

prompt competitive interest in unleased Federal coal in this area. The alternate tract configurations for each of the LBAs that BLM is evaluating are described and analyzed as separate alternatives in the DEIS. Under these alternatives, competitive sales would be held and leases issued for Federal coal lands included in tracts modified by the BLM. The DEIS also analyzes the alternative of rejecting the application(s) to lease Federal coal as the No Action Alternative. The Proposed Actions and alternatives for each of the LBAs being considered in the DEIS are in conformance with the Approved Resource Management Plan for Public Lands Administered by the Bureau of Land Management Buffalo Field Office (2001).

Requests to be included on the mailing list for this project and to request copies of the DEIS or notification of the comment period or hearing date, or both, may be sent in writing, by facsimile, or electronically to the addresses previously stated at the beginning of this notice. The BLM asks that those submitting comments on the DEIS make them as specific as possible with reference to page numbers and chapters of the document. Comments that contain only opinions or preferences will not receive a formal response; however, they will be considered as part of the BLM decision-making process.

Please note that comments and information submitted including names, street addresses, and e-mail addresses of respondents will be available for public review and disclosure at the above address during regular business hours (7:45 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except holidays.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Donald A. Simpson,

Acting State Director.

[FR Doc. E8-24632 Filed 10-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[OR-930-6350-DQ-047H] HAG-08-0204

Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Revision of the Resource Management Plans of the Western Oregon Bureau of Land Management Districts of Salem, Eugene, Roseburg, Coos Bay, and Medford, and the Klamath Falls Resource Area of the Lakeview District

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared six Resource Management Plans with a single associated Final Environmental Impact Statement (RMP/FEIS) for the Salem, Eugene, Roseburg, Coos Bay, and Medford Districts and the Klamath Falls Resource Area of the Lakeview District in western Oregon.

DATES: The Assistant Secretary of the Interior for Land and Minerals Management is the responsible official for the RMP. Accordingly, there will be no administrative review "protest" on the RMP/FEIS under 43 CFR 1610.5-2. The Record of Decision (ROD) will not be signed until at least 30 days after the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) publishes this notice of availability of the Final EIS in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the RMP/FEIS have been sent to affected federal, state, and local government agencies, and to tribal governments. Interested persons may review the RMP/FEIS on the Internet at <http://www.blm.gov/or/plans/wopr/index.php>. Copies of the RMP/FEIS are available for public inspection at Salem, Eugene, Roseburg, Coos Bay, and Medford District offices and the Grants Pass, Klamath Falls and Tillamook Resource Area offices.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT:

Jerry Hubbard, Western Oregon Plan Revisions Public Outreach Coordinator; at (503) 808-6115.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The BLM has analyzed revision of six Resource Management Plans with this single Environmental Impact Statement. These plans are the Salem, Eugene, Roseburg, Medford, and Coos Bay District RMPs and the Klamath Falls Resource Area RMP. The RMP/FEIS for the Western Oregon Bureau of Land Management Districts has identified and analyzed

four action alternatives, including the RMP, for managing approximately 2,550,000 acres of federal land, most of which are revested Oregon and California Railroad Grant and Coos Bay Wagon Road Grant lands, within the western Oregon planning area.

The major resource management plan issues include:

- Providing a sustainable supply of wood and other forest products, as mandated by the Oregon & California Lands Act of 1937, while also meeting other applicable laws.
- Providing for conservation of species listed under the Endangered Species Act.
- Contributing to meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act and the Safe Drinking Water Act.
- Reducing the risk of wildfire and integrating fire back into the ecosystem.

Comments received on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) were important in shaping the Resource Management Plans. The RMP is based on Alternative 2 from the DEIS, but includes portions of the other alternatives in the DEIS.

Some of the key changes include:

- Wider riparian management areas, as described in Alternative 1 of the DEIS.
- Late successional management areas were reconfigured to match the Final Northern Spotted Owl Recovery Plan.
- Deferring harvest for 15 years in "older and more structurally complex multi-layered conifer stands," as described in Final Northern Spotted Owl Recovery Plan in the timber management area.
- Using uneven-aged management, as described in Alternative 3 of the DEIS, in the southern portion of the Medford District and the Klamath Falls Resource Area to decrease fire hazard and increase fire resiliency.

