

email address) at rest and stores it in Amazon Relational Database Service (RDS) AWS databases. Data in transit is encrypted via TLS. PERSEC IQ also leverages AWS Key Management Service (KMS) to encrypt data and restrict access based on user roles and job functions.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR RETRIEVAL OF RECORDS:

Comprehensive electronic records are maintained by the Security Office and stored in the PERSEC IQ electronic database. Access to the records is restricted to those with specific roles in the Single Sign-On process. Retrieval of electronic records will require an individual name or social security number query to produce records of an employee, contractor, student, intern, or volunteer.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR RETENTION AND DISPOSAL OF RECORDS:

Records are archived/disposed of during the routine data sync for individuals who are no longer employees or contractors of EXIM. Otherwise, records are maintained and destroyed in accordance with the National Archives and Record Administration's ("NARA") Basic Laws and Authorities (44 U.S.C. 3301 *et seq.*) or an EXIM Bank records disposition schedule approved by NARA.

Comprehensive records are retained and disposed of in accordance with General Records Schedule 5.6 items: 180,181 under Disposition Authority DAA-GRS-2017-0006-0025, approved by the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). Records regarding individuals with security clearances and other clearances for access to Government facilities or to sensitive data, created to support initial favorable eligibility determinations, periodic reinvestigations, or to implement a continuous evaluation program will be destroyed 5 years after the employee or contractor relationship ends, however longer retention is authorized if required for business use.

ADMINISTRATIVE, TECHNICAL, AND PHYSICAL SAFEGUARDS:

- *For Paper Records:* Comprehensive paper records are kept in locked metal file cabinets in locked rooms in EXIM's Headquarters, in the Security Services Unit which is the office responsible for suitability determinations. Access to the records is limited to Security Services Unit employees who need them in performing their official duties.

- *For Electronic Records:* Comprehensive electronic records are kept in the Personnel Security Division. Access to the records is restricted to

those with specific roles in the Personal Identity Verification (PIV) process, requires access to background investigation forms to perform their duties, and who have been given a password and PIV card to access applicable files within the system including background investigation records. An electronic audit trail is maintained within the system and reviewed periodically to identify and track authorized/unauthorized access. Persons given roles in the PIV process must complete training specific to their roles to ensure they are knowledgeable about handling and safeguarding individually identifiable information.

- *For Electronic Records (cloud based):* Information will be stored in electronic format within the PERSEC IQ Cloud Service Provider (CSP) Amazon Web Service (AWS). EXIM PERSEC IQ has configurable, layered user accounts and permissions features to ensure users have only the proper access necessary to perform their duties. Access to EXIM PERSEC IQ is restricted to EXIM employees and contractors who need it for their job functions. Authorized users have access only to the data and functions required to perform their job functions. PERSEC IQ uses AWS Key Management Service (KMS), a managed service for PERSEC IQ to create and control the cryptographic keys that are used to protect EXIM data. AWS KMS uses hardware security modules (HSM) to protect and validate AWS KMS keys under the FIPS 140-2 Cryptographic Module Validation Program to implement cryptography for data at rest. AWS KMS enables PERSEC IQ to maintain control over who can use PERSEC IQ AWS KMS keys and gain access to EXIM encrypted data. Keys distributions are only permitted on the AWS Console Layer. Lost or corrupted keys are managed by AWS KMS. EXIM PERSEC IQ which is hosted in AWS as a Software-as-a-Service application inherits all the administrative, technical, and physical controls offered by AWS and the EXIM Infrastructure General Support System. PERSEC IQ is compliant with the Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program (FedRAMP). The PII information in EXIM PERSEC IQ is encrypted and stored in AWS, and the Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure (HTTPS) protocol is used to access EXIM PERSEC IQ.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Requests to access records under the Privacy Act must be submitted in writing and must be signed by the requestor. Requests should be addressed to the Freedom of Information Act

Office and the Office of Information Management and Technology, Export-Import Bank of the United States, 811 Vermont Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20571. The request must comply with the requirements of 12 CFR 404.14.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Individuals seeking to contest and/or amend records under the Privacy Act must submit a request in writing. The request must be signed by the requestor and should be addressed to the Freedom of Information Act Office and the Office of Information Management and Technology, Export-Import Bank of the United States, 811 Vermont Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20571. The request must comply with the requirements of 12 CFR 404.14.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

Individuals wishing to determine whether this system of records contains information about them may do so by submitting a written request to the Freedom of Information Act Office and the Office of Information Management and Technology, Export-Import Bank of the United States, 811 Vermont Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20571. The written request must include the following:

- Name.
- Type of information requested.
- Address to which the information should be sent; and
- Signature.

