

FIA further pointed out that “the tragic events of September 11, 2001 have severely strained the systems, personnel and related operational resources of the firms, exchanges and clearing organizations that provide the infrastructure for the U.S. capital markets.”<sup>3</sup>

The Commissions are extending the comment periods for the proposed rules until December 5, 2001, even if, as noted by Chairmen Oxley and Combest, granting such extension would mean that security futures would not commence trading on other than a principal-to-principal basis between eligible contract participants until after December 21, 2001, because they believe that an additional 30-day comment period is appropriate for the reasons described above and will facilitate more meaningful comments from the public.

By the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

Dated: October 25, 2001.

**Jean A. Webb,**  
Secretary.

By the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Dated: October 29, 2000.

**Jonathan G. Katz,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–27523 Filed 11–1–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 918

[SPATS No. LA–022–FOR]

#### Louisiana Regulatory Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

<sup>3</sup> See letters to The Honorable Paul S. Sarbanes, Chairman, Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, The Honorable Michael G. Oxley, Chairman, House Committee on Financial Services, and The Honorable Larry Combest, Chairman, House Committee on Agriculture, from the SIA and FIA, dated October 10, 2001 (attached to the SIA/FIA Extension Request). The Commissions also note that the House Committees on Financial Services and Agriculture support an extension of the public comment period by joint letter to Chairmen Newsome and Pitt. See letter to The Honorable James E. Newsome, Chairman, CFTC, and The Honorable Harvey Pitt, Chairman, SEC, from The Honorable Michael G. Oxley, Chairman, House Committee on Financial Services, and The Honorable Larry Combest, Chairman, House Committee on Agriculture, dated October 15, 2001.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Louisiana regulatory program (Louisiana program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA or the Act). Louisiana proposes additions of regulations concerning revegetation success standards for post-mining land uses of pastureland and wildlife habitat. Louisiana also proposes to add to its program a policy document that describes the criteria and procedures for determining reclamation phase III ground cover and stocking success for areas developed for wildlife habitat. Louisiana intends to revise its program to be consistent with the corresponding Federal regulations.

This document gives the times and locations that the Louisiana program and the proposed amendment to that program are available for your inspection, the comment period during which you may submit written comments on the amendment, and the procedures that we will follow for the public hearing, if one is requested.

**DATES:** We will accept written comments until 4 p.m., c.s.t., December 3, 2001. If requested, we will hold a public hearing on the amendment on November 27, 2001. We will accept requests to speak at the hearing until 4 p.m., c.s.t., on November 19, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** You should mail or hand deliver written comments and requests to speak at the hearing to Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office, at the address listed below.

You may review copies of the Louisiana program, the amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. You may receive one free copy of the amendment by contacting OSM's Tulsa Field Office.

Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office, Office of Surface Mining, 5100 East Skelly Drive, Suite 470, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74135–6547, Telephone: (918) 581–6430.

Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Office of Conservation, Injection and Mining Division, 625 N. 4th Street, P.O. Box 94275, Baton Rouge, LA 70804, Telephone: (225) 342–5540.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office. Telephone: (918) 581–6430. Internet: [mwolfrom@osmre.gov](mailto:mwolfrom@osmre.gov).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background on the Louisiana Program

Section 503(a) of the Act permits a State to assume primacy for the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations on non-Federal and non-Indian lands within its borders by demonstrating that its program includes, among other things, “\* \* \* a State law which provides for the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations in accordance with the requirements of the Act \* \* \*; and rules and regulations consistent with regulations issued by the Secretary pursuant to the Act.” See 30 U.S.C. 1253(a)(1) and (7). On the basis of this criteria, the Secretary of the Interior approved the Louisiana program on October 10, 1980. You can find background information on the Louisiana program, including the Secretary's findings and the disposition of comments in the October 10, 1980, **Federal Register** (45 FR 67340). You can find later actions concerning the Louisiana program at 30 CFR 918.15 and 918.16.