Dated: September 8, 2008.

Edward W. Shepard,

State Director, Oregon/Washington, Bureau of Land Management.

[FR Doc. E8-24655 Filed 10-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation 332-325]

The Economic Effects of Significant U.S. Import Restraints: Sixth Update

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of sixth update report and scheduling of public hearing.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the schedule and scope of the Commission's sixth update report in investigation No. 332-325, *The Economic Effects of Significant U.S. Import Restraints*, including the expansion in scope to include a summary of the major steps and results of U.S. trade liberalizing efforts since 1934 and effects of liberalization as reported in the economic literature, as requested in the U.S. Trade Representative's (USTR) letter received on August 22, 2008. This series of reports was originally requested in a letter from the USTR dated May 15, 1992.

DATES: *December 2, 2008:* Deadline for filing requests to appear at the public hearing.

December 11, 2008: Deadline for filing pre-hearing briefs and statements.

January 8, 2009: Public hearing.

February 6, 2009: Deadline for filing post-hearing briefs and statements.

August 20, 2009: Transmittal of Commission report to USTR.

ADDRESSES: All Commission offices, including the Commission's hearing rooms, are located in the United States International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC. All written submissions should be addressed to the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/edis.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Deese, Project Leader (william.deese@usitc.gov or 202-205-2626) or Kyle Johnson, Deputy Project Leader (kyle.johnson@usitc.gov or 202-205-3229) for information specific to this sixth update report. For information on the legal aspects of this investigation, contact William Gearhart of the Commission's Office of the General Counsel (202-205-3091 or william.gearhart@usitc.gov). The media should contact Margaret O'Laughlin, Office of External Relations (202-205-1819 or margaret.olaughlin@usitc.gov). Hearing-impaired individuals may obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.

Background: The Commission instituted this investigation under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1332(g)) following receipt on May 15, 1992 of a request from the USTR. The request asked that the Commission conduct an investigation assessing the quantitative economic effects of significant U.S. import restraints on the U.S. economy and prepare periodic update reports after the initial report. The Commission published a notice of institution of the investigation in the **Federal Register** of June 17, 1992 (57 FR 27063). The first report was delivered to the USTR in November 1993, the first update in December 1995, the second update in May 1999, the third update in June 2002, the fourth update in June 2004, and the fifth update in February 2007.

As requested by the USTR in a letter received on August 22, 2008, the Commission in this sixth update will include a summary of the major steps and results of U.S. trade liberalizing efforts since 1934 and the effects of liberalization as reported in the economic literature. The USTR asked that the summary be accessible to readers who may not be professional economists. As in previous reports in this series, the sixth update will continue to assess the economic effects of significant import restraints on U.S. consumers and firms, the income and employment of U.S. workers, and the net economic welfare of the United States. This assessment will use the Commission's computable general equilibrium model. However, as per earlier instructions from the USTR, the Commission will not assess import restraints resulting from antidumping or countervailing duty investigations, section 337 and 406 investigations, or section 301 actions.

Public Hearing: A public hearing in connection with this investigation will be held beginning at 9:30 a.m. on January 8, 2009, at the United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington DC. Requests to appear at the hearing should be filed with the Secretary no later than 5:15 p.m., December 2, 2008, in accordance with the requirements in the "Written Submissions" section below. In the event that, as of the close of business on December 2, 2008, no witnesses are scheduled to appear at the hearing, the hearing will be canceled. Any person interested in attending the hearing as an observer or non-participant may call the Secretary to the Commission (202-205-2000) after December 2, 2008 to determine whether the hearing will be held.

