

prepare notations of transactions² (requiring one hour each), and 7.4 responses³ per fund for fund personnel to assist the independent public accountants when they perform unscheduled verifications (requiring 10 burden hours each). Thus, the total hour burden per fund is estimated to 163.2 hours⁴ Commission staff estimates that each fund therefore spends approximately .2 burden hours of professional time at \$60 per hour annually in drafting resolutions by directors (.2 x \$60 = \$12), 89 hours⁵ of professional time at \$60 per hour annually in preparing transaction notations (89 x \$60 = \$5,340), and 74 hours⁶ of clerical time at \$16 per hour annually in assisting independent public accountants perform unscheduled verifications of assets (74 x \$16 = \$1,184).⁷ The total annual burden of rule 17f-2's paperwork requirements thus is estimated to be approximately 22,032 hours⁸ at an annual cost of \$882,360.⁹

The estimate of average burden hours is made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, and is not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms. Complying with the collection of information requirements of the rule is mandatory for those funds that maintain custody of their own assets. The information provided to the Commission by the fund's independent public accountants about each verification of the fund's assets will not

be kept confidential. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Kenneth A. Fogash, Acting Associate Executive Director/CIO, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: March 25, 2003.

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-7843 Filed 4-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

AR Associates, Inc. d/b/a Greenwave, Inc.; Order of Suspension of Trading

March 31, 2003.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of AR Associates, Inc. d/b/a GreenWave, Inc. ("ARAI"), a company with its principal place of business in Calgary, Alberta, Canada. Questions have been raised about the adequacy and accuracy of publicly disseminated information concerning, among other things, the identity of the persons in control of the common stock issued by ARAI.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of ARAI.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of AR Associates, Inc. is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m.

EST, March 31, 2003, through 11:59 p.m. April 11, 2003.

By the Commission.

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-8107 Filed 3-31-03; 2:06 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-47577; File No. SR-PCX-2003-03]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Pacific Exchange, Inc. To Amend the Regulatory Fees Portion of Its Schedule of Fees and Charges To Add a Designated Options Examining Authority Fee for Member Firms That Conduct a Public Options Business

March 26, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on February 3, 2003, the Pacific Exchange, Inc. ("PCX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. On February 28, 2003, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ On March 24, 2003, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The PCX is proposing to amend the regulatory fees portion of its Schedule of Fees and Charges to add a Designated Options Examining Authority ("DOEA") fee for member firms that conduct a public options business.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Amendment No. 1 replaced the original Form 19b-4 in its entirety.

⁴ See letter from Tania J. Cho, Attorney, Regulatory Policy, PCX, to Katherine England, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, dated March 21, 2003. Amendment No. 2 made non-substantive, editorial changes to the proposed rule text to clarify application of the new fee.

² This number results from 24 responses per portfolio multiplied by 3.7 portfolios in the average fund (24 x 3.7 = 88.8).

³ This number results from 2 unscheduled verifications per portfolio multiplied by 3.7 portfolios in the average fund (2 x 3.7 = 7.4 responses per fund).

⁴ (1 response x .2 burden hours) + (89 responses x 1 burden hour) + (7.4 responses x 10 burden hours) = 163.2 burden hours.

⁵ 89 transaction notations per fund x 1 hour = 89 hours.

⁶ 7.4 verifications per fund x 10 hours = 74 hours.

⁷ Each of these hour burden estimates is based upon conversations with attorneys and accountants familiar with the information collection requirements of the rule. Commission staff relied upon the Securities Industry Association, Report on Management and Professional Earnings in the Securities Industry (2002) to determine the hourly wage rates used in the calculation of this estimate. Professional time is based on the estimated average wage for associate and general counsel in the securities industry.

⁸ 163.2 hours per fund x 135 funds = 22,032 total annual burden.

⁹ (\$12 (for drafting resolutions) + \$5,340 (for transaction notations) + \$1,184 (for unscheduled verifications)) x 135 funds = \$882,360. The annual burden for rule 17f-2 does not include time spent preparing Form N-17f-2. The burden for Form N-17f-2 is included in a separate collection of information.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(1) Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to make the following modification to its Schedule of Fees and Charges in order to recover costs associated with conducting options sales practice examinations of its member firms that conduct public options business. The current regulatory fees schedule includes a Designated Examining Authority ("DEA") fee of \$2000 per month for each member organization for which the Exchange is the DEA. Due to recent developments in DOEA examinations, the Exchange proposes to add a \$2000 per month DOEA fee⁵ to apply to firms that conduct a public options business. The new fee would be applicable only to members and member firms for which the Exchange is the DOEA.

In 1983, the Options Self-Regulatory Council ("OSRC") submitted to the SEC an agreement allocating regulatory responsibilities with respect to common members. The purpose of the agreement was to reduce regulatory responsibility duplication for options-related sales practice matters and to designate the following self-regulatory organizations ("SROs") as DOEAs: The American Stock Exchange, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, NASD, and the New York Stock Exchange. Since establishing this agreement, the DOEAs have been conducting options sales practice examinations on behalf of applicable participants. Due to the increase in costs associated with conducting such examinations, the OSRC has proposed a means to allow for an allocation of

regulatory costs incurred in fulfilling obligations under the agreement among all current and future DOEA and non-DOEA participants. As such, the OSRC has proposed to allocate a portion of the costs borne by the SROs based on the percentage of their overall expense pool.

As an alternative, the Exchange proposes to develop an examination program to review member firms that conduct public options business in-house. As a newly designated DOEA,⁶ the Exchange would develop an examination program to review options sales practices as they relate to the public (e.g., advertising, sales literature, risk disclosures, approval of new accounts and risk tolerances for individuals) for member firms that are assigned to it by the OSRC. By conducting the options sales practice examinations in-house, the Exchange would be able to pass these expenses directly to the firms that require an examination. In the absence of any PCX initiative, the Exchange will be allocated a certain portion of the DOEA costs borne by other SROs, which would increase the Exchange's overhead without cost recovery. Thus, the Exchange's proposal for in-house examinations would allow for recovery of the regulatory costs in a fair and equitable manner.

(2) Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)⁷ of the Act in general and section 6(b)(4)⁸ of the Act, in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its members.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments on the proposed rule change were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change, which establishes or changes a due, fee or other charge imposed by the Exchange, has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)⁹ of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹⁰ At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in the furtherance of the purposes of the Act. For purposes of calculating the 60-day abrogation period, the Commission considers the proposed rule change to have been filed on February 28, 2003, when Amendment No. 1 was filed.¹¹

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the PCX. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-PCX-2003-03 and should be submitted by April 23, 2003.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-7935 Filed 4-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

⁵ To avoid duplicative billing, the DOEA fee charged to a member firm that conducts public options business will not apply if the Exchange is the DEA for such member firm.

⁶ The Exchange has been designated as a DOEA as of January 1, 2003.

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

¹¹ See notes 3 and 4, *supra*.

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).