EXEMPTIONS PROMULGATED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

HISTORY:

None.

Lin Zhou,

Information System Security Manager.

[FR Doc. 2025-04894 Filed 3-20-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-0174; FR ID 285584]

Information Collection Being Submitted for Review and Approval to Office of Management and Budget

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general

public and other Federal Agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection. Pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, the FCC seeks specific comment on how it might “further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.”

DATES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted on or before April 21, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Your comment must be submitted into www.reginfo.gov per the above instructions for it to be considered. In addition to submitting in www.reginfo.gov also send a copy of your comment on the proposed information collection to Cathy Williams, FCC, via email to PRA@fcc.gov and to Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov. Include in the comments the OMB control number as shown in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918. To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) go to the web page <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>, (2) look for the section of the web page called “Currently Under Review,” (3) click on the downward-pointing arrow in the “Select Agency” box below the “Currently Under Review” heading, (4) select “Federal Communications Commission” from the list of agencies presented in the “Select Agency” box, (5) click the “Submit” button to the right of the “Select Agency” box, (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the Title of this ICR and then click on the ICR Reference Number. A copy of the FCC submission to OMB will be displayed.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid OMB control number.

As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA)

of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), the FCC invited the general public and other Federal Agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection. Comments are requested concerning: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107–198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4), the FCC seeks specific comment on how it might “further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.”

OMB Control Number: 3060–0174.

Title: Sections 73.1212, 76.1615, and 76.1715, Sponsorship Identification.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities and Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 52,760 respondents, 1,939,422 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 0.0011 hour–2.166 hours.

Frequency of Response: Recordkeeping requirement; Third party disclosure requirement; On occasion reporting requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in 47 U.S.C. 151, 152, 154(i), 154(j), 303(r), 307, 317, and 325(c) of the Communications Act, as amended.

Total Annual Burden: 347,851 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$2,010,723.

Needs and Uses: The Commission, in the Second Report and Order, FCC 24–61, takes steps to ensure clear and reasonable foreign sponsorship identification rules. Section 73.1212(j) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 73.1212(j), requires radio and television broadcast stations to disclose to their audiences, at the time of broadcast, when material aired pursuant to the lease of time on the station has been sponsored, paid for, or furnished by a foreign governmental entity. Section 73.1212(k) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 73.1212(k), imposes corresponding

obligations on stations with section 325(c) permits. The Commission’s authority to impose these regulations stems from section 317 of the Communications Act, which requires broadcast licensees to inform their audiences when the station has been paid to air a particular program, in furtherance of the longstanding broadcasting tenet that the public has a right to know the identity of those that solicit its support.

The foreign sponsorship identification rules require broadcast licensees, at the time of entering or renewing a lease agreement (unless a once-a-year exception applies), to exercise reasonable diligence to ascertain whether a programming disclosure is required. To ensure that licensees are complying with their reasonable diligence and disclosure obligations, the foreign sponsorship identification rules require licensees to memorialize their required inquiries of lessees and to maintain records of their programming disclosures and their reasonable diligence efforts.

In the Second Report and Order, the Commission modified the rule’s information collection requirements by adopting an approach that provides licensees with two options for demonstrating that they have met their duty of inquiry in seeking to obtain the information needed to determine whether the programming provided by a lessee is sponsored by a foreign governmental entity. The Commission designed this approach to provide licensees with as much flexibility as possible and to minimize their paperwork costs and burdens while still ensuring compliance with the reasonable diligence requirements.

One option available to licensees is the use of certifications, where both the licensee and the lessee complete a certification reflecting the communications and inquiries required under the existing rules. Licensees and lessees have the option either to use sample certification language set forth in simple, one-page, “check-box” templates appended to the Second Report and Order or to use language of the parties’ own choosing. Most licensee and lessee employees should be able to complete the forms quickly and readily, based upon their existing knowledge and understanding. It is highly unlikely that either the licensee or the lessee would need to engage in any type of research to respond to the queries contained in the certifications. Notably, these are the same inquiries the Commission adopted in the First Report and Order, only formatted now as a certification. If licensees and lessees

prefer not to use the Commission's templates, they may use their own certification language, provided that language addresses the points listed in § 73.1212(j)(3)(i) through (iii) of the rules, which were adopted in the First Report and Order. The Commission granted this flexibility to alleviate or minimize costs for licensees that already had developed their own certifications based on the existing foreign sponsorship identification rules. A lessee's certification should convey the information needed to determine whether a disclosure is required and the information needed for a broadcast disclosure if one is required.

