RUS SPECIFICATIONS FOR PRIMARY UNDERGROUND POWER CABLE—Continued [Summary of proposed changes]

Existing section Bulletin 50–70 (U– 1) (old numbering system)	Proposed new location Bulletin 1728F–U1 (new numbering system)	Action taken	Proposed content change
10.6	11.e	Added	Adds provision that certified copies of all tests results performed pursuant to this renumbered section 11 shall be provided on request of the borrower for all orders.
11.1	12.a	Modified	Clarifies with greater specificity markings that are considered suitable on the outer surface of the jacket.
11.2	12.b	Modified	Adds requirement that each end of the cable shall be firmly and properly secured to the reel.
N/A	12.c	Added	Adds requirement that reels are to be covered with a suitable covering to help protect the cable.
11.3	12.d	No substantive change.	Provides that the beginning and ending sequential footage numbers are to be included on the label regardless of whether they are marked on the cable jacket.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1728

Electric power, Loan programs—energy, Rural areas.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 7 CFR part 1728 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 1728—ELECTRIC STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION

1. The authority citation for part 1728 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 901 *et seq.*, 7 U.S.C. 1921 *et seq.*; 7 U.S.C. 6941 *et seq.*

2. Section 1728.97(b) is amended by removing the entry for RUS Bulletin 50–70, and adding to the list of bulletins, in numerical order, the entry for Bulletin 1728F–U1 to read as follows:

§ 1729.97 Incorporation by reference of electric standards and specifications.

(b) List of bulletins.

Bulletin 1728F–U1, Specifications for Primary Underground Power Cable, [Insert month and year of effective date of final rule].

Dated: August 23, 2007.

James M. Andrew,

Administrator, Rural Utilities Service. [FR Doc. E7–17194 Filed 8–29–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–15–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R01-OAR-2007-0373; A-1-FRL-8461-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Connecticut; Establishment of Interim Progress for the Fine Particle National Ambient Air Quality Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Connecticut. This revision proposes to establish early fine particulate (PM_{2.5}) transportation conformity emission budgets for the Connecticut portion of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY–NJ–CT PM_{2.5} nonattainment area. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before October 1, 2007. **ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R01-OAR-2007-0373 by one of the following methods:

- 1. http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
 - $2. {\it E-mail: arnold.anne@epa.gov.}$
 - 3. Fax: (617) 918-0047.
- 4. Mail: "EPA-R01-OAR-2007-0373", Anne Arnold, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (mail code CAQ), Boston, MA 02114-2023.

5. *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Deliver your comments to: Anne Arnold,

Manager, Air Quality Planning Unit, Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, One Congress Street, 11th floor, (CAQ), Boston, MA 02114–2023. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding legal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald O. Cooke, Air Quality Planning Unit, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CAQ), Boston, MA 02114–2023, telephone number (617) 918–1668, fax number (617) 918–0668, e-mail cooke.donald@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting

on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 20, 2007.

Robert W. Varney,

Regional Administrator, EPA New England. [FR Doc. E7–17002 Filed 8–29–07; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 20

[WT Docket No. 05-265, FCC No. 07-143]

Reexamination of Roaming Obligations of Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In this Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) seeks comment on whether it should extend the automatic roaming obligation of commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) carriers to non-interconnected services or features, including services that have been classified as information services, such as wireless broadband Internet access service, or other non-CMRS services. The FCC further seeks comment on the implications of extending the automatic roaming obligation in this manner.

October 29, 2007 and reply comments are due on or before November 28, 2007.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by WT Docket No. 05–265, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Federal Communications Commission's Web Site: http:// www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *E-mail:* Include the docket number in the subject line of the message.
- People With Disabilities: Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by e-mail: FCC504@fcc.gov

or phone: 202–418–0530 or TTY: 202–418–0432.

For detailed instructions for submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christina Clearwater at (202) 418–1893, Christina.Clearwater@fcc.gov, Spectrum and Competition Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau; Won Kim at (202) 418–1368, Won.Kim@fcc.gov, Spectrum and Competition Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM), WT Docket No. 05-265, FCC No. 07-143, adopted August 7, 2007 and released August 16, 2007. The full text of the FNPRM is available for public inspection on the Commission's Internet site at http://www.fcc.gov. It is also available for inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The full text of this document also may be purchased from the Commission's duplication contractor, Best Copy and Printing Inc., Portals II, 445 12th St., SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554; telephone (202) 488-5300; fax (202) 488-5563; e-mail FCC@BCPIWEB.COM.

Initial Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis

This document does not contain an information collection subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, and therefore does not contain any new or modified "information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002.

Synopsis

- 1. In the FNPRM, the FCC seeks comment on whether it should extend the automatic roaming obligation of CRMS carriers to non-interconnected services or features, including services that have been classified as information services, such as wireless broadband Internet access service, or other non-interconnected non-CMRS services offered by CMRS carriers, and on the legal and policy basis for doing so. The FCC further seeks comment on the implications of extending the automatic roaming obligation in this manner.
- 2. To what extent, if any, would requiring roaming access to noninterconnected services and features

undermine carriers' incentive to innovate or invest in mobile wireless broadband network facilities? Would the potential for undermining innovation be mitigated by conditioning roaming access to non-interconnected services and features, as the Commission has, for example, with push-to-talk and SMS? Namely, should the Commission require that the requesting carrier must offer the requested service or feature to its subscribers on its own home network; that roaming must be technically feasible; and any changes to the wouldbe host carrier's network that are necessary to accommodate roaming requests extending to these services and features must be economically reasonable?

3. If the Commission were to extend automatic roaming obligations to noninterconnected services and features, are there any special issues (technical, economic, or otherwise) associated with roaming data networks that may not exist when roaming among CMRS carriers' interconnected voice networks? For example, are there any issues regarding network capacity, network integrity, or network security? The Commission seeks comment on the effect that automatic roaming would have on the capacity of data networks and the ability of carriers to offer full access to their own customers. The Commission would be concerned if requiring a carrier to offer roaming service on its data network to the customers of other carriers resulted in the carrier facing capacity constraints that adversely affect its own customers. The Commission therefore asks whether a carrier should have the right to limit access to its network by roamers, and what parameters should be considered as justification for such limits. The Commission invites commenters to suggest specific standards for determining when the requirement should or should not apply.

4. If the Commission were to extend automatic roaming obligations to non-interconnected services and features, should all such services and features be included? Are there any public interest reasons to treat narrowband and broadband data services differently in the context of automatic roaming? In the Wireless Broadband Classification Order,¹ the Commission determined that mobile wireless broadband Internet access service is an information service, and that it is not CMRS. If the

¹ See generally, Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for Broadband Access to the Internet Over Wireless Networks, Declaratory Ruling, 22 FCC Rcd 5901 (2007).