$\begin{array}{l} numbers: 7210.90.1000,\ 7215.90.1000,\\ 7215.90.3000,\ 7215.90.5000,\ 7217.20.1500,\\ 7217.30.1530,\ 7217.30.1560,\ 7217.90.1000,\\ 7217.90.5030,\ 7217.90.5060,\ 7217.90.5090,\\ 7225.91.0000,\ 7225.92.0000,\ 7225.99.0090,\\ 7226.99.0110,\ 7226.99.0130,\ 7226.99.0180,\\ 7228.60.6000,\ 7228.60.8000,\ and\\ 7229.90.1000. \end{array}$

The HTSUS subheadings above are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.⁴

[FR Doc. 2019–12609 Filed 6–13–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-876]

Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2016– 2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that producers or exporters of welded line pipe from the Republic of Korea sold welded line pipe at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), December 1, 2016, through November 30, 2017.

DATES: Applicable June 14, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Goldberger or Joshua Tucker, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4136 or (202) 482–0244, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This review covers 32 producers or exporters. Commerce selected two companies, NEXTEEL Co., Ltd. (NEXTEEL) and SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH), for individual examination. The producers or exporters not selected for individual examination are listed in the "Final Results of the Review" section of this notice.

On February 14, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results.*¹

On April 4, 2019, we received case briefs from NEXTEEL, SeAH, Husteel Co., Ltd., Hyundai Steel Company, and Maverick Tube Corporation (Maverick).² On April 12, 2019, we received rebuttal briefs from SeAH, Maverick, and domestic producers California Steel Industries, TMK IPSCO, and Welspun Tubular LLC USA (collectively, "Domestic Interested Parties).³

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is welded line pipe.⁴ The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 7305.11.1030, 7305.11.1060, 7305.11.5000, 7305.12.1030, 7305.12.1060, 7305.12.5000, 7305.19.1030, 7306.19.5000, 7306.19.1010, 7306.19.5150. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the IDM.⁵ Interested parties can find a complete discussion of these issues and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized

Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2016–2017, 84 FR 4046 (February 14, 2019) (Preliminary Results). Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and ACCESS is also available to all interested parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024, of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the IDM can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed IDM and the electronic version of the IDM are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average margins for NEXTEEL and SeAH.⁶

Duty Absorption

In the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily found that antidumping duties were absorbed on all U.S. sales of subject merchandise that were exported by SeAH.⁷ However, because NEXTEEL did not sell merchandise to the United States through an affiliated importer, within the meaning of section 751(a)(4) of the Act, we did not make a preliminary duty absorption finding for NEXTEEL.⁸

We have received no further information regarding this issue for the final results. Therefore, for the final results, we continue to find that antidumping duties have been absorbed on all U.S. sales of subject merchandise that were exported by SeAH.

Determination of No Shipments

As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, we received a no shipment claim from HiSteel Co., Ltd. (HiSteel) and preliminarily determined that HiSteel had no shipments during the POR.⁹ We received no comments from interested parties with respect to this claim. Therefore, because the record indicates that HiSteel had no entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, we continue to find that HiSteel had no shipments during the POR.

Final Results of the Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period December 1, 2016, through November 30, 2017:

⁴ See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016).

¹ See Welded Line Pipe from Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative

² See NEXTEEL's Case Brief, "Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea: NEXTEEL's Case Brief," dated April 4, 2019; SeAH's Case Brief, "Case Brief of SeAH Steel Corporation," dated April 4, 2019; Husteel's Case Brief, "Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea, 12/1/2016–11/30/2017 Administrative Review, Case No. A–580–876; Case Brief," dated April 4, 2019; Hyundai Steel's Case Brief, "Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Case Brief," dated April 4, 2019; and Maverick's Case Brief, "Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Case Brief, "Welded Line Pipe from the Corporation," dated April 4, 2019.

³ See SeAH's Rebuttal Brief, "Rebuttal Brief of SeAH Steel Corporation," dated April 12, 2019; Maverick's Rebuttal Brief, "Welded Line Pipe from Korea: Rebuttal Brief of Maverick Tube Corporation," dated April 12, 2019 (Maverick Rebuttal Brief); and Domestic Interested Parties Rebuttal Brief, "Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Rebuttal Brief," dated April 12, 2019.

⁴ For a complete description of the scope of the order, see *Preliminary Results* and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

⁵ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2016— 2017 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Welded Line Pipe from Korea" (IDM), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

⁶ See IDM at 3.

⁷ See PDM at 26-27.

⁸ Id.

⁹ See Preliminary Results, 84 FR at 4047.

Producer or exporter	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
NEXTEEL Co., Ltd	38.87
SeAH Steel Corporation	27.38

Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies: ¹⁰

Exporter or producer	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
AJU Besteel Co., Ltd	32.49
BDP International, Inc	32.49
Daewoo International Corpora-	
tion	32.49
Dongbu Incheon Steel Co	32.49
Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd	32.49
Dongkuk Steel Mill	32.49 32.49
Dong Yang Steel Pipe EEW Korea Co., Ltd	32.49 32.49
Husteel Co., Ltd	32.49
Hyundai RB Co. Ltd	32.49
Hyundai Steel Company/Hyundai	
HYSCO	32.49
Kelly Pipe Co., LLC	32.49
Keonwoo Metals Co., Ltd	32.49
Kolon Global Corp	32.49
Korea Cast Iron Pipe Ind. Co.,	
Ltd	32.49
Kurvers Piping Italy S.R.L	32.49 32.49
MSTEEL Co., Ltd Miju Steel MFG Co., Ltd	32.49 32.49
Poongsan Valinox (Valtimet Divi-	32.49
sion)	32.49
POSCO	32.49
POSCO Daewoo	32.49
R&R Trading Co. Ltd	32.49
Sam Kang M&T Co., Ltd	32.49
Sin Sung Metal Co., Ltd	32.49
SK Networks	32.49
Soon-Hong Trading Company	32.49
Steel Flower Co., Ltd	32.49
TGS Pipe Tokyo Engineering Korea Ltd	32.49 32.49
Tokyo Engineening Korea Eta	52.49