Written Submissions: In lieu of or in addition to participating in the hearing, interested parties are invited to submit written statements or briefs concerning this investigation. All written submissions, including requests to appear at the hearing, statements, and briefs, should be addressed to the Secretary. Any pre-hearing statements or briefs should be filed not later than 5:15 p.m., December 11, 2008; and post-hearing statements and briefs and all other written submissions should be filed not later than 5:15 p.m., February 6, 2009. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 CFR 201.8). Section 201.8 of the rules requires that a signed original (or a copy designated as an original) and fourteen (14) copies of each document be filed. In the event that confidential treatment of the document is requested, at least four (4) additional copies must be filed, in which the confidential information must be deleted (see the following paragraph for further information regarding confidential business information). The Commission's rules do not authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the rules (see *Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures*, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/documents/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf; persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary at 202-205-2000. Any submissions that contain confidential business information must also conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 CFR 201.6). Section 201.6 of the rules requires that the cover of the document and the individual pages be clearly marked as to whether they are the "confidential" or "non-confidential" version, and that the confidential business information be clearly identified by means of brackets. All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available in the Office of the Secretary to the Commission for inspection by interested parties.

The USTR stated that her office intends to make the Commission's report in this investigation available to the public in its entirety and asked that the Commission not include any confidential business or national security information in this report. Consequently, the report that the Commission sends to the USTR will not contain any such information. Any

confidential business information received by the Commission in this investigation and used in preparing its report will not be published in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 10, 2008.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-24607 Filed 10-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 701-TA-431 (Review)]

Drams and Dram Modules From Korea

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Termination of five-year review.

SUMMARY: The subject five-year review was initiated in July 2008 to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on DRAMS and DRAM modules from Korea would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. On October 3, 2008, the Department of Commerce published notice that it was revoking the order effective August 11, 2008, “{b}ecause the domestic interested party did not file a substantive response by the applicable deadline and has withdrawn its notice of intent to participate in this sunset review * * *” (73 FR 57594). Accordingly, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), the subject review is terminated.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 11, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

Authority: This review is being terminated under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.69 of the Commission’s rules (19 CFR 207.69).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 10, 2008.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-24601 Filed 10-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-08-028]

Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: October 21, 2008 at 11 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. *Agenda for future meetings:* none.
 2. Minutes.
 3. Ratification List.
 4. Inv. Nos. 731-TA-1131-1134 (Final)(Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Brazil, China, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners’ opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before October 31, 2008.)
 5. *Outstanding action jackets:* none.
- In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 14, 2008.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.

[FR Doc. E8-24769 Filed 10-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Amended Consent Decree; Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (“CERCLA”)

Consistent with Section 122(d) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9622(d), and 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on October 7, 2008, the United States lodged an Amended Consent in *United States of*

America v. Lockheed Martin

Corporation, et al., Civil No. 4:02-cv-146 (USDC W.D. Ky.) for the Green River Landfill Superfund Site, located in Maceo, Daviess County, Kentucky (the “Site”). This Court originally approved a Consent Decree in this matter on September 27, 2002. Since the time the original Consent Decree was approved by the Court, the “Settling Defendants” as defined therein, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) have been unable to implement the institutional controls required at the Site by Section IX of the Consent Decree. Under the proposed Amended Consent Decree, one “Settling Defendant,” Browning-Ferris Industries of Kentucky, Inc. (“BFKY”) has or will acquire the property needed to institute the necessary institutional controls and, after entry of the Amended Consent Decree, will transfer such property to de maximus inc., defined in the proposed Amended Consent Decree as the “Owner Settling Defendant.” In addition, BFIKY will donate another parcel to Daviess County, which desires to keep it as open space. These property transfers will permit the remaining defendants to institute the required institutional controls and the open space will be an important buffer around the Site.

Under the proposed Amended Consent Decree, in exchange for the property transfers referenced above, BFIKY will have no further obligations under the Amended Consent Decree and will receive from the United States a covenant not to sue or to take administrative action pursuant to Sections 106 or 107 of Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607 as amended, and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”), 42 U.S.C. 6973, for the United States’ past and future costs at the Site. The remaining Settling Defendants will receive from the United States a covenant not to sue or to take administrative action pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607 as amended, and Section 7003 of RCRA, in exchange for implementing the remedy and required institutional controls at the Site and paying EPA’s remaining costs under the terms of the proposed Amended Consent Decree.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree Amendments. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural