

Respondent	CFR citation	Activity	Estimated annual number respondent	Responses annually per respondent	Total annual responses	Estimated avg. number of hours per response annually	Estimated annual total hours
Grand Total Reporting Burden with both Affect Public.		.....	2,961,887	2	7,071,824	0.0232	163,970

This information is currently being collected without OMB approval.

**Cynthia Long,**

*Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.*

[FR Doc. 2021–23600 Filed 10–28–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–30–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Foreign Agricultural Service

#### Fiscal Year 2021 Raw Cane Sugar Tariff-Rate Quota Extension of the Entry Period

**AGENCY:** Foreign Agricultural Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Foreign Agricultural Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture is providing notice of an extension of the tariff-rate quota (TRQ) entry period for the fiscal year (FY) 2021 raw cane sugar TRQ.

**DATES:** *Applicable:* October 29, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Souleymane Diaby, Multilateral Affairs Division, Trade Policy and Geographic Affairs, Foreign Agricultural Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Stop 1070, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250–1070; by telephone (202) 720–2916; or by email [Souleymane.Diaby@usda.gov](mailto:Souleymane.Diaby@usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Foreign Agricultural Service announces that all sugar entering the United States under the FY 2021 raw sugar TRQ will be permitted to enter U.S. Customs territory through December 31, 2021, two months later than the previous extension of October 31. The Department previously announced on August 24, 2021, that sugar entering under the FY 2021 raw sugar TRQ will be permitted to enter U.S. Customs territory through October 31, 2021, a month later than the usual last entry date. Additional U.S. Note 5(a)(iv) of Chapter 17 of the U.S. Harmonized Tariff Schedule provides: “(iv) Sugar entering the United States during a quota period established under this note may be charged to the previous or subsequent quota period with the written approval of the Secretary.” The Secretary’s authority under paragraph

(a)(iv) of Additional U.S. Note 5 has been delegated to the Under Secretary for Trade and Foreign Agricultural Affairs (7 CFR 2.26). The Under Secretary has subsequently delegated this authority to the Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service (7 CFR 2.601).

These actions are being taken after a determination that additional supplies of raw cane sugar are required in the U.S. market. USDA will closely monitor stocks, consumption, imports and all sugar market and program variables on an ongoing basis.

**Daniel Whitley,**

*Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service.*

[FR Doc. 2021–23679 Filed 10–27–21; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–10–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Final Record of Decision for the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest Land Management Plan

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of plan approval for the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest.

**SUMMARY:** William Avey, the Forest Supervisor for the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest (referred to as the Forest), Northern Region, signed the record of decision (ROD) for the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest Land Management Plan (Forest Plan). The final ROD documents the rationale for approving the Land Management Plan and is consistent with the reviewing officer’s responses to objections and instructions.

**DATES:** The revised Land Management Plan for the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest will become effective 30 days after the publication of this notice of approval in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** To view the final ROD, final environmental impact statement (FEIS), Land Management Plan, and other related documents, please visit the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest Plan Revision website at: [www.fs.usda.gov/goto/hlc/forestplanrevision](http://www.fs.usda.gov/goto/hlc/forestplanrevision). A legal notice of approval is also being published in the

Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest’s newspaper of record, Helena Independent Record. A copy of this legal notice will be posted on the website listed above.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deborah Entwistle, weekdays, 8:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. Mountain Daylight Time, at 406–449–5201. Written requests for information may be sent to Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest, Attn: Plan Revision, 2880 Skyway Drive, Helena, MT 59601.

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the hearing-impaired (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Land Management Plan describes the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest’s distinctive roles and contributions within the broader landscape and details forest wide and geographic area desired conditions, objectives, standards, and guidelines. It identifies suitable uses of National Forest System lands and provides estimates of the planned timber sale quantity. The Land Management Plan identifies priority watersheds for restoration and includes recommended wilderness areas and eligible wild and scenic rivers. This Land Management Plan provides for efficient and effective management of the Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest with desired conditions for coordination, partnerships, and shared stewardship with State, local, and Tribal governments, other federal agencies, adjacent landowners, and stakeholders. The development of the Land Management Plan was shaped by the best available scientific information, current laws, and public input.

The Helena-Lewis and Clark National Forest initiated plan revision in spring 2014 with open houses held at multiple locations across the planning area. The Forest invited State, local and Tribal governments, and other federal agencies from around the region to participate in the process to revise the Land Management Plan. An interagency working group met regularly throughout the plan revision effort. After two years of public engagement, the Forest