### II. Description of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated October 2, 2001 (Administrative Record No. LA–367), Louisiana sent us an amendment to its program under SMCRA and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 732.17(b). Louisiana sent the amendment in response to our letters dated March 24, 1999, and August 16, 2000 (Administrative Record Nos. LA–365 and LA–365.01, respectively), that we sent to Louisiana under 30 CFR 732.17(c). Louisiana proposes to amend the Louisiana Surface Mining Regulations. Below is a summary of the changes proposed by Louisiana. The full text of the program amendment is available for your inspection at the locations listed above under **ADDRESSES**.

#### A. Section 5423. Revegetation: Standards for Success

1. Louisiana proposes to add new paragraph e. to subsection B.1. of its regulations to read as follows:

e. The criteria and procedures for determining ground cover and production success are found at § 5424.

2. Louisiana proposes to add new paragraph a. to subsection B.8. of its regulations to read as follows:

a. The criteria and procedures for determining ground cover and stocking success are found at § 5425.

*B. Section 5424. Revegetation: Standards for Success—Post-Mining Land Use of Pastureland*

Louisiana proposes to add a new section to its regulations that describes the criteria and procedures for determining ground cover and production success for areas being restored to pastureland.

*C. Section 5425. Revegetation: Standards for Success—Post-Mining Land Use of Wildlife Habitat*

Louisiana proposes to add a new section to its regulations that describes the criteria and procedures for determining ground cover and stocking success for areas developed for wildlife habitat.

*D. Reclamation Phase III Revegetation Success Standards for Post-Mining Land Use of Wildlife Habitat*

Louisiana proposes to add a policy document to its program that describes the criteria and procedures for determining reclamation phase III ground cover and stocking success for areas developed for wildlife habitat.

### III. Public Comment Procedures

Under the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), we are seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If we approve the amendment, it will become part of the Louisiana program.

**Written Comments:** If you submit written or electronic comments on the proposed rule during the 30-day comment period, they should be specific, should be confined to issues pertinent to the notice, and should explain the reason for your recommendation(s). We may not be able to consider or include in the Administrative Record comments delivered to an address other than the one listed above (see **ADDRESSES**).

**Electronic Comments:** Please submit Internet comments as an ASCII, WordPerfect, or Word file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Please also include "Attn: SPATS NO. LA-022-FOR" and your name and return address in your Internet message. If you do not receive a confirmation that we have received your Internet message, contact the Tulsa Field Office at (918) 581-6430.

**Availability of Comments:** Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours at OSM's Tulsa Field Office (see **ADDRESSES**). Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from

the administrative record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the administrative record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

**Public Hearing:** If you wish to speak at the public hearing, contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by 4 p.m., c.s.t. on November 19, 2001. We will arrange the location and time of the hearing with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to speak at the public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

To assist the transcriber and ensure an accurate record, we request, if possible, that each person who speaks at a public hearing provide us with a written copy of his or her testimony. The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to speak have been heard. If you are in the audience and have not been scheduled to speak and wish to do so, you will be allowed to speak after those who have been scheduled. We will end the hearing after all persons scheduled to speak and persons present in the audience who wish to speak have been heard.

If you are disabled and need a special accommodation to attend a public hearing, contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

**Public Meeting:** If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. If you wish to meet with us to discuss the proposed amendment, you may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. All such meetings are open to the public and, if possible, we will post notices of meetings at the locations listed under **ADDRESSES**. We will also make a written summary of each meeting a part of the Administrative Record.

### IV. Procedural Determinations

*Executive Order 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review*

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866.

*Executive Order 12630—Takings*

This rule does not have takings implications. This determination is based on the analysis performed for the counterpart Federal regulations.

*Executive Order 13132—Federalism*

This rule does not have federalism implications. SMCRA delineates the roles of the Federal and State governments with regard to the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations. One of the purposes of SMCRA is to "establish a nationwide program to protect society and the environment from the adverse effects of surface coal mining operations." Section 503(a)(1) of SMCRA requires that State laws regulating surface coal mining and reclamation operations be "in accordance with" the requirements of SMCRA, and section 503(a)(7) requires that State programs contain rules and regulations "consistent with" regulations issued by the Secretary under SMCRA.