Disclosure of Calculations

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), NEXTEEL reported the entered value of its U.S. sales such that we calculated importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. SeAH did not report actual entered value for all of its U.S. sales such that we calculated entered value and determined the importer-specific ad valorem assessment rates as described above for NEXTEEL. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate equal to each company's weighted-average dumping margin identified above. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.¹¹

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin that is established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, de minimis within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash

deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, including the company for Commerce has determined had no shipments in these final results, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the cash deposit rate established for the most recently completed segment for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 4.38 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹² These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

 $^{^{\}rm 10}\,\rm This$ rate is based on the weighted-average of the margins calculated for those companies selected for individual review using the publicly-ranged U.S. quantities. Because we cannot apply our normal methodology of calculating a weightedaverage margin due to requests to protect business proprietary information, we find this rate to be the best proxy of the actual weighted-average margin determined for the mandatory respondents. See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010); see also Memorandum, "Calculation of the Review-Specific Average Rate for the Preliminary Results," dated concurrently with this notice.

¹¹ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

¹² See Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders, 80 FR 75056, 75057 (December 1, 2015).

Dated: June 7, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the IDM

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Margin Calculations

IV. Discussion of the Issues

General Issues:

Comment 1: Lawfulness of Commerce's Interpretation of the Particular Market Situation (PMS) Provision

Comment 2: Evidence of a PMS

Comment 3: PMS Adjustment

Comment 4: Source for Constructed Value (CV) Selling Expenses and Profit NEXTEEL-Specific Issues:

Comment 5: NEXTEEL's Affiliation With

POSCO Comment 6: Importer-Specific Assessment

Rate for NEXTEEL/PÔSCO Comment 7: Major Input Analysis for NEXTEEL

Comment 8: Non-Prime Costs for NEXTEEL Comment 9: Suspended Production Loss for NEXTEEL

SeAH-Specific Issues:

Comment 10: Canada as Comparison Market for SeAH

Comment 11: Capping of Freight Revenue for SeAH

Comment 12: Application of Quarterly Costs to SeAH

Comment 13: Adjustment for General and Administrative (G&A) Expenses for SeAH's U.S. Affiliates

V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-12605 Filed 6-13-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-887, A-583-865, A-570-104]

Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod From India, Taiwan, and the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable June 14, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Annathea Cook at (202) 482–0250 (India); Nicholas Czajkowski at (202) 482–1395 (Taiwan); Joshua Poole at (202) 482–1293 (the People's Republic of China (China)); AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 13, 2019, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigations of imports of carbon and alloy steel threaded rod from India, Taiwan, Thailand, and China. The preliminary determinations are currently due no later than July 31, 2019.

Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

Section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires Commerce to issue the preliminary determination in an LTFV investigation within 140 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation. However, section 733(c)(1) of the Act permits Commerce to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 190 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation if: (A) The petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) Commerce concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating and determines that (i) the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that (ii) additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the

On March 29, 2019, the petitioner ² submitted timely requests that Commerce postpone the preliminary determinations in these LTFV investigations.³ The petitioner stated that it requests postponement to allow Commerce time to issue and review questionnaire responses and to identify any deficiencies in those responses.⁴ On May 10, 2019, the petitioner withdrew its request to postpone the preliminary determination for the Thailand

investigation.⁵ On May 15, 2019, the petitioner withdrew its request to postpone the preliminary determination for the Taiwan investigation.⁶ On May 23, 2019, the petitioner renewed its request postpone the preliminary determination for the Taiwan investigation.⁷ Thus, petitioner has submitted timely requests that Commerce postpone the preliminary determinations in the India, Taiwan, and China LTFV investigations.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner has stated the reasons for requesting the postponement of the preliminary determinations, and Commerce finds no compelling reason to deny the requests. Therefore, Commerce is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determinations by 50 days, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act. As a result, Commerce will issue its preliminary determinations no later than September 19, 2019. In accordance with section 735(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determinations of these investigations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: June 10, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2019–12604 Filed 6–13–19; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-010]

Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017– 2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 6, 2019, the Department of Commerce (Commerce)

¹ See Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from India, Taiwan, Thailand, and the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations, 84 FR 10034 (March 19, 2019).

² The petitioner is Vulcan Threaded Products Inc.

³ See Letters from the petitioner, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from India: Request to Extend Preliminary Determination Deadline", dated March 29, 2019, and "Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from Taiwan: Request to Extend Preliminary Determination Deadline", dated March 29, 2019, and "Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from Thailand: Request to Extend Preliminary Determination Deadline", dated March 29, 2019, and "Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from China: Request to Extend Preliminary Determination Deadline", dated March 29, 2019.

⁴ Id.

⁵ See Letter from the petitioner, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from Thailand: Withdrawal of Request to Extend Preliminary Determination Deadline", dated May 10, 2019.

⁶ See Letter from the petitioner, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from Taiwan: Withdrawal of Request to Extend Preliminary Determination Deadline", dated May 15, 2019.

⁷ See Letter from the petitioner, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from Taiwan: Renewed Request to Extend Preliminary Determination Deadline", dated May 23, 2019.