

observation by a program representative is used to collect the data when the individual does not self-identify. In either case the information is recorded on the application form and entered into the agency's information system. The Federal reporting forms do not identify individual participants. Local agencies use the two forms referenced above (*i.e.*, the FNS-191 and FNS-101) to report data on the Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP), the Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR), and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) to FNS as explained below. FNS' data collection requirement for operators is found in the regulations for the CSFP at 7 CFR 247.29(b), and for the SNAP at 7 CFR 272.6(g); the requirement for the FDPIR is found in FNS Handbook 501.

Affected Public: State, Local and Tribal agencies. All State or local agencies must submit the appropriate form in order to receive Federal

assistance and comply with applicable legislation. If a State or local agency does not comply voluntarily, the State or local agency is subject to fund termination, suspension, or denial, or to judicial action. Respondent groups identified include: (1) CSFP local agencies complete the FNS-191 (FNS requires local agencies to provide annually the actual number and racial/ethnic designations of participants who receive CSFP benefits during the month of April); and (2) SNAP and FDPIR State, local or Tribal agencies complete the FNS-101 (FNS requires State, local or Tribal agencies to report annually the actual number of participants who receive FDPIR and/or SNAP benefits in the month of July).

Estimated Number of Respondents: The total estimated number of respondents is 2,927. This includes: 192 for local CSFP agencies, 134 local FDPIR agencies; and 2,601 for SNAP local agencies.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: The local CSFP agencies

respond to the FNS-191 form once a year, both the FDPIR and SNAP local agencies respond to the FNS-101 once a year.

Estimated Total Annual Responses: 2,927 responses.

Estimated Time per Response:

Form FNS-191: The local CSFP agencies submit Form FNS-191 at an estimate of 2 hours per respondent (including respondent time of 1.92 and an additional recordkeeping burden of .08 hours per respondent for maintaining the response) or 384 total hours.

Form-FNS 101: The local FDPIR and SNAP agencies submit Form FNS-101 at an estimate of 2 hours per respondent (including respondent time of 1.92 and an additional burden of .08 hours per respondent for maintaining the responses) or 5,470 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 5,854 hours. See the table below for estimated total annual burden for each type of respondent.

Respondent	Estimated number of respondents	Responses annually per respondent	Total annual responses (Col. bxc)	Estimated avg. number of hours per response	Estimated total hours (Col. dxe)
Reporting Burden					
SNAP local agencies, FNS 101	2,601	1.00	2,601.00	2	5,202
FDPIR local agencies, FNS 101	134	1.00	134.00	2	268
CSFP local agencies, FNS 191	192	1.00	192.00	2	384
Total	2,927	1.00	2,927	6	5,854

Dated: February 3, 2012.

Jeffrey J. Tribiano,

Acting Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2012-2960 Filed 2-8-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request—National School Lunch Program and School Breakfast Program Access, Participation, Eligibility, and Certification Study II (APEC-II Study)

AGENCY: Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on the

proposed information collection for the APEC-II Study.

DATES: Written comments must be received by April 9, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate, automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments may be sent to: Steven Carlson, Office of Research and Analysis, Food and Nutrition Service,

USDA, 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1014, Alexandria, VA 22302. Comments may also be submitted via fax to the attention of Steven Carlson at 703-305-2576 or via email to Steve.Carlson@fns.usda.gov. Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically.

All written comments will be open for public inspection at the Office of Research and Analysis, Food and Nutrition Service during regular business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday) at 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1014, Alexandria, Virginia 22302.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will be a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of this information collection

should be directed to Steven Carlson at 703-305-2017.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: National School Lunch Program and School Breakfast Program Access, Participation, Eligibility, and Certification Study II (APEC-II Study).

OMB Number: 0584-0530.

Expiration Date: Not yet determined.

Type of Request: Reinstatement.

Abstract: The Improper Payments Information Act of 2002, Public Law 107-300, requires the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) to identify and reduce erroneous payments in various programs, including the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and School Breakfast Program (SBP). An OMB directive, issued May 21, 2003, states that an annual erroneous payment estimate is the gross (not net) total of both overpayments and underpayments, *i.e.*, the sum of the absolute value of overpayments and underpayments. To comply with the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002, USDA needs a reliable measure to estimate NSLP and SBP erroneous payments on an annual basis. The Access, Participation, Eligibility, and Certification (APEC) study was conducted by FNS under OMB Number 0584-0530, expired August 31, 2008, and provided the first reliable national estimates of erroneous payments for the NSLP and SBP. Information was collected during the 2005-06 school year and results were released in November 2007.