*Executive Order 12988—Civil Justice Reform*

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments because each program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

*Executive Order 13211—Regulations That Significantly Affect The Supply, Distribution, or Use of Energy*

On May 18, 2001, the President issued Executive Order 13211 which requires agencies to prepare a Statement of Energy Effects for a rule that is (1) considered significant under Executive Order 12866 and (2) likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. Because this rule is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866 and is not expected to have a significant adverse

effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy, a Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

#### *National Environmental Policy Act*

Section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that a decision on a proposed State regulatory program provision does not constitute a major Federal action within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)). A determination has been made that such decisions are categorically excluded from the NEPA process (516 DM 8.4.A).

#### *Paperwork Reduction Act*

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

#### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

#### *Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act*

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule:

- a. Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million.
  - b. Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, federal, state, or local government agencies, or geographic regions.
  - c. Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S. based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.
- This determination is based upon the fact that the State submittal which is the

subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation was not considered a major rule.

#### *Unfunded Mandates*

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

#### **List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 918**

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: October 16, 2001.

**Ervin J. Barchenger,**

*Acting Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.*

[FR Doc. 01-27544 Filed 11-1-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-05-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement**

#### **30 CFR Part 924**

**[SPATS No. MS-017-FOR]**

#### **Mississippi Regulatory Program**

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Mississippi regulatory program (Mississippi program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA or the Act). Mississippi proposes revisions to and additions of regulations concerning valid existing rights; roads; formal review of citations; and revegetation success standards. Mississippi intends to revise its program to be consistent with the corresponding Federal regulations and to improve operational efficiency.

This document gives the times and locations that the Mississippi program and the proposed amendment to that program are available for your inspection, the comment period during which you may submit written comments on the amendment, and the procedures that we will follow for the public hearing, if one is requested.

**DATES:** We will accept written comments until 4 p.m., c.s.t., December 3, 2001. If requested, we will hold a public hearing on the amendment on

November 27, 2001. We will accept requests to speak at the hearing until 4 p.m., c.s.t. on November 19, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** You should mail or hand deliver written comments and requests to speak at the hearing to Arthur W. Abbs, Director, Birmingham Field Office, at the address listed below.

You may review copies of the Mississippi program, the amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. You may receive one free copy of the amendment by contacting OSM's Birmingham Field Office.

Arthur W. Abbs, Director,  
Birmingham Field Office, Office of  
Surface Mining, 135 Gemini Circle,  
Suite 215, Homewood, Alabama 35209,  
Telephone: (205) 290-7282.

Department of Environmental Quality,  
Office of Geology, 2380 Highway 80  
West, P.O. Box 20307, Jackson,  
Mississippi 39289-1307, Telephone:  
(601) 961-5500.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Arthur W. Abbs, Director, Birmingham  
Field Office. Telephone: (205) 290-  
7282. Internet: [aabbs@osmre.gov](mailto:aabbs@osmre.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **I. Background on the Mississippi Program**

Section 503(a) of the Act permits a State to assume primacy for the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations on non-Federal and non-Indian lands within its borders by demonstrating that its State program includes, among other things, “. . . a State law which provides for the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations in accordance with the requirements of this Act . . .; and rules and regulations consistent with regulations issued by the Secretary pursuant to this Act.” See 30 U.S.C. 1253(a)(1) and (7). On the basis of these criteria, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Mississippi program on September 4, 1980. You can find background information on the Mississippi program, including the Secretary's findings and the disposition of comments, in the September 4, 1980, **Federal Register** (45 FR 58520). You can find later actions on the program at 30 CFR 924.10, 924.15, 924.16, and 924.17.

#### **II. Description of the Proposed Amendment**

By letter dated September 28, 2001 (Administrative Record No. MS-0388), Mississippi sent us an amendment to its