As an alternative to the certification option, licensees may choose to ask their lessees for screenshots of lessees' search results of two federal government websites (the Department of Justice's FARA database and the Commission's U.S.-based foreign media outlet report). Licensees choosing this option must still comply with all other aspects of the current rules, as they have been required to do since the compliance date of the First Report and Order. Licensees are encouraged to include in their lease agreements a requirement for lessees to provide notice of any change in status so as to trigger the need for a foreign sponsorship disclosure.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-04842 Filed 3-20-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 25-05]

Francis Sheka Kanu, Complainant v. Ejike Dickson Eze dba Ejike International Trade Limited; Sealines International; Seamates International, Inc.; and Maersk, Respondents; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment; Served: March 17, 2025

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (the "Commission") by Francis Sheka Kanu (the "Complainant") against Ejike Dickson Eze dba Ejike International Trade Limited; Sealines International; Seamates International, Inc.; and Maersk (the "Respondents"). Complainant states that the Commission has jurisdiction over this complaint pursuant to 46 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*

Complainant is an individual whose principal place of business is located in North Carolina.

Complainant identifies Respondent Ejike Dickson Eze dba Ejike International Trade Limited as a limited liability company registered in North Carolina with its principal place of business located in Charlotte, North Carolina.

Complainant identifies Respondents Sealines International and Seamates International, Inc. as corporations duly incorporated in North Carolina with their principal place of business located in Cherryville, North Carolina.

Complainant identifies Respondent Maersk as a corporation with its principal office located in Charlotte, North Carolina.

Complainant alleges that Respondents violated 46 U.S.C. 41102(a) and (c), 41104(a)(4), and 1710(a). Complainant alleges these violations arose from a failure to deliver a shipment to the port identified in the bill of lading, and other acts or omissions of the Respondents.

An answer to the complaint must be filed with the Commission within 25 days after the date of service.

The full text of the complaint can be found in the Commission's electronic Reading Room at <https://www2.fmc.gov/readingroom/proceeding/25-05/>. This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. The initial decision of the presiding judge shall be issued by March 17, 2026, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by October 1, 2026.

David Eng,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-04825 Filed 3-20-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-02-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (Act) (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by

contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board's Freedom of Information Office at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/request.htm>. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in paragraph 7 of the Act.

Comments received are subject to public disclosure. In general, comments received will be made available without change and will not be modified to remove personal or business information including confidential, contact, or other identifying information. Comments should not include any information such as confidential information that would not be appropriate for public disclosure.

Comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551-0001, not later than April 7, 2025.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (Jeffrey Imgarten, Assistant Vice President), 1 Memorial Drive, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001. Comments can also be sent electronically to KCAApplicationComments@kc.frb.org:

1. *The Burke L. Matthews Irrevocable Trust, Earl H. Matthews Irrevocable Trust, Don H. Berkley Trust, Robert B. Berkley Trust, Eleanor L. Berkley Trust, Kent M. Berkley Grandkids Trust ("Grandkids Trusts"), Burke L. Matthews, individually, and as co-trustee of the Kent M. Berkley Trust, Hal J. Berkley Trust, Earl H. Matthews Irrevocable Trust, Paula C. Nelson Irrevocable Trust, and Mary B. Phelps Irrevocable Trust, Gretchen Hunt, Eileen L. Berkley, Eleanor L. Berkley, as co-trustee of the Eleanor L. Berkley Trust, Earl H. Matthews, individually, and as co-trustee of the Paula C. Nelson Irrevocable Trust, Mary B. Phelps Irrevocable Trust, and Burke L. Matthews Irrevocable Trust, Amy K. Hemmer, individually, and as co-trustee of Grandkids Trusts, Karla J. Spurgeon, individually, and as co-trustee of the Jeff A. Berkley Revocable Trust, and Calvin J. Berkley, individually, and as co-trustee of the Jeff A. Berkley Revocable Trust, all of Salina, Kansas; The Paula C. Nelson Irrevocable Trust, Mary B. Phelps Irrevocable Trust, Scott Deckert, as co-trustee of the Karen M. Deckert Trust, Paula C. Nelson, individually and as co-trustee of the Hal J. Berkley Trust, Earl H. Matthews Irrevocable Trust, Mary B. Phelps Irrevocable Trust, and Burke L. Matthews Irrevocable Trust, Mary B. Phelps, individually, and as co-trustee of the Earl H. Matthews*