USDA is seeking to renew OMB Clearance 0584-0530 granted on 08/2005 for The Access, Participation, Eligibility, and Certification (APEC) study. The new study will produce national estimates of overpayment, underpayment and overall erroneous payments made under the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and the School Breakfast Program (SBP). The study will measure certification error and meal counting and claiming error

for School Year (SY) 2012-2013; and develop and validate estimation models to be used by USDA's FNS staff for updating the erroneous payment estimate annually with NSLP and SBP administrative records and extant data (*i.e.* without extensive collection of new data between national studies). Models are being developed because it would be cost prohibitive to conduct a large nationally representative data collection effort to measure improper payments comprehensively on an annual basis. The development of an estimation model to produce updated annual estimates using available extant data is a pragmatic approach to meeting the intent of the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002. In addition, this study will produce separate estimates of erroneous payments for Local Education Agencies participating in the Community Eligibility Option and will examine alternatives to develop estimates of erroneous payment estimates at the State level. Data collected from school districts and households will be used to produce estimates of erroneous payments in at least one state and to create and validate estimation models for generating annual estimates of erroneous payments at the State level.

In School Year 2012-2013, on-site data collection activities will be conducted in a nationally representative sample of school districts and schools across the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia. Data to be collected will include school administrative records, household income from parents/guardians, direct observation of school meal transactions and other information that will inform this study. OMB approval will be requested for the data collection instruments to be used for the APEC-II Study.

Affected Public: State Agencies (School district staff, school staff) and Individual/households.

Respondent Type: Staff in school districts and schools participating in the National School Lunch Program and the School Breakfast Program; student households certified for or having applied for free or reduced price meals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 8,075: Sample members are estimated to include: (a) 176 School Food Service Directors (the total estimated number of respondents to complete the SFA survey and other collected information is 167, FNS anticipates 9 will not complete this survey); (b) 528 school financial administrative staff; (c) 528 school liaisons; and we anticipate 100 percent participation from these two groups (d) 6,843 households (the total estimated number of respondents to the household who will complete the survey is 5,474; we anticipate 1,369 will not complete the survey).

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: Most of the respondents will fill out 3 separate instruments at one time and individual household will participant in 1 survey (the number of forms are 3 from School Food Service Directors, 3 from School Financial Administrators, 2 from School Liaisons and 1 from Households). Multiple responses will be obtained from most respondents with the exception of households, who will be interviewed once.

Estimated Time per Response: The estimated average response time will range from 45 minutes for the household interview to 170 minutes for School Food Service Directors to complete fact sheets, provide claim data and be interviewed.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: The total estimated response time is 5,836.85 hours. See the table below for estimated total annual burden.

Affected public	Respondent	Estimated number of respondents	Responses annually per respondent	Total annual responses	Estimated average number of hours per response	Estimated total annual hours of response burden
State Agencies	School Food Service Directors					
	Fact Sheet					
	Completed	167	1	167	1.5	250.5
	Attempted	9	1	9	0.033	0.297
	SFA Survey					
	Completed	167	1	167	0.333	55.611
	Attempted	9	1	9	0.083	0.747

Affected public	Respondent	Estimated number of respondents	Responses annually per respondent	Total annual responses	Estimated average number of hours per response	Estimated total annual hours of response burden
	Reimbursement Claims Data					
	Completed	167	1	167	1	167
	Attempted	9	1	9	0.083	0.747
	School Financial Administrators					
	Roster Verification Form					
	Completed	528	1	528	0.25	132
	School Meal Count Verification Form					
	Completed	528	1	528	1	528
	Meal Transaction Observation Form					
	Completed	528	1	528	0.25	132
	School Liaisons					
	Student Certification and Enrollment Form					
	Completed	528	1	528	0.5	264
	Meal Claims					
	Completed	528	1	528	0.25	132
	SUBTOTALS	1,232		3,168		1,662.90
	Households					
	Household Survey					
	Completed	5,474.00	1	5,474	0.75	4,105.50
	Attempted	1,369	1	1,369	0.05	68.45
	SUBTOTALS	6,843		6,843		4,173.95
	GRAND TOTAL	8,075		10,011		5,836.85

Dated: February 3, 2012.

Jeffrey J. Tribiano,

Acting Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2012-2966 Filed 2-8-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forests; Idaho; Clear Creek Integrated Restoration Project

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service gives notice of its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Clear Creek Integrated Restoration Project. The Proposed action would use

a combination of timber harvest, pre-commercial thinning, prescribed fire and reforestation to achieve the desired range of age classes, size classes, vegetative species distributions habitat complexity (diversity) and landscape pattern across the forested portions of the project area. Road decommissioning, culvert replacement and road improvements are also proposed to improve watershed health. The EIS will analyze the effects of the proposed action and alternatives. The Nez Perce-Clearwater Forests invites comments and suggestions on the issues to be addressed. The agency gives notice of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis and decision making process on the proposal so interested and affected members of the public may participate and contribute to the final decision.

DATES: Comments concerning the scope of the analysis must be received by February 15th, 2012. The draft environmental impact statement is expected in February 2013 and the final environmental impact statement is expected in November 2013.

ADDRESSES: Send written or electronic comments to Attn: Lois Foster, Interdisciplinary Team Leader; 903 3rd St.; Kamiah, ID 83536; FAX 208-935-4257; Email comments-northern-nezperce-moose-creek@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lois Foster, Interdisciplinary Team Leader, (208) 935-4258.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The objective of the Clear Creek Integrated Restoration Project is to manage forest vegetation to restore natural disturbance patterns, improve long term resistance and resilience at the landscape level; restore natural fire regimes and reduce