston/Malden (Essex, Norfolk, Plymouth, Middlesex and Suffolk

Counties)
Brockton/Wellesley/Braintree/Boston
(Norfolk County)

Dorchester/Boston (Suffolk County) Worcester (City) (Worcester County)

New Jersey

Bergen/Passaic/Paterson (Bergen and Passaic Counties) Jersey City (Hudson) Middlesex/Somerset/Hunterdon (Hunterdon, Middlesex and Somerset Counties)

Monmouth/Ocean/Spring Lake (Monmouth and Ocean Counties) Newark/East Orange (Essex, Morris, Sussex and Union Counties)

Trenton (Mercer County)

New York

Nassau/Suffolk/Long Beach/Huntington (Suffolk and Nassau Counties) New York/Bronx/Brooklyn (Bronx, Kings, New York, Putnam, Queens, Richmond and Rockland Counties)

Westchester/White Plains/Yonkers/ Valhalla (Westchester County)

Ohio

Medina/Lorain/Elyria (Medina/Lorain County)

Pennsylvania

Philadelphia/Doylestown/West Chester/ Media/Norristown (Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery and Philadelphia Counties)

Washington

Seattle (King County)

Wyoming

(All Locations)

The revised income eligibility levels presented here are calculated from the base DHHS Poverty Guidelines now in effect as follows:

2002 DHHS POVERTY GUIDELINES FOR ALL STATES

States	Family Units of—			
	One	Two	Three	Four
All, except Alaska/Hawaii Alaska Hawaii	\$8,860 11,080 10,200	\$11,940 14,930 13,740	\$15,020 18,780 17,280	\$18,100 22,630 20,820

For family units with more than four members, add: \$3,080 for all areas, \$3,850 for Alaska, and \$3,540 for Hawaii, for each additional member.

Authority: These programs are authorized pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 5011 and 5013 of the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, as amended. The income eligibility levels are determined by the current guidelines published by DHHS pursuant to sections 652 and 673 (2) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 which requires poverty guidelines to be adjusted for Consumer Price Index changes.

Dated: April 10, 2002.

Tess Scannell,

Director, Senior Corps.

[FR Doc. 02-9201 Filed 4-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-\$\$-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0067]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Incentive Contracts

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning incentive contracts. A request for public comments was published at 67 FR 6235, February 11, 2002. No comments were received.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on

valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Submit comments on or before May 16, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, and a copy to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVP), 1800 F Street, NW, Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0067, Incentive Contracts, in all correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia Wise, Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 208–1168.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Incentive contracts are normally used when a firm fixed-price contract is not appropriate and the required supplies or services can be acquired at lower costs, and sometimes with improved delivery or technical performance, by relating the amount of profit or fee payable under the contract to the contractor's performance.

The information required periodically from the contractor—such as cost of work already performed, estimated costs of further performance necessary to complete all work, total contract price for supplies or services accepted by the Government for which final prices have been established, and estimated costs allocable to supplies or services accepted by the Government and for which final prices have not been established—is needed to negotiate the final prices of incentive-related items and services.

The contracting officer evaluates the information received to determine the contractor's performance in meeting the

incentive target and the appropriate price revision, if any, for the items or services.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 3,000. Responses Per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 3,000. Hours Per Response: 1. Total Burden Hours: 3,000. Obtaining Copies of Proposals:

Requesters may obtain copies of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVP), Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501–4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0067, Incentive Contracts, in all correspondence.

Dated: April 5, 2002.

Al Matera,

Director, Acquisition Policy Division.
[FR Doc. 02–9145 Filed 4–15–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force,

ACTION: Notice to delete systems of records.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Air Force is deleting two systems of records notices in its existing inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

DATES: This proposed action would be effective without further notice on May 16, 2002, unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Air Force Privacy Act Manager, Office of the Chief Information Officer, AF–CIO/P, 1155 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330–1155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Anne Rollins at (703) 601–4043.